



Balancing Psychiatric Care and Policy Frameworks for Gender Dysphoria: A Policy-Oriented Letter to the Editor

Ali Reza Shafiee-Kandjani ¹, Elham Davtalab Esmaeili ¹, *

¹ Research Center of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

*Corresponding Author: Research Center of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran. Email: elhamdavalab67@yahoo.com

Received: 21 April, 2026; Revised: 20 June, 2026; Accepted: 21 June, 2026

Keywords: Gender Dysphoria, Mental Health Policy, Psychiatric Care, Health Services, Stigma, Social Determinants of Health

Dear Editor,

Gender dysphoria (GD) is a recognized clinical condition associated with substantial mental health challenges, including depression, anxiety, suicidal ideation, and suicidal behaviors (1-4). In addition to psychological distress, individuals experiencing GD frequently report a lower quality of life than the general population (5, 6). However, the mental health burden in this population cannot be attributed exclusively to clinical determinants. Social stigma, discrimination, restricted access to specialized health care services, and fragmented health care delivery systems significantly influence mental health outcomes and access to care (7, 8). These challenges are often exacerbated in societies with conservative sociocultural contexts, where social stigma and inadequate service availability may prevent individuals from receiving timely psychological and psychiatric support.

Although epidemiological and clinical evidence regarding the mental health needs of individuals with GD has expanded in recent years, policy responses in many health care systems have not kept pace with this growing body of evidence. Existing policies are often fragmented and insufficiently integrated into broader mental health and public health frameworks. Consequently, access to equitable, continuous, and evidence-based care remains limited for many affected individuals. Previous investigations have predominantly focused on clinical management and individual-level outcomes, whereas insufficient attention has been given to policy barriers and health system challenges that influence access to services and the continuity of care. Addressing the mental health needs of individuals with

GD requires more than clinical intervention; it depends on evidence-based policymaking, integrated service delivery frameworks, workforce development, stigma-reduction initiatives, and strategic long-term health system planning aimed at meeting future health care demands (9).

Nevertheless, in many countries, including Iran, policy development in this field continues to be hindered by persistent social stigma, inadequate integration of mental health considerations into health care planning, and the fragmentation of existing services (10). As a result, substantial gaps persist between clinical evidence and health policies, potentially undermining the quality and continuity of mental health services for individuals with GD. Therefore, this policy-oriented letter aims to identify key policy and health system gaps and to provide practical, evidence-based recommendations to improve mental health policies and service delivery for individuals with GD.

2. Policy Gaps

Despite increasing recognition of the mental health needs of individuals with GD, current health care policies still contain operational and structural gaps that limit the effectiveness of service delivery. These shortcomings hinder the development of coordinated, evidence-based, and patient-centered care pathways and reduce the overall responsiveness of health care systems. Current policies in this area face several key operational gaps, including the following:

2.1. Lack of a Health-Oriented Operational Definition

Mental health needs associated with GD are not adequately integrated into many policy documents. Existing policies often emphasize legal or administrative dimensions, whereas psychiatric comorbidities, psychological distress, and suicide risk receive insufficient attention in health service planning. Policies frequently focus on administrative or legal aspects, while mental health needs, such as depression, anxiety, and suicide risk, are not systematically addressed. Consequently, mental health service planning for this group is structurally neglected.

2.2. Lack of Standardized Clinical Guidelines

There are no specific evidence-based guidelines for the assessment and psychiatric care of individuals with GD. Consequently, diagnostic practices, management of comorbidities, and follow-up practices vary across centers and providers. Although international evidence-based standards, such as the World Professional Association for Transgender Health Standards of Care, Version 8, provide comprehensive recommendations for the assessment, multidisciplinary management, and follow-up of transgender and gender-diverse individuals, implementation and adaptation of such guidance remain limited in many health care systems (11). This situation may lead to inconsistent care quality, biased decision-making, and, ultimately, an increased risk of adverse mental health outcomes.

2.3. Weak Referral Pathways and Service Integration

Policies do not define clear, formal referral pathways between different levels of care, including psychiatry, psychology, and social work. Contemporary models of gender-affirming care emphasize multidisciplinary collaboration among mental health professionals, endocrinologists, primary care providers, and social support services to ensure continuity and quality of care. Fragmented systems may compromise treatment outcomes and accessibility (11). Services are often delivered in a fragmented and uncoordinated manner, leading to treatment interruptions, delays in receiving services, and an increased burden of mental illness among these individuals.

2.4. Neglect of Public Health Implications

Future policy development may substantially benefit from integrating health system planning methods, including scenario-based evaluations and predictive analytical techniques, to project service demands, workforce needs, and long-term mental health requirements among individuals with GD (10). Current

policy frameworks inadequately address the demographic and public health implications of GD. The absence of effective intervention strategies may increase the prevalence of mental disorders, health system expenditures, and health disparities, ultimately imposing greater long-term financial burdens on the health system and reducing intervention effectiveness. These deficiencies may increase health care utilization, prolong systemic burdens, and exacerbate existing health disparities.

2.5. Ignoring the Role of Stigma and Structural Barriers

Current policies make limited efforts to reduce social stigma, train the workforce, and improve equitable access to mental health services. This may perpetuate access barriers and increase vulnerability to mental health problems. From a public health perspective, policy inattention to the psychiatric dimensions of GD can increase the overall burden of mental disorders, direct and indirect costs to the health system, and reduce public confidence in health services. Policies formulated without epidemiological evidence may unintentionally reinforce social stigma and limit equitable access to mental health services. Stigma, discrimination, and structural barriers have consistently been identified as major determinants of poor health outcomes and reduced health care access among transgender populations (9). Table 1 summarizes the key policy gaps and practical strategies.

