OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

International Journal of Cancer Management
Article DOI: 10.5812/ijcm.103283
Published in: International Journal of Cancer Management: 13(6); e103283

Peer Review Report for "The COVID-19 Outbreak and Oncology Centers in Iran"

Author(s): Davood Soroosh, Seyed Alireza Javadinia

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 1 Apr 2020
Revised Date: 5 May 2020
Accepted Date: 9 May 2020

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) 28 Apr 2020

Dear EIC,
This article only describes a lot of mentioned characters and impacts. There is no value as a scientific or systematic reviews or review article for this paper.
Also a lot of statics such as the number of covid 19 mortality in word arn,t up to date.
so the decision for publication as a description letter is limited to EIC command.
Thanks.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0) 2 May 2020

Dear Author,
Please consider the comments of associate editor in your manuscript and resubmit.
Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Dear editorial office,

Regarding the requested revision and concerns raised by Associate Editor, we update the article and you will find changes that were highlighted in the text. Moreover, we should mention that this is a letter to editor trying to show some of challenges that oncologic centers in Iran are encountering during the COVID-19 outbreak.

Regards

Dr Javadinia

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision (1)** 9 May 2020

Dear Author,

This is my pleasure to announce you that your article has been accepted by the editorial committee after peer review in the International Journal of Cancer Management (IJCM). Hopefully, it will be published in future issues.

Thanks.