

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "[Peer Review](#)". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:

[Peer Review](#)



International Journal of Cancer Management

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5812/ijcm-160152>

Published in: International Journal of Cancer Management: 18(1) ; e160152

Peer Review Report for "Conditioned Media Derived from WJ-MSCs Enhanced the Inhibition Effect of EGCG on LNCaP Cells by Targeting Androgen Receptor and Apoptosis Pathway"

Author(s): Reihane Mohammadi, Zahra Fazeli, Azam Daraei, Katayoon Asgari

Review Timeline:

Submit Date:	1 Feb 2025
Revised Date:	9 Feb 2025
Accepted Date:	19 Feb 2025

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE : Associate Editor

3 Feb 2025

To EIC,

This manuscript describes a study about the effect of Epigallocatechin gallate and human Wharton's jelly mesenchymal stem cells (WJ-MSCs) extract on a prostate cancer cell line. Overall, the manuscript is well-written. There are some points that need consideration:

1. Please consider making the manuscript title shorter.
2. Define all abbreviations used in each figure legend
3. In the methods section, mention the real-time PCR instrument used.

REFeree : EIC

3 Feb 2025

Dear Author,

Please revise the manuscript according to the comments of the associate editor and resubmit.\Thank You

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Dear Editor,

Please find the revised version of the article based on your comments. In the new revision, the modifications were highlighted with yellow color as follows.

- 1- The title of manuscript was shortened.
- 2- The abbreviations of figure legends were added to the manuscript.
- 3- The type of used Real-time PCR instrument was mentioned in the methods section.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

REFEREE : Associate Editor

17 Feb 2025

Dear EIC,

The authors have revised their manuscript according to the reviewer's comments.

REFEREE : EIC

19 Feb 2025

Dear Author,

We appreciate your choice to submit your work to IJCM.