OPEN PEER REVIEW

## Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

## What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

## Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

International Journal of High Risk Behaviors and Addiction
Article DOI: 10.5812/ijhrba.114912
Published in: International Journal of High Risk Behaviors and Addiction: 10(2); e114912

# Peer Review Report for "Consanguineous Marriage and the Risk of Parents Having Children with Cleft Lip and Palate"

**Author(s):** Soraya Bakhshani

## Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 31 Mar 2021
Revised Date: 4 Apr 2021
Accepted Date: 4 Apr 2021

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

**REFEREE**: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 1 Apr 2021

Dear AE,
The following corrections are needed in the letter to the editor:
1- the references in the text must be written in journal format.
2- the first paragraph of page 2 needs at least one reference to be supported.
Thanks.

**REFEREE**: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) — 1 Apr 2021

Dear EIC,
The following corrections are needed in the letter to the editor:
1- the references in the text must be written in the journal format.
2- the first paragraph of page 2 needs at least one reference to be supported
Thanks.

**REFEREE**: EIC | **Revision** (0) — 1 Apr 2021

Dear Authors,
The following corrections are needed in the letter to editor:

1- the references in the text must be written in the journal format.

2- the first paragraph of page 2 needs at least one reference to be supported

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

## Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Dear editor

Hi

I would like thank you and the reviewers. I revised the manuscript based on reviewers' .comments. All changes are highlighted

Regards

*:Reviewer 1*
:the following corrections are needed in letter to editor
.the references in the text must be written in the journal format -1

.The references were arranged and inserted based on the journal format
the first paragraph of page 2 needs at least one reference to be supported -2

.References were added

**OPEN PEER REVIEW**

**Revision (1)**

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

**REFEREE**: EIC | **Revision (1)** 4 Apr 2021

Dear Authors,

The corrections were done, then is accepted as a letter to publish in JHRBA.

Thanks.