Addressing these deficiencies requires more than isolated clinical interventions; it requires coordinated efforts across multiple levels of the health care system. Developing national protocols based on internationally recognized standards, such as the WPATH Standards of Care and the recommendations of the Endocrine Society, may facilitate more uniform approaches to psychiatric evaluation, management of mental health comorbidities, suicide risk assessment, and longitudinal follow-up for individuals with GD. Establishing interdisciplinary referral networks that integrate psychiatry, psychology, endocrinology, primary care, and social support services could improve continuity of care and reduce fragmentation in service provision.

At the macro public health level, policy reforms should focus on stigma reduction, workforce preparedness, and the development of robust monitoring systems. Systematic training initiatives and culturally sensitive communication competencies for health care practitioners may improve care quality and reduce provider-related barriers. Public awareness initiatives, anti-discrimination campaigns, and community-oriented mental health literacy programs

Table 1. Summarizes the Key Policy Gaps and Practical Strategies

Practical Strategies	Policy Gaps
Develop national guideline adapted from WPATH and Endocrine Society recommendations	Lack of guidelines
Establish multidisciplinary referral clinics linking psychiatry, psychology, endocrinology and social work	Weak referral pathway
Workforce limitations	Mandatory continuing professional education programs
Public awareness campaigns and anti-discrimination training	Stigma
National registry and service quality indicators	Lack of monitoring

may further help reduce stigma and promote earlier support-seeking among individuals with GD. Moreover, comprehensive monitoring systems, registries, and standardized service metrics could support the ongoing assessment of health care accessibility, continuity of care, and mental health outcomes. Expanding crisis intervention services, suicide prevention initiatives, family counseling, and psychosocial support programs may further reduce psychological distress and improve long-term well-being and health equity.

3. Conclusion

Bridging psychiatric care and policy frameworks in the field of GD is an important public health priority supported by clinical and epidemiological evidence. Policies aligned with scientific evidence and social realities may contribute to improved mental health outcomes, reduced health inequalities, and greater health care system efficiency.

Footnotes

AI Use Disclosure: The authors declare that no generative AI tools were used in the creation of this article.

Authors' Contribution: Study design/manuscript drafting: E. D. E.; Conceptualization and critical revision: A. S. K.; Final approval: All authors.

Conflict of Interests Statement: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Funding/Support: No funding was received for this study.

References

- Shafiee-Kandjani AR, Azarmi L, Shalchi B, Malek A, Davtalab Esmaeili E, Turan Ş. Mental health literacy among individuals with gender dysphoria and its correlations with satisfaction of basic psychological needs: A cross-sectional study in northwest of Iran. *Transgender Health*. 2025. trgh.2024.0172. <https://doi.org/10.1089/trgh.2024.0172>.
- Dhejne C, Van Vlerken R, Heylens G, Arcelus J. Mental health and gender dysphoria: A review of the literature. *Gender Dysphoria and Gender Incongruence*. 2018;**28**(1):44-57. [PubMed ID: 26835611]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC9436078]. <https://doi.org/10.3109/09540261.2015.1115753>.
- Turban JL, Beckwith N, Reisner SL, Keuroghlian AS. Association between recalled exposure to gender identity conversion efforts and psychological distress and suicide attempts among transgender adults. *JAMA psychiatry*. 2020;**77**(1):68-76. [PubMed ID: 31509158]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6739904]. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.2285>.
- Becerra-Culqui TA, Liu Y, Nash R, Cromwell L, Flanders WD, Getahun D, et al. Mental health of transgender and gender nonconforming youth compared with their peers. *Pediatrics*. 2018;**141**(5). e20173845. [PubMed ID: 29661941]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5914494]. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2017-3845>.
- Nobili A, Glazebrook C, Arcelus J. Quality of life of treatment-seeking transgender adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Reviews in endocrine and metabolic disorders*. 2018;**19**(3):199-220. [PubMed ID: 30121881]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6223813]. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11154-018-9459-y>.
- Shafiee-Kandjani AR, Esmaeili ED, Azizi H, Turan Ş, Policy S. The Role of Telehealth in Providing Gender-Affirming Care for Individuals with Gender Dysphoria: A Scoping Review. *Sexuality Research and Social Policy*. 2026. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13178-026-01318-5>.
- Trautmann S, Rehm J, Wittchen H. Estimating the true global burden of mental illness. *The Lancet Psychiatry*. 2016;**3**(9):171-8. [PubMed ID: 27491723]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5007565]. <https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201642951>.
- Morowatisharifabad MA, Iranpour A, Rajabalipour M. Psychometric properties of the Persian version of the Warwick-Edinburgh mental wellbeing scale (PR-WEMWBS). *Health Scope*. 2024;**13**(3). <https://doi.org/10.5812/healthscope-145770>.
- Winter S, Diamond M, Green J, Karasic D, Reed T, Whittle S, et al. Transgender people: health at the margins of society. *The Lancet*. 2016;**388**(10042):390-400. [PubMed ID: 27323925]. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(16\)00683-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00683-8).
- Emami M, Haghdoost AA, Yazdi-Feyzabadi V, Ahmadi-Gohari M, Mehroolhassani MH. Developing scenarios for the Iranian health system using system dynamics methodology. *Health Scope*. 2025;**14**(3). <https://doi.org/10.5812/healthscope-161473>.
- Coleman E, Radix AE, Bouman WP, Brown GR, De Vries AL, Deutsch MB, et al. Standards of care for the health of transgender and gender diverse people, version 8. *International Journal of Transgender Health*. 2022;**23**(sup1):S1-S259. [PubMed ID: 36121402]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC9481143]. <https://doi.org/10.1080/26895269.2022.2125695>.