OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://brief.land/briefland/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Archives of Pediatric Infectious Diseases
Article DOI: 10.5812/pedinfect.104026
Published in: Archives of Pediatric Infectious Diseases: 8(3); e104026

Peer Review Report for "How Does COVID-19 Affect Child Labor?"

Author(s): Ghazal Zahed, Newsha Chehrehrazi, Abouzar Nouri Talemi

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 22 Apr 2020
Revised Date: 4 May 2020
Accepted Date: 4 May 2020

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

Sedigheh Rafiei Tabatabaei-EIC: EIC | Revision (0) 23 Apr 2020

Dear Author,
The reviewers' comments are mentioned. Please correct the details as mentioned above carefully according to reviewers' request.
Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Reply to reviwer

Dear Reviewer,

- needs some English edit: I did it

- - select editorial for manuscript type: I selected “Editorial”

- - Add total numbers of child labour rate in Iran according to government reports: These information were added

- - Put some references in Iran/ world same as
- -----"Factors Affecting Child Labor in Iran: A Systematic Review" Sara Ghahremani and et all...Int J Pediatr, Vol.7, N.9, Serial No.69, Sept.2019, https://data.unicef.org/country/irn/ : These references and Their topics were added

Best Regards

Ghazal Zahed

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

Sedigheh Rafiei Tabatabaei: Associate Editor | **Revision (1)** 25 Apr 2020

Dear EIC,
Thanks for this revision and for resubmitting the manuscript.
The authors should write the references in right format.
Thanks.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision (1)** 25 Apr 2020

Dear Author,
Your article is reviewed by the reviewers carefully. According to their comments, this document needs minor revision. Please follow their comments as requested.
Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (2)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Reply to reviwer

Dear Reviewer,

- needs some English edit: I did it

- - select editorial for manuscript type: I selected “Editorial”

- - Add total numbers of child labour rate in Iran according to government reports: These information were added

- - Put some references in Iran/ world same as

  -----"Factors Affecting Child Labor in Iran: A Systematic Review" Sara Ghahremani and et all...Int J Pediatr, Vol.7, N.9, Serial No.69, Sept.2019, https://data.unicef.org/country/irn/ : These references and Their topics were added

- Please write the references in right format: References were corrected

Best Regards

Ghazal Zahed

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (2)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

Sedigheh Rafiei Tabatabaei-EIC: EIC | **Revision** (2) 4 May 2020

Dear Author,
thank you very much for the revised manuscript.
It is a pleasure to inform you that your manuscript, has been accepted for publication in APID.
Thanks again.