OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

International Journal of Cancer Management
Article DOI: 10.5812/ijcm.89915
Published in: International Journal of Cancer Management: 12(6); e89915

Peer Review Report for "Association of Lung Cancer and Tuberculosis: A Cross Sectional Study from Northwest of Iran"

Author(s): Parviz Saleh, Mohammad-Salar Hosseini, Reza Piri, Mehrnaz Ghaffari, Sahar Mohammadi, Mohammad Naghavi-Behzad

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 26 Jan 2019
Revised Date: 29 Apr 2019
Accepted Date: 13 May 2019

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) 14 Feb 2019

Dear AE,
Comments to the author:
1- DOI & PMID must be added for each reference.
2- Appropriateness of reference writing was not shown.
3- The ethical considerations (code or number) according to your institute were not referred.
4- Appropriate statistical analysis was not claimed.
5- It is better to write the conclusion part as the last paragraph of the discussion, not apart of that.
6- It should be mentioned the aim of the study as the first line of the conclusion.
Thanks.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) 15 Feb 2019

Dear EIC,
My comments are as follows:
1) Article TITLE is properly selected.
2) The title reflects the content appropriately.

3) There is no abbreviation in the TITLE.

4) Authors' names and institutional affiliations mentioned according to the journal style.

5) There are about 213 words in the ABSTRACT and the word count is in suitable range.

6) The abstract describes the content accurately.

7) INTRODUCTION is fair and does include: "What we know", "What we don't know" and "Aims".

8) DOI & PMID must be added for the each reference.

9) Appropriateness of reference writing was not shown.

10) The ethical considerations (code or number) according to your institute were not referred.

11) Appropriate statistical analysis was not claimed.

12) It is better to write conclusion part as the last paragraph of the discussion,not apart of that.

13) It should be mentioned the aim of the study as the first line of the conclusion.

Thanks.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0) 16 Feb 2019

Dear Author,

Please revise your manuscript according to the reviewer's and associate editor's comments.

Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Dear Editor of International Journal of Cancer Management

Many thanks for taking the time and effort in the review process of the current manuscript. Kindly find the answers to the reviewers' valuable comments and questions in below. Please let me know if any further changes are needed to improve the paper and/or facilitate successful publication.

Best Regards,

Corresponding Author

- DOI & PMID must be added for the each reference.
Answer: It is corrected. DOI, PMID and PMCID (if available) were added to the references.

- Appropriateness of reference writing was not showed.
Answer: It is corrected. The references section has been updated according to the instructions.

- The ethical considerations (code or number) according to your institute were not referred.
Answer: Dear reviewer, the ethical code has been added and the "ethical considerations" section has been updated.

- Appropriate statistical analysis were not claimed.
Answer: It was revised.

- It is better to write conclusion part as the last paragraph of the discussion,not apart of that.
Answer: Dear reviewer, we do appreciate your valuable advice. The separation of conclusion part from discussion is according to the journal style.

- It should be mentioned the aim of the study as the first line of conclusion.
Answer: Dear reviewer, the mentioned comment is applied and the conclusion section is updated.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (1) 10 May 2019

Dear AE,
The study is reviewed carefully. According to comments and revisions by the author, this manuscript is accepted.
Thanks

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (1) 11 May 2019

Dear EIC
Based on the authors' answers to the reviewers' comments, the reviewer(s) have recommended publication.
Kind regards.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (1) 13 May 2019

Dear Author,
This is my pleasure to announce to you that your article has been accepted by the editorial committee after peer review in the International Journal of Cancer Management (IJCM). Hopefully, it will be published in future issues.
Thanks.