Archives of Clinical Infectious Diseases
Volume 20 · Issue 2 · e150084

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Detection of Anti-*Borrelia burgdorferi* IgG Antibodies Among at Risk Workers in Iran; Time for Re-consideration?

Kimia Ahmadi, Sajede Pourmohebi, Farnaz Zahedi Avval, Majid Khadem-Rezaiyan, Hadi Farsiani, Masoud Youssefi

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
16 Jun 2024	26 Nov 2024	12 Dec 2024	10.5812/archcid-150084

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 **Original submission** Referee 1, Referee 2 2
2 **Revision 1** 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 5

1 Original submission

2 comments · 23 Oct 2024 – 5 Nov 2024

Referee 1 Reviewer

MINOR REVISION 23 Oct 2024

Dear Authors,

I would like to express my appreciation for the effort you have put into your manuscript on the detection of B. burgdorferi IgG in high-risk groups in Mashhad, Iran. The topic is both novel and interesting, and I believe it aligns well with the journal's scope.

Please consider the following comments:

1. Your manuscript is methodologically sound.

2. There are several language and typographical errors throughout the text. For example, the title should read "high-risk" instead of "high risk." I strongly recommend consulting an English editor to enhance the grammar, fluency, punctuation, and overall style of your manuscript.

3. I suggest visualizing some findings with diagrams to engage readers more effectively.

Best regards,

Referee 2 Reviewer

MINOR REVISION 5 Nov 2024

Dear Authors,
I would like to express my appreciation for the effort you have put into your manuscript on the detection of B. burgdorferi IgG in high-risk groups in Mashhad, Iran. The topic is both novel and interesting, and I believe it aligns well with the journal's scope.
Please consider the following comments:

1. There are several language and typographical errors throughout the text.
2. I strongly recommend consulting an English editor to enhance the grammar and overall style of your manuscript.
Best regards,

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Reviewer 1:

Dear Authors,

I would like to express my appreciation for the effort you have put into your manuscript on the detection of B. burgdorferi IgG in high-risk groups in Mashhad, Iran. The topic is both novel and interesting, and I believe it aligns well with the journal's scope.

Please consider the following comments:

1. There are several language and typographical errors throughout the text.

2. I strongly recommend consulting an English editor to enhance the grammar and overall style of your manuscript.

Best regards,

Reviewer 2:

Dear Authors,

I would like to express my appreciation for the effort you have put into your manuscript on the detection of B. burgdorferi IgG in high-risk groups in Mashhad, Iran. The topic is both novel and interesting, and I believe it aligns well with the journal's scope.

Please consider the following comments:

1. Your manuscript is methodologically sound.

2. There are several language and typographical errors throughout the text. For example, the title should read "high-risk" instead of "high risk." I strongly recommend consulting an English editor to enhance the grammar, fluency, punctuation, and overall style of your manuscript.

3. I suggest visualizing some findings with diagrams to engage readers more effectively.

Best regards,

Many thanks for reviewing our work and for the comment. The manuscript was revised to improve the English of the paper. The changes are highlighted in the text.

2 Revision 1

0 comments

No public comments in this round.