Fertility, Gynecology and Andrology
Volume 3 · Issue 1 · e135431

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Comparison of Inflammatory Factors of Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio, Platelet-to-Lymphocyte Ratio, and Maternal Platelet Count in Patients with and Without Chorioamnionitis and with Premature Preterm Rupture of Membrane

Zeinab Hemmati, Maryam Chamani, Samaneh Rokhgireh, Neda Hashemi

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
5 Feb 2023	14 Apr 2023	22 Apr 2023	10.5812/fga-135431

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 Original submission Associate Editor 2
2 Revision 1 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 5

1 Original submission

1 comment · 13 Feb 2023

Associate Editor

MINOR REVISION 13 Feb 2023

Manuscript Title: Comparison of inflammatory factors of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio, and maternal platelet count in patients with and without chorioamnionitis and with premature preterm rupture of membrane

Dear Authors,
I have some comments. Please answer them precisely in this file and also highlight the changes in your main manuscript.

General
1- The English language within your manuscript needs improvement, and it would be better to have your manuscript reviewed by someone fluent in English.
2- All abbreviations should be defined at first use in the abstract and the main text.
3- There is a similar article entitled “Platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio: A new inflammatory marker for the diagnosis of preterm premature rupture of membranes”. This article is very similar to yours. Please explain the difference between your work and the cited article.
4- Could you determine the rate of neonatal sepsis based on the NLR ratio?
5- Most references are too old. Please update the references.
Abstract
1- Please revise the conclusion part and write it clearly.
2- “All patient records for a year were examined by the census.” Please revise this sentence.
3- Please mention the p-values in the abstract for chorioamnionitis, pain and dilatation, miscellaneous, and gestational age.
4- Please mention sensitivity and specificity in the abstract.
Introduction
1- Please check this description: “Premature preterm rupture of membrane (PPROM) with a 3% prevalence refers to fetal membrane rupture before the 37th week and the onset of uterine contractions”.
2- Each sentence in the introduction and discussion should have a reference or references. (line 112)
3- “far, the platelet number, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, and platelet-to-lymphocyte
the ratio has not been used to diagnose chorioamnionitis and its neonatal complications.” Are you sure? Please check again.
Method
1- Please describe the sensitivity and specificity of the test in the method section.
Result
1- Please mention the demographic and basic characteristics of the participants.
Discussion
1- Please mention the main result in the first paragraph.
2- Please compare your result with all articles you mention in the discussion.
3- Please mention the limitations, strengths, suggestions, and conclusion at the end of the discussion.
Tables
1- Please send the editable version of the tables.

Best regards,

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Dear reviewers

All your points were changed. thank you so much for your comments.

Best regards

Neda Hashemi

2 Revision 1

0 comments

No public comments in this round.