Innovative Journal of Pediatrics
Volume 32 · Issue 1 · e119240

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Effect of Maternal Methadone Use on Neonatal Outcomes: Results of a Cohort Study

Lida Jarahi, Javad Irvani, Majid Khadem-Rezaiyan

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
7 Sep 2021	31 Oct 2021	6 Nov 2021	10.5812/ijp.119240

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 **Original submission** Referee 1, Ali Reza Sadeghnia 2
2 **Revision 1** Referee 1 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 5

1 Original submission

2 comments · 29 Sep 2021 – 13 Oct 2021

Referee 1 Reviewer

MAJOR REVISION 29 Sep 2021

In the abstract, which group does n=59 belong to?
In the introduction, it is better to talk about your own country.
What is your primary outcome?
Please mention CI95%.
Your discussion needs major revision. Do not repeat the results in the discussion.

Ali Reza Sadeghnia Reviewer

ACCEPT 13 Oct 2021

If the study focuses on one type of abusive compound, we could benefit from more accurate refinement of the results.
Such studies are needed in today's neonatal community, and taking the journal in this direction is an effective step in achieving this goal.

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Dear Majid Khadem Rezaiyan,
Thank you for submitting your valuable manuscript to our journal. We would like to inform you that the peer review process of your manuscript is complete and requires "**Major Revision**". Although it has several useful points, it needs **Major Revision** to be reviewed again. Below you can find the abstracts of the peer review decision made by our editorial board members.

Reviewers' Comments:
Reviewer 1:
In abstract n=59 is belonged to which group
Author: There were 59 mothers in control groups. It is revised

In introduction it is better to talk about your own countery
Author: New references were added to introduction section for a detailed description of situation in Iran

What is your primary outcome
Author: Since the sample size was calculated based on neonatal ICU referral, this was the primary outcomes.

please mention CI95%
Author: The confidence intervals are presented in tables.

Your discussion needs major revise do not repeat results in discussion
Author: The discussion section was reviewed by two authors and proper revisions were made.

Reviewer 2:
If the study focuses on one type of abusive compound, we could benefit from more accurate refinement of the results.
Author: Since the most frequent drug was opium, no stratified analysis was performed. This issue is now added to the limitations and suggestion section.

Such studies are the need of today's neonatal community, and taking the journal in this direction is an effective step in achieving this goal.
Author: we appreciate your interest on this issue.

2 Revision 1

1 comment · 6 Nov 2021

Referee 1 Reviewer

ACCEPT 6 Nov 2021

No comment