OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Asian Journal of Sports Medicine
Article DOI: 10.5812/asjsm.69109
Published in: Asian Journal of Sports Medicine: 10(1); e69109

Peer Review Report for "Analysis of Influence of Basketball Game-Related Statistics on Final Result Based on Differences at the 2017 FIBA Asia Cup"

Author(s): Slobodan Simovic, Jasmin Komic, Bojan Matkovic, Zoran Pajic, Bojan Guzina

Review Timeline:

Submit Date:	5 Apr 2018
Revised Date:	7 Nov 2018
Accepted Date:	23 Nov 2018

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0)

2 Nov 2018

Dear AE,
Thank you for the opportunity to review this manuscript on this interesting topic. The topic is interesting and relevant to the readership of this journal. However, there are some minor point that will require the authors' attention and revision prior to offering a final decision.
- Abstract is too long. Abstract do not need to Discussion.
- What's the meaning of P after each reference? Please delete all page no in the references which cited in the text.
- Some sentences has grammatical error, such as:
* He published works
in rotational analysis about the distance covered by basketball players dating from 1931 to ??!! (10, 11).
- In method section: http://www.archive.fiba should be omitted from text and added in references.
- The limitations of this study should explain at the end of discussion. Please remove limitation of study from conclusion.
- Conclusion is too long. Some phrases of this section are related to discussion such as limitation of study.

Thanks.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) 2 Nov 2018

Dear EIC,
This manuscript was reviewed by our reviewers. As initial decision, the manuscript was found interesting but some revisions have to be made before it can reach a publishable value.
Thanks.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0) 2 Nov 2018

Dear Author,
Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Asian J Sports Med. I now have the reports of the Referees, which suggest that your manuscript might be suitable for publication following a number of revisions. I attach some reviewer comments and invite you to submit a revised version of your manuscript addressing the points made.
Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

REPORT ON ABBREVIATED REVIEWS AFTER THE REVIEW

REQUEST A REVIEWER	WHAT THE AUTHORS DID?
Abstract is too long. Abstract do not need to Discussion	Abstract abridged and discussion discarded.
What's the meaning of P after each reference? Please delete all page no in the references which cited in the text	P indicates what page the direct quote is located in. Recommendation of the reviewer accepted – P letter removed.
Some sentences has grammatical error, such as: ** He published works*	The work was translated by a professional translator, professor of English. Proofreading done again.
In notational analysis about the distance covered by basketball players dating from 1931 to ??!! (10, 11).	to 1944
In method section: http://www.archive.fiba should be omitted from text and added in references.	New number (21) 2017 FIBA Asia Cup [Internet]. Mies: International Basketball Federation; 2017 [cited 2018 Feb 28]. Available from: http://www.archive.fiba.com/pages/eng/fa/event/p/sid/8110/_/2017_FIBA_Asia_Cup/index.html.
The limitations of this study should explain at the end of discussion. Please remove limitation of study from conclusion	The limitations of the study were shifted from the conclusion to the end of the discussion.
Conclusion is too long. Some phrases of this section are related to discussion such as limitation of study.	Conclusion is shortened by shifting the research limitations to the discussion.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision (1)** 23 Nov 2018

Dear Author,

The second round of peer review of your manuscript has been completed, and I am pleased to inform you that your manuscript has now been accepted for publication in our journal.

Thanks.