Educational Research in Medical Sciences
Volume 13 · Issue 2 · e150758

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Obstacles of Patient Education in Medical Imaging; Radiographers' Perspective in West of Iran in 2021

Mohammad Rasool Tohidnia, Fatemeh Amiri, Zahra Cheraghi, Maryam Veismorady

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
14 Jul 2024	8 Nov 2024	20 Nov 2024	10.5812/ermsj-150758

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 **Original submission** Ali Rahimi, fereshteh jalalvandi, Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 2

2 **Revision 1** fereshteh jalalvandi, seyed ali rahimi, Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 3

Authors' reply to reviewers 4

Reviewer and editor comments 5

1 Original submission

4 comments · 16 Oct 2024 – 20 Oct 2024

Ali Rahimi Reviewer — MINOR REVISION — 16 Oct 2024

Greetings. The review response is submitted as an attachment.

Thank you

[Translated from Persian by the Brieflands editorial office.]

fereshteh jalalvandi Reviewer — MINOR REVISION — 17 Oct 2024

Hello and thank you for sending the article for reviewing. In this article that the method of determining the sample size and the random sampling method have not been clearly explained. Inclusion criteria are not mentioned. The results related to 13 specific questions regarding the need to educate the patient from the point of view of radiographers are not seen in the findings.

Associate Editor — MINOR REVISION — 20 Oct 2024

Minor Revision

Editor-in-Chief — MINOR REVISION — 20 Oct 2024

Minor Revision

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Response to honorable reviewer1

- Sampling has been done as available and census.
- The inclusion criteria for this study was the participation of samples who gave informed consent.
- 7 specialized questions regarding obstacles to patient education from the point of view of radiographers have been presented in the results section according to Table 2 .

Response to honorable reviewer2

- The title of the article has been modified.
- Abstract

(and increasing patient satisfaction) was omitted.

- Materials and methods

The questionnaire is researcher-made and its validity has been verified by the researchers of this article.

- Result

A part of the results of Table 1 was presented as a diagram.

- Discussion

The results of this study were compared with the results of reference number 15.

- Reference

Three new articles were replaced in the references section.

2 Revision 1

4 comments · 12 Nov 2024 – 20 Nov 2024

fereshteh jalalvandi Reviewer — ACCEPT 12 Nov 2024

Accept

seyed ali rahimi Reviewer — ACCEPT 15 Nov 2024

Accept

Associate Editor — ACCEPT 15 Nov 2024

Accept

Editor-in-Chief — ACCEPT 20 Nov 2024

Accept