OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

International Journal of Cancer Management
Article DOI: 10.5812/ijcm.98610
Published in: International Journal of Cancer Management: 13(2); e98610

Peer Review Report for "A Fatal Case of Bloodstream Infection by *Fusarium Solani* in a Patient with Adrenocortical Carcinoma From Isfahan, Iran"

Author(s): Alireza Rajabzadeh, Dariush Shokri, Shima Aboutalebian, Hamid Morovati, Abdolrasoul Mohammadi, Reza Eshraghi Samani, Rasoul Mohammadi

Review Timeline:

- Submit Date: 4 Oct 2019
- Revised Date: 7 Dec 2019
- Accepted Date: 11 Jan 2020

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 6 Nov 2019

Dear AE,
This manuscript is a useful work with a good discussion, especially on treatment options. Although the cause of death (cancer versus fungal infection) could be confirmed by autopsy; but in my opinion, this manuscript is otherwise well organized and suitable to be published in this journal.
Regards.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 27 Nov 2019

Dear AE,
Thank you for forwarding the manuscript entitled: " First case of blood stream infection by Fusarium solani in a patient with adrenocortical carcinoma from Isfahan, Iran.".
- Title reflects content appropriately.
- The main symptoms of the patient and clinical findings are adequately described.
- Therapeutic interventions are mentioned.

- This manuscript presenting rare case of fungal infective disease, so seems to be a good scientific job.
- Discussion of relevant medical literature is described appropriately.
- The strength of this presentation is the usage of molecular study as a diagnostic method.
- Conclusion is reasonable in my opinion.
- There are few grammatical and typo errors.

Thanks.

Elena Jamali: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) 28 Nov 2019

Dear AE,

Thanks to the author for submitting the paper.

An unusual case that is nicely annotated and confirmed by molecular methods.

It is of sufficient value for publication.

Thanks.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) 3 Dec 2019

Dear EIC,

Please revise the manuscript according to the reviewer's comment: There are few grammatical and typing errors.

Thanks,

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0) 3 Dec 2019

Dear Authors,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to IJCM. Please revise your manuscript according to the reviewer's comments. In addition, please add the ORCID ID of the first author.

Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Rebuttal letter

Dear Professor Mohammad Esmaeil Akbari

We are thankful for your great review. It is my honor to improve our manuscript with your precise and professional suggestions.

The peer reviewers' comments were included in our manuscript titled “A fatal case of bloodstream infection by *Fusarium solani* in a patient with adrenocortical carcinoma from Isfahan, Iran” as follows:

Comment: There are few grammatical and typo errors.

Answer: One more again, all parts of manuscript reviewed by a native English speaker, and some revisions performed in the manuscript and highlighted.

Page2, lines: 33, 34; page3, line: 77; page4, lines: 86, 87, 94, 103; page5, lines: 104, 119; page6, lines: 140, 146.

Thank you very much for your consideration, and I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely Yours

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (1) 30 Dec 2019

Dear AE,
I went through the revised paper and referee report along with the author's reply. Thank you for your attention and the corrective changes you have made. Since I think it is a rare case presentation, it's worth publishing.
Thanks.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (1) 31 Dec 2019

Dear EIC,
Thanks to the author for the nice presentation of a very rare case. it is acceptable in this format.
Sincerely Yours,

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (1) 11 Jan 2020

Dear Author,
This is my pleasure to announce you that your article has been accepted by the editorial committee after peer review in the International Journal of Cancer Management (IJCM). Hopefully, it will be published in future issues.
Thanks.