International Journal of High Risk Behaviors and Addiction
Volume 12 · Issue 3 · e135000

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Specific and Common Effects of Parenting Styles and Bullying on Internet Addiction

Kolsom Siavashnasab, Mohammadreza Firouzi, Ghader Zadehbagheri

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
17 Jan 2023	21 Jul 2023	5 Aug 2023	10.5812/ijhrba-135000

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 Original submission 2
2 Revision 1 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 6
3 Revision 2 Editor-in-Chief 7
Authors' reply to reviewers 8
Reviewer and editor comments 12

1 Original submission

0 comments

No public comments in this round.

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Dear Reviewer

Hello

Respectfully, according to the table below, the comments of that respected referee were reviewed. In the new version, the corrections were highlighted.

No	Comments	Article corrections	page number
1	In Abstract: Insert your key words based on Mesh	Necessary corrections were made	1
2	In objective: It is better to write second-girl high school students instead of female students.	Necessary corrections were made	1
3	In Method: Write second girl high school students instead of female students, and change this word the text of the manuscript.	Necessary corrections were made	3-9
4	In Method: -The authors distributed, and completed questionnaires through social networks, thus it is necessary to mention the type of programs and applications to which the questionnaires were sent as well as write about the number of responses received. - Rewrite the method completely (3.1. participants).	Necessary corrections were made Necessary corrections were made	3-4
5	In 3.2. Ethical considerations part: mention the Ethical consideration code.	It should be pointed out that Yasouj University has corresponded with the Yasouj University of Medical Sciences, and it is solved after the upcoming session of research council of that University. (Max 10 days from now on)	4
6	*In discussion:* - After study limitations, it is recommended that the authors mention their suggestions for future studies	Necessary corrections were made	11
7	In references: - Some references are too old and suggested to change them (references numbers: 28,29,30,32,35,36). Best Regards	1. References numbers 28 and 29 are the creators of the internet addiction questionnaire and its	12-13

		validation, therefore changing or deleting them is impossible. 2. The references no. 30 and 32 are the validation of parenting styles and bullying questionnaires, so it is impossible to alter or delete them. 3. The reference no. 35 is a famous book in this line that although is old, is highly directed to the topic. 4. The reference no. 36, was changed and a new one was replaced.	

2 Revision 1

0 comments

No public comments in this round.

3

Revision 2

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Dear Editor

Please find the attached revised manuscript with ID 135000 as title **"Specific and common effects of parenting styles and bullying on Internet addiction".** I sorry to submission of this revised manuscripts due to late in receive of ***ethic code*** related to it. According to the table below, the comments were answered. In the revised version, the modifications related to reviewer #1 and #2 are marked with blue and yellow colors respectively.

Sincerely yours

M. Firouzi

Response to Reviewer #1

No	Comments	Article corrections	page number
1	In Abstract: Insert your keywords based on Mesh	Necessary corrections were made	1
2	In objective: It is better to write second-girl high school students instead of female students.	Necessary corrections were made	1
3	In Method: Write second-girl high school students instead of female students, and change this word the text of the manuscript.	Necessary corrections were made	3-9
4	In Method: -The authors distributed, and completed questionnaires through social networks, thus it is necessary to mention the type of programs and applications to which the questionnaires were sent as well as write about the number of responses received. - Rewrite the method completely (3.1. participants).	Necessary corrections were made	3-4
5	In 3.2. Ethical considerations part: mention the Ethical consideration code.	Necessary corrections were made. The code of ethics was presented in the footnote section of the article(Ethical approval). ethical approval code IR.YUMS.REC.1402.	13

		049	
6	*In discussion:* - After study limitations, it is recommended that the authors mention their suggestions for future studies	Necessary corrections were made	12
7	In references: - Some references are too old and suggested to change them (reference numbers: 28,29,30,32,35,36). Best Regards	1. References numbers 28 and 29 are the creators of the internet addiction questionnaire and its validation, therefore changing or deleting them is impossible. 2. References no. 30 and 32 are the validation of parenting styles and bullying questionnaires, so it is impossible to alter or delete them. 3. The reference no. 35 is a famous book in this line that although is old, highly directed to the topic. 4. The reference no. 36, was changed and a new one was replaced.	15

Response to Reviewer # 2

No	Comments	Article corrections	page number
1	??????????And or, OR, which one is correct	Necessary corrections were made: or	2
2	In methods and the results, it is better to use girls than females	The first referee had the same comment, so the corrections were made and marked in blue	3

3	What is the sampling method? Clustering or stratification, if it is clustering, the design effect value must be calculated and reported in the proper section of the methodology. Same procedure for stratification	The Convenience sampling method was used, which was modified	4
4	Ethical codes should be presented	The code of ethics was presented in the footnote section of the article(Ethical approval) ethical approval code IR.YUMS.REC.1402.049	13
5	Explain more about the questionnaire	Necessary corrections were made	4
6	The validity and reliability of the inventory with your specific data must be estimated	Necessary corrections were made. It is necessary to explain that in the last line of each of the paragraphs related to research tools, the reliability of the questionnaire was reported using the data of the current research, and the content validity of each of the questionnaires was also added.	4
7	How did u establish the validity by alpha Cronbach	It was meant by Buri (reference no. 31) who had reported favorable diagnostic validity, so it was corrected in the text	4
8	Say some about the reliability	Necessary corrections were made	4
9	The validity and reliability of the inventory with your specific data must be estimated	Necessary corrections were made. It is necessary to explain that in the last line of each of the paragraphs	5

		related to research tools, the reliability of the questionnaire was reported using the data of the current research, and the content validity of each of the questionnaires was also added.	
10	The authors should explain more results from the path analysis especially the figures	Necessary and supplementary explanations of figures 1-3 are provided on pages 8-9.	9-10
11	The authors must report the most important of the results as the conclusion own and then some general statements of suggestions	Necessary corrections were made	12

\

3 Revision 2

1 comment · 5 Aug 2023

Editor-in-Chief

ACCEPT 5 Aug 2023

accept