OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://brieflands.com/briefland/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

International Journal of Cancer Management
Article DOI: 10.5812/ijcm.89116
Published in: International Journal of Cancer Management: 14(2); e89116

Peer Review Report for "In Silico Molecular Docking Analysis of α-Pinene: An Antioxidant and Anticancer Drug Obtained from *Myrtus communis*"

Author(s): Bahman Fazeli-Nasab, Riyaz Z. Sayyed, Ali Sobhanizadeh

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 22 Jan 2019
Revised Date: 16 Aug 2020
Accepted Date: 17 Aug 2020

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 28 Apr 2019

Dear AE,
The authors should correct many grammatical and typing problems in abstract and method parts like singular and plural verbs.
at the first sentence write "Testis-specific protein gene on the Y chromosome".
- In conclusion part please write applicable result with significant percents and avoid from writing " good or well" these are not the scientific words.
Thanks

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) — 21 May 2019

Dear EIC,
The manuscript is studied, and there are some comments which should be addressed by the author. According to the comments, the document needs major revision.
Kind regards,

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0) — 22 May 2019

Dear Author,

Please revise your manuscript according to the reviewers' comments.

Thank you

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

In the name of Allah

Dear Editor of the International Journal of Cancer Management

Thank you very much and hope for pride

While thanking you for your efforts and dear colleagues and judges, the article is based on the opinion of the esteemed judges as follows and the final version will be presented to you. It should be noted that the corrective material is highlighted in yellow.

1- The article was reviewed again and all the written and esteemed items were corrected

2- The molecular dynamics section, along with the required text and figures, was re-evaluated and new forms and texts were added, and some texts were also removed (especially at the end of the results section (can be compared with the submitted original article)).

In the end, we would like to thank you again for your efforts

Thanks

authors

2020-04-10

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (1) 23 May 2020

Dear EIC,
The manuscript also need English editing that I sent the primary English editing to Journal editorial office.
Thanks

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (1) 27 May 2020

Dear Authors,
According to the associate editor comments, your manuscript strongly needs English edit by a professional English editor.
Thanks

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (2)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

In the name of Allah

Dear Editor of the International Journal of Cancer Management

Thank you very much and hope for pride

While thanking you for your efforts and dear colleagues and judges, the English language of the article was edited and the final version was sent

In the end, we would like to thank you again for your efforts

Thanks

authors

2020-may-27

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (2)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (2) 1 Aug 2020

Dear AE,
- In the method method, proteins and PPI were well studied
- It is better to use newer articles in the discussion section
- In general, it is a comprehensive and interesting article in terms of working method

Thanks

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (2) 2 Aug 2020

Dear EIC,
The manuscript is studied, and there are some comments which should be addressed by the author. According to the comments, the document needs minor revision.
Kind regards,

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (2) 2 Aug 2020

Dear authors,
Please revise your manuscript according to the reviewer's and associate editor's comments.
Thanks

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (3)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Dear editor of the international journal of cancer management

Thanks for editing and review of our article. All comments based on below information were revised and final version was sent to you

1. The abstract was revised based on the reviewer's comments

2. The key words were revised based on the journal guide and PubMed information

3. The Abbreviations were added at the bottom of the article

Please find it

Best regards

Authors

2020-08-12

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (3)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (3) 15 Aug 2020

Dear authors,
All abbreviations should be defined in the manuscript when they are used for the first time. The abbreviation definition should be within the manuscript text (in parenthesis), not at the end of the manuscript.
Thanks

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (4)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Dear editor of the international journal of cancer management

Thanks for editing and review of our article. All comments based on below information were revised and final version was sent to you

1. The Abbreviations were added in the text based the IJC guide

Please find it

Best regards

Authors

2020-08-15

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (4)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (4) 17 Aug 2020

Dear authors,

Thank you for revising your manuscript.

Regards