OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

International Journal of Cancer Management
Article DOI: 10.5812/ijcm.96069
Published in: International Journal of Cancer Management: 13(1); e96069

Peer Review Report for "Quality of Life and Hope Assessment in Women with Breast Cancer After Poetry Therapy as a Psychotherapy Method: A 6-Month Follow-Up Study"

Author(s): Pouran Daboui, Mohammad Esmaeil Akbari , Maryam Khayamzadeh, Siavash Moradi, Maryam Nouri, Maedeh Rezaei

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 6 Jul 2019
Revised Date: 2 Nov 2019
Accepted Date: 4 Nov 2019

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) 28 Jul 2019

Dear AE,
It is a valuable article. It is better to write other art therapy articles in cancer patients.
it is better to write patients satisfaction by questionare too.
Thanks.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) 29 Jul 2019

Dear AE,
It is a novel work for the psychiatric problems of cancer patients.It is accepted after minor revision.
Abstract: please explain about side effect items of questionare.
Introduction:is enough.
Material, method: please explain more about every session.
Discussion: explain more about other methods of group therapy in cancer patients and comparing with your study.It needs more follow up period.

Thanks.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) 9 Aug 2019

Dear EIC,
YOUR VALUABLE MANUSCRIPT HAS ACCEPTANCE DUE TO NOVEL AND VALUABLE INTERVENTION.YOU MUST CONTINUE SUCH A INVESTIGATION WITH OTHER ART FIELDS AND HIGHER VOLUME AND LONGER TIME OF RESULTS EVALUATION.
Thanks.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0) 10 Aug 2019

Dear Author,
Please revise your manuscript according to the reviewer's comments and resubmit again, also please consider the following comments in your manuscript.
1. state the following at the end of the manuscript:
-- Ethical and Legal Considerations ;
• Conflict of Interests:
2. In addition, Please add the ORCID id of at least the first and corresponding authors in authors section when submitting the revised manuscript. You can get an ORCID id from ORCID website at https://orcid.org.
3. Please mention "conclusion" as a separate subtitle of discussion part.
4. define the aim/ objective of your research as a separate part in your manuscript.
Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Reviewer 1

Comment1:No side effect is seen.

Comment2:Page 2,Paragraph 1,Line 17.

Comment3:page 6.paragraph 2,line 5

Reviewer 2:

There is no other art therpy article in this field.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision (1)** 27 Oct 2019

Dear Author,

There are some points in your manuscript that need consideration.

1. Please add "as a psychotherapy method" to the title of your manuscript: "Quality of life and hope assessment in women with breast cancer after
poetry therapy as a psychotherapy method :A 6 months follow up study

2. Please add a conclusion section to the end of your manuscript.

Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (2)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

All comments added

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (2)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (2) 4 Nov 2019

Dear Author,
The document is reviewed carefully by the reviewers. According to their comments and your revisions as requested, this manuscript is accepted.
Thanks.