International Journal of High Risk Behaviors and Addiction
Volume 10 · Issue 4 · e111372

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Effectiveness of Interpersonal and Social Rhythm Therapy with and Without Computer-based Cognitive Rehabilitation Therapy on Emotion Regulation and Response Inhibition in Methamphetamine Abusers

nekzad ghanbree, Shahriar Shahidi, Mahmood Heidari, Vahid Nejati

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
18 Nov 2020	7 Jun 2021	23 Jun 2021	10.5812/ijhrba.111372

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 **Original submission** Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 2
2 **Revision 1** Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 6

1 Original submission

2 comments · 16 Feb 2021 – 16 Feb 2021

Associate Editor

MAJOR REVISION 16 Feb 2021

major revision

Editor-in-Chief

MAJOR REVISION 16 Feb 2021

Dear author,
Highlight the changes in the main file.
Answer the comments completely, one by one, in the reply to reviewers file.
Comment 1: …
Response 1: …
Reconsider the limitation, conclusions, ethical considerations and footnotes.
Regards,

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Reviewer 1:

- The subject addressed is within the scope of the journal.

- More suitable title should be selected for the article. Thank a lot, title changed

- However, the manuscript, in its present form, contains several weaknesses. Appropriate revisions to the following points should be undertaken in order to justify recommendation for publication.

- Abstract section should refer to the study findings, methodologies, discussion as well as conclusion. It is suggested to present the abstract in one 200-250 words paragraph. Thank a lot for useful comment, abstract revised.

- It is suggested to add articles entitled “Sarbarzeh et al. Depression, Anxiety, Stress and Social Isolation in Hepatitis Patients” and “Van Fini et al. The Effectiveness of Group Therapy on Rescuing Patients with Cancer” to the literature review. Thank a lot, these articles and more added to literature.

- For readers to quickly catch your contribution, it would be better to highlight major difficulties and challenges, and your original achievements to overcome them, in a clearer way in abstract and introduction. Thank a lot for valuable note, major difficulties of MA abuser (emotion dysregulation and executive function; specially response inhibition and impulsivity) added in literature (paragraph1 and 2).

- It is shown in the reference list that the authors have some publications in this field. This raises some concerns regarding the potential overlap with their previous works. The authors should explicitly state the novel contribution of this work, the similarities and the differences of this work with their previous publications. Thank a lot for suggestion, I mention it in Conflicts of interest section.

- Following, you will find some new related references which should be added to literature review:

- Lee, S. H. A Community Arts Program for Underserved Children: Getting Things Done and Member Development;
- Gamble and Crouse. Strategies for Supporting and Building Student Resilience in Canadian Secondary and Post-Secondary Educational Institutions

Thanks, new references added to literature.

- -Some key parameters are not mentioned. The rationale on the choice of the particular set of parameters should be explained with more details. Have the authors experimented with other sets of values? What are the sensitivities of these parameters on the results? Thanks a lot. Some petameter added in results. We consider latest article in " INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HIGH-RISK BEHAVIORS AND ADDICTION " for reporting key parameters, for example you can see [doi: 10.5812/ijhrba.100909.]

- Some assumptions are stated in various sections. Justifications should be provided on these assumptions. Evaluation on how they will affect the results should be made. Thanks for useful suggestion, it be done in paper.

- Please avoid reference overkill/run-on, i.e. do not use more than 3 references per sentence. Thanks a lot, corrected in paper.

Dear author,

Highlight the changes in main file

Answer the comments one by one in reply to reviewers file completely

Comment 1: …

Response 1: ...

Reconsider the limitation, conclusions, ethical considerations and footnotes.

Regards,

2 Revision 1

2 comments · 23 Jun 2021 – 23 Jun 2021

Associate Editor

ACCEPT 23 Jun 2021

accept

Editor-in-Chief

ACCEPT 23 Jun 2021

accept