OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Iranian Journal of Pediatrics
Article DOI: 10.5812/ijp.111582
Published in: Iranian Journal of Pediatrics: 31(2); e111582

Peer Review Report for "Voriconazole Therapeutic Drug Monitoring: How to Adjust the Dose in Pediatrics?"

Author(s): Toktam Faghihi, Miia Tiihonen

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 12 Dec 2020
Revised Date: 30 Jan 2021
Accepted Date: 5 Mar 2021

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

Simin Dashti-Khavidaki: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 11 Jan 2021

Dear AE,
This is a practical letter that very concisely presented available data on therapeutic drug monitoring of voriconazole in pediatrics and also in adults.
Minor comments for the authors:
1. Please correct the order of references. Reference number 5 has been addressed for the first time, after reference number 6.
2. To be better compared, note that 1-2mg/kg dose decrement during voriconazole dose adjustment in children means approximately, 10-20% dose reduction, while, in adult patients, 20-50% dose reduction is recommended.
Thanks

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) — 18 Jan 2021

Dear EIC,
Giving the reviewer comments, this letter can be considered for publication after a minor revision. Please resubmit the manuscript after revision.
Best

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision (0)** 18 Jan 2021

Dear Author,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Iran J Pediatr. Your manuscript has now been reviewed by a reviewer and an Associate Editor. I now have the reports of the Referees, which suggest that your manuscript might be suitable for publication following a number of revisions. I attach some reviewer comments and invite you to submit a revised version of your manuscript addressing the points made.

Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Dear Editor-In-Chief;

Enclosed for your consideration is a revised version and responses to the referees' comments of the letter to editor "Voriconazole therapeutic drug monitoring: How to adjust the dose in pediatrics?". All changes and additional text in the **revised manuscript** is highlighted in green.

The authors would like to thank you for reviewing this manuscript.

Sincerely yours,

Responses to the referees' comments:

1- Comment 1:

The order of the references 5 and 6 has been corrected throughout the manuscript. Reference number 5 and number 6 has been replaced. So, reference number 5 is addressed for the first time before reference number 6.

2- Comment 2:

The following sentences have been added to paragraph 3, line 3:

"To be better compared, it should be noted that 1-2 mg/kg dose decrement during voriconazole dose adjustment in children means approximately 10-20% dose reduction, while, in adult patients 20-50% dose reduction is recommended."

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (1) 27 Feb 2021

Dear EIC,
Giving the reviewer comments, authors have revised the manuscript and it is acceptable after revising the references according the journal style.
Thanks.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (1) 5 Mar 2021

Dear Author,
The second round of peer review of your manuscript has been completed, and I am pleased to inform you that your manuscript has now been accepted for publication in our journal.
Thanks.