OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Archives of Clinical Infectious Diseases
Article DOI: 10.5812/archcid.105351
Published in: Archives of Clinical Infectious Diseases: 16(2); e105351

Peer Review Report for "Appropriate Protection Measures Against COVID-19 in Delivery Room"

Author(s): Athena Behforouz, Atefeh Moridi, Hajar Abbasi

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 19 May 2020
Revised Date: 7 May 2021
Accepted Date: 9 May 2021

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 5 Mar 2021

Dear AE,
It is a good brief recommendation for clinicians and nurses for delivery of infected pregnant women by COVID-19, But it has some problems which would be corrected.
- There was no plagiarism by search in literature.
- It is a letter to the editor or comment, therefore it has not any methodology besides it.
- There was no enough information in the results.
- There was no negative pressure room in developing countries, but you should explain about access to this technology in the room in another place in the world.
- In the second recommendation they said (the staff should use a powered air-purifying respirator (PARP)). What is PARP?
- In the last paragraph the authors' Sid (After delivery, rooms that are exposed should be cleaned up because). What is the best cleaner for this room?
- English writing is good and this article is good for acceptance.
Thanks.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) — 3 Apr 2021

Dear EIC,
The authors should update article information and references.

Thanks.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0)

11 Apr 2021

Dear Author,

The reviewers' comments are mentioned. Please correct the details as mentioned above carefully according to reviewers' request.

Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Reviewers' Comments:

Reviewer 1:

Q 1: there was no enough information in results

R1: because of our article is a letter to editor, it hasn't result part.

Q2 : there was no negative pressure room in developing countries, but you should explain about accessing to this technology in room in another place in the world.

R2 : Negative pressure isolation rooms should set up for a safe delivery, if it is possible. The ability of converting delivery rooms into negative pressure rooms needs portable high-efficiency particulate air filters.(we highlight it in main article)

Q3 : In second recommendation they said (the staff should use a powered air-purifying respirator (PARP)). What is PARP?

R3: A PAPR is a type of mask that uses a blower to push air through filter container and into the breathing space. In addition, it may have a half or full fitting face piece or helmet. (we highlight it in main article)

Q4: In last paragraph the authors Sid (After delivery, rooms that are exposed should be cleaned up because). What is the best cleaner for this room?

R4: After delivery, rooms that are exposed should be cleaned up with routine cleaning and disinfection methods such as hydrogen peroxide vapor and ultraviolet light according to CDC statement. (we highlight it in main article)

Associate Editor's Comments:

Associate Editor 1:

Q: update article information and reference.

R : The World Health Organization has announced Coronavirus 2019 (Covid-19) as a global pandemic which is caused more than 152 million infected cases up to 1 may 2021, all around the world. (we highlight it in main article)

Dear reviewers;

We are grateful for your constructive and insightful comments. We have tried to incorporate changes to reflect most of your comments into our article. We have highlighted our changes in the manuscript. We have updated the information and statistics of patients. As our article type is a ‘letter to editor’, it cannot have a result part.

Since all the corrections have been made base on the type of article, we hope that the manuscripts will now be accepted.

With best regards;

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision (1)** 9 May 2021

Dear Author,
The document is reviewed carefully by the reviewers. According to their comments and your revisions as requested, this manuscript is accepted.
Thanks.