OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

International Journal of Cancer Management
Article DOI: 10.5812/ijcm.96139
Published in: International Journal of Cancer Management: 12(10); e96139

Peer Review Report for "Cancer Stem Cells and Oral Carcinogenesis; a Review Article"

Author(s): Narges Ghazi, Ala Ghazi, Amir Houshang Ansari, Mohammad Solati

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 8 Jul 2019
Revised Date: 18 Aug 2019
Accepted Date: 4 Sep 2019

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 4 Aug 2019

Dear AE,
There are some comments to the author:
1- DOI & PMID must be added for the each reference.
2- A review article should be arranged following items (both abstract and body):
- Context
- Evidence acquisition
- Results
- Conclusion
So, they should change the titles according to this style.
Thanks.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) — 10 Aug 2019

Dear EIC,
There are some comments to the author;
1- DOI & PMID must be added for each reference.
2- A review article should be arranged following items (both abstract and body):
- Context
- Evidence acquisition

- Results
- Conclusion

So, change your titles according to this style.

Thanks.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision (0)**

10 Aug 2019

Dear Author,

Please revise your manuscript according to the comments and resubmit again.

Thank you

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Reviewers' Comments:

We would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments which helped us to improve the manuscript. Below you find our point-by-point response to all the reviewers' comments. Finally, we believe that our manuscript after careful revision is suitable for publication.

1- DOI & PMID must be added for the each reference.

According to reviewer's suggestion, DOI & PMID have been added after each reference in the revised version.

2-A review article should be arranged following items (both abstract and body):- Context-Evidence acquisition-Results-Conclusion

As suggested, we have changed the chapters according to the indicated style (highlighted in the text).

The authors should state the following at the end of the manuscript.

Conflict of Interests: Read more at Here

The requested correction has been implemented in the new version.

"Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest in this paper."

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (1) 29 Aug 2019

Dear AE,
The study is reviewed carefully. According to comments and revisions by the author, this manuscript is accepted.
Thanks

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (1) 30 Aug 2019

Dear EIC
Based on the authors' answers to the reviewers' comments, the reviewer(s) have recommended publication.
Kind regards.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (1) 4 Sep 2019

Dear Author,
This is my pleasure to announce you that your article has been accepted by the editorial committee after peer review in the International Journal of Cancer Management (IJCM). Hopefully, it will be published in future issues.
Thanks.