Educational Research in Medical Sciences
Volume 10 · Issue 2 · e120391

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Correlation Between the E-learning Attitude and Academic Achievement of Medical Students in Clinical Levels

Saeideh Daryazadeh, maryam yavari, Seyed-Ramin Madani, Abbas Taghavi Ardakani, Mohammad Javad Azadchehr, Farshad Shayeghi

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
16 Oct 2021	5 Jan 2022	5 Jan 2022	10.5812/erms.120391

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 **Original submission** Aalireza Khatoony 2
2 **Revision 1** 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 6

1 Original submission

1 comment · 14 Nov 2021

Aalireza Khatoony Reviewer

MINOR REVISION 14 Nov 2021

No

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Thanks to the respected reviewers
The response to the comments is below and the others is highlighted in the text of the manuscript.

The response to the referee's comments is highlighted in green (reviewer2) and yellow (reviewer1) in the text.

All comments have been edited in the text.

Yellow

1-The title needs to be reviewed. Target group of study should be included in the title of study. Done- title

2- Some keywords not selected from MeSH. Please recheck and correct them. - keywords

Done. Academic Success, learning
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/mesh/?term=Academic+achievement

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/mesh/?term=learning

3-Please more explain about calculating sample size should be stated. Done-line100-102

4- The eligibility criteria should be stated in the method section. Done-line97-99

5- Ethical code of study should be stated. Done-line315

6- I think the process of designing the questionnaire needs to be described in more details; How was questionnaire validated? Was expert panel used in validating process? Was the questionnaire modified based on the results of the pilot study?

Standard and psychometric questionnaires were used in this study. The questionnaires have already been psychometric and used in domestic studies, and in this study, its reliability has been measured and confirmed by calculating the internal consistency coefficient and Cronbach's alpha.line106-128

7- I think it is needed to explain about sampling method in more details and I it's better to have a more detailed description about student, like number of student in each major and ...

Only medical students at the clinical level participated in this study, and their number is listed at the beginning of the Methods section. line100-102

8- The generalizability of the results should be stated. Done line277: limitation

9- All references must be revised according to the journal guideline for authors. Done-references

10- Generally, the manuscript has some English writing, grammar, and punctuation errors. Please recheck and correct them. Done: yellow

Because the file (reviewer2) was in PDF format, we were not able to copy the comments.

Green:

1- Line 23

2- Line 25-29

3- Line 94

4- Line 95

5- 106-119

6- 120-128

7- 134-137

8- 139-158

9- 160-162

10- 186

11- 281(5lines added)

12- 302-310

13-

2 Revision 1

0 comments

No public comments in this round.