OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Asian Journal of Sports Medicine
Article DOI: 10.5812/asjsm.84495
Published in: Asian Journal of Sports Medicine: 10(1); e84495

Peer Review Report for "The Prevalence of Musculoskeletal Injuries in Junior Elite Squash Players"

Author(s): Cosmin Horobeanu, Amanda Johnson, Samuel Andrew Pullinger

Review Timeline:

Submit Date:	22 Sep 2018
Revised Date:	14 Jan 2019
Accepted Date:	3 Mar 2019

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 5 Jan 2019

Dear AE,
I read this manuscript with great interest, but in my view there are some mistakes that should be corrected. Here I have collected some of my general comments for the authors, may be helpful for the best result.
There are many long sentences in this writing that makes it hard to follow and understand. I wonder if the text is checked by a person experienced well in English writing. The format of writing is not checked in whole text. Do check the journal format for the abstract part. There are lots of English grammar deficiencies from the very beginning of your article (abstract part). The English writing is poor. As far as injury documentation and exact data in injury prevalence is one of the most effective ways to prevent future injuries among athletes. Check the writing of the article to be more fluent and correct to transfer its knowledge.
Thanks.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) — 6 Jan 2019

Dear EIC
The reviewer(s) have recommended publication, but also suggest some minor revisions to the manuscript. Therefore, I invite the author to respond to the reviewer(s)' comments and

revise his manuscript.
Kind regards,

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0) 10 Jan 2019

Dear Author,
Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Asian J Sports Med. I now have the reports of the Referees, which suggest that your manuscript might be suitable for publication following a number of revisions. I attach some reviewer comments and invite you to submit a revised version of your manuscript addressing the points made.
Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Journal:Asian Journal of Sports Medicine

Manuscript ID: 84495

Title: The prevalence of musculoskeletal injuries in junior elite Squash players.

Revision: [0]

Response to Reviewers

We are extremely grateful to the reviewers for the informative comments and useful suggestions that have been provided which have helped us to improve our manuscript. We have taken all of the comments and suggestions into account and provided answers in the revised version of our manuscript.

Reviewers' Comments:

Reviewer 1:

Dear author/s:

I read this manuscript with great interest, but in my view there are some mistakes that should be corrected. Here I have collected some of my general comments, may be helpful for the best result.

There are many long sentences in your writing that makes it hard to follow and understand. I wonder if the text is checked by a person experienced well in English writing. The format of writing is not checked in whole text. Do check the journal format for the abstract part.There are lots of English grammar deficiencies from the very beginning of your article(abstract part).The English writing is poor.As far as injury documentation and exact data in injury prevalence is one of the most effective ways to prevent future injuries among athletes.Check the writing of your article to be more fluent and correct to transfer its knowledge.

Thank you for your comment. I have gone through the full manuscript and made sure that all long sentences have been reduced for the reader to have more clarity and be able to understand the research topic. I have also made sure to have a second native English speaker proof-read the manuscript and change any mistakes.

The abstract has now been changed according to the journal format.

We have added knowledge to help provide more information for athletes and coaches regarding injury incidence.

Associate Editor's Comments:

Associate Editor 1:

Dear corresponding author,

Please consider Reviewer's comments.

Regards.

EIC Decision:

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Asian J Sports Med. I now have the reports of the Referees, which suggest that your manuscript might be suitable for publication following a number of revisions. I attach some reviewer comments and invite you to submit a revised version of your manuscript addressing the points made.

Uploaded Files by Reviewers/Associate Editor/EIC

Below you can find the list of files attached by reviewers or associate editor or EIC during the review process (if any).

No file

How to Revise?

Please sign in to the journal with your account and follow the instruction to resubmit your revised paper. With respect to time limits, we are looking forward to hearing from you soon within the mentioned time frame.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (1) 20 Jan 2019

Dear AE,
I read this manuscript with great interest, I can say it is improved in writing and transferring the content. I should say it is a high quality one and in my opinion it is accepted to get published now.
Regards,

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (1) 3 Mar 2019

Dear EIC,
This manuscript referenced above has been reviewed by members of our editorial review board.
and it is acceptable.
Thanks.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (1) 3 Mar 2019

Dear Author,
The second round of peer review of your manuscript has been completed, and I am pleased to inform you that your manuscript has now been accepted for publication in our journal.
Thanks.