OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Archives of Pediatric Infectious Diseases
Article DOI: 10.5812/pedinfect.104598
Published in: Archives of Pediatric Infectious Diseases: 8(3); e104598

Peer Review Report for "Differentiation Syndrome Mimicking COVID-19 Pneumonia"

Author(s): Babak Abdolkarimi, Nazafarin Hatami Mazinani, Ali Amanati

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 4 May 2020
Revised Date: 1 Jun 2020
Accepted Date: 5 Jun 2020

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) — 29 May 2020

Dear EIC,
Thanks for this valuable case presentation article.
I think it is needed some revision before :
1- Specific and more description about ATRA syndrome that is a common complication in Acute P- leukemia management. For example the percentage of this complication in children, the role of prophylaxia of corticosteroies in ATRA syndrome, treatemt with corticoster.....
2- More description about using of corticosteroieds in Covid 19 such as hyperinfalmatory phase- HLH presentation in Covid 19,that in this phase may be useful.
3- Proper English writing
Thank you

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0) — 30 May 2020

Dear Author,
Your article is reviewed by the reviewers carefully. According to their comments, this document needs minor revision. Please follow their comments as requested.
Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Dear Editor-in-Chief

I received with great pleasure your letter informing me for additional revision of our manuscript with submitted to “Archives of Pediatric Infectious Diseases.”
I appreciate your valuable comments and suggestions. We again revised the manuscript as you suggested, and the recommended changes are in yellow highlight. They are as follows:
1- Specific and more description of ATRA syndrome that is a common complication in Acute P- leukaemia management. For example, the percentage of this complication in children, the role of prophylaxis of corticosteroids in ATRA syndrome, treatment with corticosteroid.....
Answer:
Some additional description added, concerning reviewer comments (with new references).

2- More description about using of corticosteroids in COVID 19 such as hyperinflammatory phase- HLH presentation in COVID 19, that in this phase may be useful.
Answer:
More recent recommendation about using corticosteroids in COVID-19 was considered (with new references).

3- proper Eenglish writing
The English language improved, as you suggested.

Thanks

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (1) 4 Jun 2020

Dear EIC,
I received the article revision of " Differentiation syndrome mimic COVID-19 pneumonia".
I think it is an acceptable article for publishing.
sincerely

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (1) 5 Jun 2020

Dear author,
Thank you so much for your contribution to APID.
Your article has been accepted for publication in the journal.
Thanks.