International Journal of High Risk Behaviors and Addiction
Volume 11 · Issue 1 · e117042

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Effectiveness of Mindfulness-based Relapse Prevention Group Therapy and Treatment as Usual on Shame and Self-criticism in Individuals with Opioid Use Disorder: A Comparative Investigation

Rezvaneh Asadi Asadabad, Saeed Imani, Jalil Fathabadi, Alireza Noroozi, Jaber Alizadehgoradel

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
13 Jun 2021	19 Jan 2022	20 Jan 2022	10.5812/ijhrba.117042

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 **Original submission** Referee 1, Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 2
2 **Revision 1** 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 5
3 **Revision 2** Editor-in-Chief 6
Authors' reply to reviewers 7
Reviewer and editor comments 8

1 Original submission

3 comments · 3 Nov 2021 – 24 Nov 2021

Referee 1 Reviewer

MINOR REVISION 3 Nov 2021

Dear EIC,
The article has a good topic and structure.
There are some points in the attached file. Recheck the writing.
regards,

Associate Editor

MINOR REVISION 24 Nov 2021

Minor Revision

Editor-in-Chief

MINOR REVISION 24 Nov 2021

Dear author,
The article is acceptable after minor revision.
regards,

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Respond to reviewer's comments

We appreciate the reviewer's effort to evaluate our work as well as the comments/concerns. We believe the comments helped to improve quality and readability of the manuscript.

- In the abstract, the extra sentence was removed.
- 9 participants were excluded from the stud, because the inclusion and exclusion criteria of the study were not estimated.
- Reference added on page 3.
- Another limitation was added.
- New references added.
- A table of demographic characteristics was added.

2 Revision 1

0 comments

No public comments in this round.

3

Revision 2

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

The authors did not provide a point-by-point response to the reviewers' comments in this round.

3 Revision 2

1 comment · 20 Jan 2022

Editor-in-Chief

ACCEPT 20 Jan 2022

Dear author,
The article is accepted. Please address these sections in complete sentences in the final file (galley proof):
Financial Disclosure:
Funding/Support:
Conflict of Interests:
regards,