Health Scope
Volume 13 · Issue 1 · e141837

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Relationship Between General Health Domains and Pedestrian Safety Behavior: A Cross-Sectional Study

Mahdi Zarei, Homayoun Sadeghi Bazargani, Ali Reza Shafiee-Kandjani, Saeed Dastgiri, Hamid Reza Yousefi Nodeh, Elham Davtalab Esmaeili, Sepehr Shakeri Bavil Oliyaei, Mostafa Farahbakhsh

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
8 Oct 2023	26 Nov 2023	15 Dec 2023	10.5812/healthscope-141837

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 **Original submission** Editor-in-Chief 2
2 **Revision 1** Editor-in-Chief 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 5

1 Original submission

1 comment · 6 Nov 2023

Editor-in-Chief

MAJOR REVISION 6 Nov 2023

Dear Author
Thanks for submitting your valuable manuscript to the journal. As a result of this, we would like to inform you that the review of your manuscript is finished, and based on the editorial decision, you need to make a "Major Revision" to your manuscript. Even though this manuscript is of sufficient quality to enter the review process, it needs some significant (major) revisions in some respects.
Best Regards

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Dear Editor-in-Chief

Professor Ramazan Mirzaei

We thank the editorial team and reviewers for their valuable comments on our previously submitted manuscript. We carefully read and done each comment, and this manuscript along with modifications we made in the manuscript is resubmitted. Furthermore, we did our best to provide a satisfactory response.

1. The discussion section of the manuscript was rewritten in accordance with the study results.

2. The study method and information about the questionnaires were fully revised.

3. The study results and relevant tables were rewritten based on the Odds Ratio and P-value.

4. The keywords were revised based on MeSH.

5. The discussion section discussed the study's novelty and its role in promoting public health.

6. The study objectives were discussed in detail in the abstract and method section of the manuscript.

7. The results section was completely rewritten, and the first line of the Results section was removed.

8. Ethical considerations were transferred to the method section of the manuscript.

9. The conclusion section was rewritten based on the study results and existing scientific literature.

10. The study limitations were added to the manuscript.

11. Suggestions for improving pedestrian safety behavior and reducing traffic injuries were discussed in the discussion section.

12. Based on valuable reviewer comments, all sections of the introduction, method, results, discussion, and conclusion were completely rewritten.

13. Grammatical issues in the manuscript have been corrected.

Best regards

2 Revision 1

1 comment · 15 Dec 2023

Editor-in-Chief

ACCEPT 15 Dec 2023

Dear Author
According to the reviewer's comments, we are pleased to inform you that your article has been accepted for publication in this journal, and we thank you for trusting us. As an expert in the field, you are best placed to explain why your article is exciting or impactful to a broader audience.
Kind regards