OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

International Journal of High Risk Behaviors and Addiction
Article DOI: 10.5812/ijhrba.100066
Published in: International Journal of High Risk Behaviors and Addiction: 9(1); e100066

Peer Review Report for "Zolpidem Use Among Dormitory Students in Yazd, Iran"

Author(s): Mohammad Amin Afshoon, Saeed Hosseini, Narjes Hazar, Mahmood Vakili, Vahid Rahmanian

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 10 Dec 2019
Revised Date: 29 Jan 2020
Accepted Date: 3 Feb 2020

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 16 Jan 2020

Dear AE,
As such statistics can be useful in Executive programs, this article can be printed as a letter to editor.
Thanks.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) — 23 Jan 2020

Dear EIC,
The article is considerable as letter to the editor.
regards,

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0) — 23 Jan 2020

Dear Author,
The reviewers' comments are mentioned. Please correct the details as mentioned above carefully according to the reviewers' request.
Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Dear Prof. Nour-Mohammad Bakhshani

Editor in chief
International Journal of High Risk Behaviors and Addiction

Thank you for giving me the opportunity to submit a revised draft of our manuscript titled [**Zolpidem use among dormitory students: is it really popular? An experience from Yazd, Iran**] to [***International Journal of High Risk Behaviors and Addiction***]. We appreciate the time and effort that you and the reviewers have dedicated to providing your valuable feedback on our manuscript. We are grateful to the reviewers for their insightful comments on my paper. We have been able to incorporate changes to reflect most of the suggestions provided by the reviewers.

Kind regards

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision (1)** 3 Feb 2020

Dear Author,

It needs to mention the ethical code in final file.

Thanks.