OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://brieflands.com/briefland/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

International Journal of Cancer Management
Article DOI: 10.5812/ijcm.103522
Published in: International Journal of Cancer Management: 13(10); e103522

Peer Review Report for "Histopathologic Features of 1000 Cholecystectomy Specimens"

Author(s): Mahsa Ahadi, Tahmineh Mollasharifi, Behrang Kazeminezhad, Mitra Abdolahi, Amir Sadeghi, Jalaluddin Khoshnevis, Hady Hajizadeh Fallah, Shahriar Alizadeh, Mitra Sadat Rezaei, Elena Jamali

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 8 Apr 2020
Revised Date: 23 May 2020
Accepted Date: 30 May 2020

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

Malihe Saberafsharian : Reviewer | Revision (0) 21 Apr 2020

Dear AE,
A- introductions :
- Sets out the argument
- Summarizes recent research related to the topic
- Establishes the originality of the research aims by demonstrating the need for investigations in the topic area
B- Material and method are included repeatable analysis and sampling
C- Result:
- make reference to statistical analysis, such as significance or goodness of fit
D- Images, graph's and data table
- There are sufficient data
E- List of reference
- Including references for accuracy, adequacy, and balance.
Overally :
1- the main question is interesting
2- the text is clear and easy to read

3- conclusions address the main question posed
4- the title properly reflect the subject of the paper
5- abstract provide an accessible summary of the paper
6- the keywords accurately reflect the content
Thanks

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) 28 Apr 2020

Dear AE,
Good research with acceptable number of cases can be useful in other research,this research is first done in Iran and can help for different morphologic patterns in Iranian people.
Thanks

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) 1 May 2020

Dear EIC
Based on the authors' answers to the reviewers' comments, the reviewer(s) have recommended publication.
Kind regards.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0) 9 May 2020

Dear author,
since we don't use the university name in the manuscript title, please delete it or if not possible, you should continue it with "tehran, Iran"
Thanks

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

We would like to thank you for the letter dated 2020-06-20 and the opportunity to resubmit a revised copy of our manuscript. We made it according to the standards of the journal.

1- Reviewer 1 comment:

Q: Hello, good research with acceptable number of cases which can be useful in other research,this reasearch is first done in iran and can help for different morphologic pattern in iranian people.

R: Thank you very much for your positive feedbacks and as you said this is the first study of this kind performed in iran.

2- Reviewer 2 comment:

A: Introduction: - Sets out the argument

-Summerizes recent reseach related to the topic

-Establishes the originality of the reseach aims by demonstrating the need for investigations in topic area

B:Material and methods are included repeatable analysis and sampling

C: Results: -make reference to statistical analysis,such as significance or goodness of fit

D: Images, graph's and data table: -There are sufficient data

E: List of reference: - Including references for accuracy,adequacy and balance.

Overally:

1-the main question is interesting

2-the text is clear and easy to reaad

3-Conclusions address the main question posed

4-the title properly reflect the subject of the paper

5-Abstract provides an accessible summary of the paper

6- the keywords accurately reflect the content

R: Thanks for your nice and detailed comments in every section of the article.

3- Associate Editor 1 comment:

Thanks for submission. We have received positive feedbacks from reviewers.

4- EIC Decision:

Q: Dear author, since we don't use the university name in the manuscript title, please delete it or if not possible,you should continue it with "tehran,iran".

R: Thank you for your valuable guide. The title is corrected to "Histopathologic features of 1000 cholecystectomy specimens"

We hope the revised title is now suitable for publication and look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Elena Jamali/ corresponding author

Tel: 0098918220063

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision (1)** 30 May 2020

Dear Author,

This is my pleasure to announce you that your article has been accepted by the editorial committee after peer review in the International Journal of Cancer Management (IJCM). Hopefully, it will be published in future issues.

Thanks