Educational Research in Medical Sciences
Volume 11 · Issue 1 · e123677

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Evaluating the Relationship Between the Internet Addiction and Academic Performance of Medical and Pharmacy Students

Ali Akbar Parvizi Fard, Maryam Nourozi, Ali Hakimi, Melika Shamshiri, Kiyan Mousavi, Saleh Merati

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
26 Mar 2022	4 Sep 2022	18 Sep 2022	10.5812/erms-123677

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 Original submission 2
2 Revision 1 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 5
3 Revision 2 Aalireza Khatoony 6
Authors' reply to reviewers 7
Reviewer and editor comments 8
4 Revision 3 9
Authors' reply to reviewers 10
Reviewer and editor comments 11
5 Revision 4 12
Authors' reply to reviewers 13
Reviewer and editor comments 14
6 Revision 5 15
Authors' reply to reviewers 16
Reviewer and editor comments 17

1 Original submission

0 comments

No public comments in this round.

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

The authors did not provide a point-by-point response to the reviewers' comments in this round.

2 Revision 1

0 comments

No public comments in this round.

3

Revision 2

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

The authors did not provide a point-by-point response to the reviewers' comments in this round.

3 Revision 2

1 comment · 14 Jun 2022

Aalireza Khatoony Reviewer

MINOR REVISION 14 Jun 2022

The respected author has addressed most of the comments, but minor revisions are needed. The items have been marked in the manuscript.

4

Revision 3

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

The authors did not provide a point-by-point response to the reviewers' comments in this round.

4 Revision 3

0 comments

No public comments in this round.

5

Revision 4

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

The authors did not provide a point-by-point response to the reviewers' comments in this round.

5 Revision 4

0 comments

No public comments in this round.

6

Revision 5

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

The authors did not provide a point-by-point response to the reviewers' comments in this round.

6 Revision 5

0 comments

No public comments in this round.