Archives of Clinical Infectious Diseases
Volume 16 · Issue 6 · e113091

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Projection of the Epidemics Trend of COVID-19 in Qom, Iran: A Modeling Study

Mehran Nakhaeizadeh, Yunes Jahani, Ehsan Sharifipour, Abolfazl Mohammadbeigi, Alireza Koohpaei, Hamid Sharifi, Omid Garkaz, Milad Ahmadi Gohari, Ali Akbar Haghdoost, Mohammd Aghaali, Mostafa Vahedian

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
19 Jan 2021	16 Apr 2022	19 Apr 2022	10.5812/archcid-113091

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 **Original submission** Referee 1 2
2 **Revision 1** 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 5

1 Original submission

1 comment · 30 Nov 2021

Referee 1 Reviewer

MINOR REVISION 30 Nov 2021

Reviewers comments:
Congratulations to the authors for writing such a valuable manuscript. The results of the study are valuable to health policymakers. However, some minor comments are provided below to improve the quality of the article.
1. In line 50 of the introduction, the statistics on new cases and deaths related to COVID-19 should be updated.
2. In line 53, use Iran, not IRAN. Moreover, statistics regarding Iran need to be updated.
3. Please mention the statistical software and significance level at the end of the methods.

Regards

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

The authors did not provide a point-by-point response to the reviewers' comments in this round.

2 Revision 1

0 comments

No public comments in this round.