International Journal of Cardiovascular Practice
Volume 8 · Issue 2 · e142571

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Association of Ejection Fraction with Reperfusion Parameters Before and After Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention

Morteza Safi, Farshid Heidarpour Kiaee, Mohammad Khani, Niloofar Deravi, Seyedeh Zahra Banihashemian, Mohammad Hasan Namazi, Saeed Alipour Parsa, Saeed Nourian, Ayoub Salehi, Hossein Jafari

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
31 Oct 2023	2 Dec 2023	4 Dec 2023	10.5812/intjcardiovascpract-142571

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 Original submission Referee 1 2
2 Revision 1 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 6

1 Original submission

1 comment · 20 Nov 2023

Referee 1 Reviewer

MAJOR REVISION 20 Nov 2023

See the attachment.

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Dear editor of International Journal of Cardiovascular Practice

thank you for considering our manuscript to be published in “International Journal of Cardiovascular Practice”. We also appreciated of reviewers that patiently revised our manuscript. It must be mentioned that we implemented the reviewers’ comments in the revised manuscripts as much as possible.

Reviewer 1:

Question1: the reviewer asked to change the title to “Association of ejection fraction with reperfusion parameters before and after primary percutaneous coronary intervention”

Reply: the title was changed.

Question2: It is not a matched study according to the full manuscript methodology. You can mention that two groups didn't show significant differences according to ….

Reply: line 13: we mentioned according to the full manuscript methodology as reviewer said

Question3: This is not the conclusion of this study.

Reply: with all do respect we think that the is correct (line 20).

Question4: omit.

Reply: the paragraph was omitted (line 46).

Question5: didn't show significant differences regarding to ….

Reply: the sentence was changed (line 87).

Question 6: Define BMI and BSA.

Reply: the comment was implemented (line 95).

Question 7: Define the Mean±SD for EF and TFC before and after PPCI.

Reply: the comment was implemented (line 101).

Question 8: The text is unclear. Rewrite it.

Define the Mean±SD for EF and STR in both groups before and after PPCI.

Reply: the comment was implemented (line 114).

Question9: Omit.

Reply: the sentence was changed (line 134).

2 Revision 1

0 comments

No public comments in this round.