International Journal of High Risk Behaviors and Addiction
Volume 10 · Issue 4 · e116646

OPEN PEER REVIEW

The Mediating Role of Boredom and Mind Wandering in the Relationship Between Evolutionary Fitness and Tendency to Substance Use Among University Students

Mohammad Ghazanfari, Fahimeh Fathali Lavasani

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
30 May 2021	2 Oct 2021	9 Oct 2021	10.5812/ijhrba.116646

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 **Original submission** Referee 1, Editor-in-Chief 2
2 **Revision 1** Editor-in-Chief 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 5

1 Original submission

2 comments · 1 Sep 2021 – 4 Sep 2021

Referee 1 Reviewer

MINOR REVISION 1 Sep 2021

I reviewed the manuscript entitled "The mediating role of boredom and mind wandering in the relationship between evolutionary fitness and tendency to substance use among university students." The following are suggested:
1. In the Introduction, the importance of boredom and mind wandering in substance use should be mentioned.
2. Please explain more about the sampling method.
3. At the end of the discussion, research limitations as well as suggestions should be written.
Good Luck

Editor-in-Chief

MINOR REVISION 4 Sep 2021

Dear author,
Highlight the changes in the main file.
Answer the comments completely, one by one, in the reply to reviewers file.
Comment 1: …
Response 1: …
Reconsider the limitation, conclusions, ethical considerations, and footnotes.
Regards,

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Reply to reviewers (number one)

1- Because of Coronavirus we have forced to use social internet group which relationship was so hard and after about 6 month we reached to 200 subscribes, for my next project I definitely use PLS which is not sensitive to group number.
2- Yeas, there was minor relationship especially between direct relationship of mind wandering and addiction tendencies which we think it's relationship is indirect through boredom which is explained.
3- Keywords has been adjusted b Mesh.
4- In correction we used newer articles which is 2021.

Reply to reviewer (number two)

1- The importance of variables have been explained.
2- Sampling method was explained more.
3- Limitation and suggestion have been added.

2 Revision 1

1 comment · 9 Oct 2021

Editor-in-Chief

ACCEPT 9 Oct 2021

accept