OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://brieflands.com/briefland/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Iranian Journal of Pediatrics
Article DOI: 10.5812/ijp.108095
Published in: Iranian Journal of Pediatrics: 31(1); e108095

Peer Review Report for "COVID-19 in Children and Neonates: A Comprehensive Review Article"

Author(s): Golnaz Gharehbaghi, Sedigheh Yousefzadegan, Asma Javid, Hamid Riazi-Esfahani, Ashraf Mousavi, Soheila Mahdavynia, Ramin Zare Mahmoudabadi , Mohammad Radgoodarzi, Mohammad Vafaee-Shahi

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 9 Aug 2020
Revised Date: 29 Sep 2020
Accepted Date: 29 Oct 2020

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 21 Aug 2020

Dear AE,
In MIS the authors have to mention the duration of fever by day not a continuous fever
The neonatal section is very brief.
Thanks

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 1 Sep 2020

Dear AE,
Cover-19 is a new and interesting topic in medical science. It is a major problem in health, economic and psychosocial status. Many articles are published every day about this. This article can be an effective and helpful in general and pediatric practice but needs revision.
Author(s) should correct and explain the followings:
1- Introduction is very short.
2- Author(s) should answer this questions:
• What is new in this article?
• What are differences between this article and similar articles?

3- This article needs English editing; for example: disconnecting the patient from ventilator? & Oxygen saturation below 92%?

4- Methods: The Author(s) must determine the following items:

• Total number of reviewed articles

• Inclusion criteria

• Exclusion criteria

5- Results: It is better to use table(s).

6- Some phrases repeated, why? the symptoms of infected children tend to be milder than those of adults.?

7- Some phrases are highlighted in different colors; why?

Thanks

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) 12 Sep 2020

Dear EIC,

The manuscript is studied, and there are some comments which should be addressed by the author. According to the comments, the document needs revision.

Kind regards,

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0) 12 Sep 2020

Dear Authors,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Iran J Pediatr. Your manuscript has now been reviewed by two reviewers and an Associate Editor. I now have the reports of the Referees, which suggest that your manuscript might be suitable for publication following a number of revisions. I attach some reviewer comments and invite you to submit a revised version of your manuscript addressing the points made.

Thanks

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Thanks to the reviewers.

Answer to the reviewer 1:

1) Comment was included (page 9 line 24).

2) The neonatal section was completed (page 8 & 9).

Answer to the reviewer 2:

1) Introduction section was completed (page 2).

2) Differences between this article and similar article was explained (page 2 line 10-16).

3) English editing was done.

4) Mentioned item were explained (page 2 line 19).

6) Repetitious phrases was edited.

7) After the first time submission editor in chief asked for some edition which were highlighted

Answer to associate Editor:

Mentioned published article from Iran J Pediatr on COVID-19 were cited (References 33,38,45,66).

All the comments were included.

Sincerely yours,

Asma Javid

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (1) 20 Oct 2020

Dear AE,
The study is reviewed carefully. According to comments and revisions by the author, this manuscript is accepted.
Thanks

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (1) 24 Oct 2020

Dear AE,
SARS-CoV2 infection and related disorders are novel and important issues in the pediatric population. This article can help pediatricians in rapid diagnosis and proper treatment of children with COVID-19.
Thanks

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (1) 29 Oct 2020

Dear EIC,
The authors have modified the manuscript accordingly and have provided specific answers in an accurate manner. I suggest that this version is suitable for publication in the Iran J Pediatr.
Thanks

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (1) 29 Oct 2020

Dear Authors,
The second round of peer review of your manuscript has been completed, and I am pleased to inform you that your manuscript has now been accepted for publication in our journal.
Thanks