OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

International Journal of Infection
Article DOI: 10.5812/iji.104594
Published in: International Journal of Infection: 7(2); e104594

Peer Review Report for "Evaluation of Antibacterial Properties of Chimeric Bovine Lactoferrin Peptide for Inhibition of Food and Plant Pathogens"

Author(s): Hamid Sarhadi, Mohammad Hasan Jahandar, Abbas Tanhaeian

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 6 May 2020
Revised Date: 25 May 2020
Accepted Date: 30 May 2020

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

mohammad hosseini: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 14 May 2020

Dear AE,
The language of the manuscript should be corrected.
Thanks.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 14 May 2020

Dear AE,
This article is acceptable to me but following items should be revised:
1) The peptide should be shown in front of Fig.1 please rotate it.
2) Please add the accession number of proteins that have been used.
3) Please add construct of your protein as a Figure.
Thanks.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 18 May 2020

Dear AE,
In my opinion, this manuscript is acceptable to publish.
Thanks

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) 19 May 2020

Dear EIC,

This article is acceptable to me but the following items should be revised:

1) The peptide should be shown in front of Fig.1 please rotate it.

2) Please add the accession number of proteins that have been used.

3) Please add construct of your protein as a Figure

The language of the manuscript shoud be corrected.

Thanks.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0) 19 May 2020

Dear Author,

Your article is reviewed by the reviewers carefully. According to their comments, this document needs minor revision. Please follow their comments as requested.

Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

1) *The peptide should be shown in front of Fig.1 please rotate it.*
Answer: Unfortunately, we don't have PDB format of protein, and I think it is obvious enough to show.
2) Please add accession number of protein that have been used.
Answer: You are completely right, it was added it text.
3) Please add construct of your protein as a Figure:
Answer: In this manuscript our aim is evaluation of effects peptides on .. we published cloning and expression this protein in another paper.
4)The language of the manuscript shoud be corrected.
Answer: It was done. The chages have been shown by red color.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (1) 27 May 2020

Dear AE,
This article is totally acceptable.
Thanks.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (1) 27 May 2020

Dear AE,
All topics are totally acceptable.
Thanks.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (1) 30 May 2020

Dear EIC,
This article is acceptable.
Thanks.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (1) 30 May 2020

Dear Author,
The document is reviewed carefully by the reviewers. According to their comments and your revisions as requested, this manuscript is accepted.
Thanks.