OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://brieflands.com/briefland/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Health Scope
Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.5812/jhealthscope-123644
Published in: Health Scope: 11(2); e123644

Peer Review Report for "Real and Synthetic Greywater Treatment by a Combined Process of Ozonation, Granular Activated Carbon, and Ultrafiltration"

Author(s): Ebrahim Shahsavani, Mohammad Hassan Ehrampoush, Mohammad Reza Samaei, Ehsan Abouee Mehrizi, Farzan Madadizadeh, Alireza Abbasi, Mahboubeh Shiranian, Amin Mohammadpour, Ali Asghar Ebrahimi

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 19 Mar 2022
Accepted Date: 27 Apr 2022

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

davood balarak: Reviewer | Revision (0) — 25 Apr 2022

Thanks for your correct revision, and the quality of the paper has been improved greatly so that it is adequate to publish in the journal.

Ferdos kordMostafapour: Associate Editor | Revision (0) — 26 Apr 2022

Thanks for your correct revision, and the quality of the paper has been improved greatly so that it is adequate to publish in the journal.

Ramazan Mirzaei: EIC | Revision (0) — 27 Apr 2022

Dear Author

According to reviewer comments, Your article has been accepted for publication
Best Regards