OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Iranian Journal of Pediatrics
Article DOI: 10.5812/ijp.103841
Published in: Iranian Journal of Pediatrics: 30(6); e103841

Peer Review Report for "A Case of Apert Syndrome: Early Detection of Temporal Lobe Abnormalities and Scalp Cyst in Fetal Brain MRI"

Author(s): Ahmad Reza Tahmasebpour, Behnaz Moradi, Mohammad Ali Kazemi

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 18 Apr 2020
Revised Date: 29 Jul 2020
Accepted Date: 11 Aug 2020

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 3 May 2020

Dear AE,
I reviewed the manuscript as " A case of Apert syndrome: Early detection of temporal lobe abnormalities and scalp cyst in fetal brain MRI " early detection of temporal lobe folding in 20 w of gestational age on MRI was interesting ' not reported in this age. Just the DWI image was not clear please revise it.
Thanks.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 22 Jul 2020

Dear AE,
It appears a nice written piece with no major language issues, but it's not clear whether it's a letter to the editor, a clinical imaging type manuscript, or a case report presentation. If its a letter to the editor should be mentioned and the relevant article cited in the text. If this piece is a unsolicited letter, again should be stated either in the cover letter or in the text.
Plagiarism was not detected.
This piece is not clear whether a case report presentation, letter to the editor or Clinical Imaging type publication. Needs a clarification.
If this is a case report an abstract with key words should be created as well.

Decision: Major revision.
Thanks.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) 22 Jul 2020

Dear EIC,
The manuscript was reviewed by our reviewers. As initial decision, the manuscript was found interesting but some revisions have to be made before it can reach a publishable value.
Thanks.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0) 22 Jul 2020

Dear Author,
Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Iran J Pediatr. Your manuscript has now been reviewed by two reviewers and an Associate Editor. I now have the reports of the Referees, which suggest that your manuscript might be suitable for publication following a number of revisions. I attach some reviewer comments and invite you to submit a revised version of your manuscript addressing the points made.
Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Cover Letter for Revised Manuscript:

Dear Editor

I would like to thank you and all the reviewers for the comprehensive review of our case, titled “A case of Apert syndrome: Early detection of temporal lobe abnormalities and scalp cyst in fetal brain MRI” and on behalf of all authors I will try to reply to every comment.

Reviewer 1:

Dear authors

I reviewed your manuscript as " A case of Apert syndrome: Early detection of temporal lobe abnormalities and scalp cyst in fetal brain MRI " early detection of temporal lobe folding in 20 w of gestational age on MRI was interesting ' not reported in this age. Just the DWI image was not clear please revise it.

Answer: Dear reviewer, I appreciate your comments. Unfortunately, regarding the fetal age (20 weeks) and significant fetal motion, the resolution of DWI image was not good enough and so, I omit this image from Fig 1.

Reviewer 2:

It appears a nice written piece with no major language issues, but its not clear whether its a letter to the editor, clinical imaging type manuscript or a case report presentation. If its a letter to the editor should be mentioned and the relevant article cited in the text. If this piece is a unsolicited letter, again should be stated either in the cover letter or in the text.

Plagiarism was not detected.

This piece is not clear whether a case report presentation, letter to the editor or Clinical Imaging type publication. Needs a clarification.

If this is a case report an abstract with key words should be created as well.

Answer: Dear reviewer, I appreciate your comments. This article is a case report. For clarification, I add explanations in the cover letter and in the text. We change the article format based on the journal instruction for authors. The abstract is added too.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (1) 10 Aug 2020

Dear AE,
Required revision of the manuscript titled "A case of Apert syndrome: Early detection of temporal lobe abnormalities and scalp cyst in fetal brain MRI " are done.
Thanks for the revision.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (1) 11 Aug 2020

Dear EIC,
Thanks for the changes given in the manuscript based on reviews' comments. It is now acceptable for publication.
Thanks.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (1) 11 Aug 2020

Dear Author,
The second round of peer review of your manuscript has been completed, and I am pleased to inform you that your manuscript has now been accepted for publication in our journal.
Thanks.