OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

International Journal of High Risk Behaviors and Addiction
Article DOI: 10.5812/ijhrba.91668
Published in: International Journal of High Risk Behaviors and Addiction: 8(3); e91668

Peer Review Report for "Suicide Ideation and Drug Craving in Patients Under Methadone Maintenance Treatment (MMT)"

Author(s): Nour-Mohammad Bakhshani, Shahab Lotfinia, Abolfazl Payandeh, Zohre Soorgi

Review Timeline:

Submit Date:	17 Mar 2019
Revised Date:	2 Jul 2019
Accepted Date:	31 Jul 2019

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 20 Jun 2019

Dear AE,
This paper is good but it needs minor revision. After that it can be accepted by Jhrba. Including the following
1. It requires brief edit.
2. Should be checked data in the text and in the tables:in the results 83.3% replace to 93.3%
3. It is better write about the validity and reliability of questionnaires in Iran.
Regards.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) — 20 Jun 2019

Dear EIC
The reviewer(s) have recommended publication, but also suggest some minor revisions to the manuscript. Therefore, I invite the author to respond to the reviewer(s)' comments and revise his manuscript.
Kind regards,

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision (0)** 26 Jun 2019

Dear Author,
Your article is reviewed by the reviewers carefully. According to their comments, this document needs minor revision. Please follow their comments as requested.
Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Q: please write the full name of questionaries' in abstract

A: beck depression inventory, beck anxiety inventory, borderline personality scale, Social Support Appraisals Scale, the communication skills inventory, and craving inventory

Q: key word should be in the mesh

A: Suicide - Craving – Methadone- Substance Related Disorders

Q: validity and reliability of questioners in Iran

A: The Beck Depression Inventory (BDI): The validity and reliability of the Persian version are 0.73 and 0.91

The Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI): The validity and reliability of the Persian version are 0.72 and 0.83

The borderline personality scale (STB): The validity and reliability of the Persian version are 0.64 and 0.61

The Social Support Appraisals Scale (SS-A): The validity and reliability of the Persian version are 0.85 and 0.90

The Communication Skills Inventory of Jerabek: The validity and reliability of the Persian version are 0.71 and 0.89

Beck Scale for suicidal ideations (BSSI): The validity and reliability of the Persian version are 0.76 and 0.95

Craving scale: The validity and reliability of the Persian version are 0.55 and 0.94

Q: person

A: Pearson is correct

Q: check the data in the text and table again

A: 83.3% in correct

Q: in line

A: In line is correct

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision (1)** 31 Jul 2019

Dear Author,

The document is reviewed carefully by the reviewers. According to their comments and your revisions as requested, this manuscript is accepted.

Thanks.