International Journal of High Risk Behaviors and Addiction
Volume 12 · Issue 1 · e132404

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Alcohol Consumption and Quality of Life in Middle-aged Men

Jeong-Sook Lee

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
15 Oct 2022	21 Jan 2023	26 Jan 2023	10.5812/ijhrba-132404

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 **Original submission** Referee 1 2
2 **Revision 1** 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 6

1 Original submission

1 comment · 27 Dec 2022

Referee 1 Reviewer

MAJOR REVISION 27 Dec 2022

Abstract:
In the method section, mention the significance level and sampling method.
You have mentioned the main purpose of the study, the relationship between quality of life and alcohol consumption, but you have not mentioned anything in this regard in the results section.
The statement you provided as a conclusion is a general recommendation that you could have given without doing this study. In this section, state something that comes from the specific results of your research.
None of the keywords except "alcohol consumption" have been selected from the MeShTerms. Revise them.
Introduction:
In this part, the research gap regarding the subject of the study was not explained well, and only the importance of examining the variables of the study was mentioned.
What is the innovation of this study compared to previous studies?
Material and methods:
Provide a sample size calculation or justification.
State the level of significance in your statistical calculations.
Discussion:
In the discussion section, the results should be presented in a concise manner, studies with consistent and inconsistent results should be mentioned, and the reasons for differences between the results and those of previous studies should be explained, with the observed differences justified.
The last paragraph of the discussion section should be included in the conclusion section.

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Response to Reviewers' Comments

Abstract:

1. In the method section, mention the significance level and sampling method.

Reply: The indicated additions have been made.

2. You have mentioned the main purpose of the study, the relationship between quality of life and alcohol consumption, but you have not mentioned anything in this regard in the results section.

Reply: We have included our findings regarding the relationship between quality of life and alcohol consumption in the Results subsection.

3. The statement you stated as a conclusion is a general recommendation that you could have given without doing this study. In this section, state something that comes from the specific results of your research.

Reply: We have revised the Conclusions subsection to include recommendations specific to the content and findings of this study.

4. All keywords except "alcohol consumption" have not been selected from the MeShTerms. Revise them.

Reply: All the keywords have been revised to MeSH terms.

Introduction:

1. In this part, the research gap regarding the subject of the study was not explained well and the importance of examining the variables of the study was only mentioned.

Reply: We have added content to explain the research gap necessitating this study (please see page 2). We have also cited an additional reference (Ref no 14; Page 10).

2. What is the innovation of this study compared to previous studies?

Reply: We have added content elaborating on the novelty and innovation of our study (please see Page 2).

Material and methods:

1. Provide sample size calculation or justification.

Reply: We have added information regarding our sample size calculation process and the justification for it (please see Page 3).

2. State the level of significance in your statistical calculations.

Reply: We have added the significance level set for our statistical analyses (please see Page 3).

Discussion:

1. In the discussion section, the results should be presented in a concise manner, the consistent and inconsistent studies should be mentioned, and the reason for the disparity of the results with previous studies should be explained and the difference observed should be justified.

Reply: We have revised the Discussion so that the results are presented concisely and explained in the context of previous studies (please see Pages 4 and 5).

2. The last paragraph of the discussion section should be included in the conclusion section.

Reply: The indicated change has been made (please see Page 6).

2 Revision 1

0 comments

No public comments in this round.