



Seroprevalence and Risk Factors of Toxoplasmosis Among Pregnant Women in Morocco: A Cross-sectional Study

Ilham Atif ^{1,*}, Oulaid Touloun ¹, Samia Boussaa ²

¹ Polyvalent Team of Research and Development (PTRD), Polydisciplinary Faculty, Sultan Moulay Slimane University, Beni Mellal, Morocco

² ISPITS-Higher Institute of Nursing and Health Techniques, Ministry of health and Social Protection, Rabat, Morocco

*Corresponding Author: Polyvalent Team of Research and Development (PTRD), Polydisciplinary Faculty, Sultan Moulay Slimane University, 23000, Beni Mellal, Morocco. Email: doc.atifilham@gmail.com

Received: 14 September, 2024; Revised: 22 April, 2025; Accepted: 19 May, 2025

Abstract

Background: Toxoplasmosis is a widespread epidemic disease affecting a third of the world's population. Primary infection during pregnancy can result in spontaneous abortion, neonatal death, and congenital complications.

Objectives: The present study aimed to determine the seroprevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* and to assess the associated risk factors among pregnant women in the Beni Mellal region, Morocco.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted between December 2021 and March 2024. A total of 171 pregnant women attending antenatal care (ANC) services in the urban health facilities of Beni Mellal, Morocco, were requested to provide a serological test for IgG and IgM antibodies. Information about eating habits and hygienic conditions was collected using a questionnaire. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the data, and multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed to identify independent risk factors for *T. gondii* infection.

Results: The age of the women ranged from 18 to 44 years, with an average of 28.94 years. The overall IgG seroprevalence was 39.8% (95% CI: 31.6 - 46.2). Multivariate logistic regression analysis revealed that contact with cats and not having heard of toxoplasmosis were significantly associated with *T. gondii* infection.

Conclusions: The findings of our study revealed a high prevalence of toxoplasmosis in women of childbearing age in Beni Mellal, Morocco. Contact with cats and not having heard of toxoplasmosis were primary risk factors associated with toxoplasmosis in women of childbearing age. These findings highlight the role of education in prevention. Moreover, routine screening for *T. gondii* infection during prenatal visits might be essential in preventing seroconversion and potential fetal involvement.

Keywords: Toxoplasmosis, *Toxoplasma gondii*, Infection, Epidemiology, Risk Factors, Pregnancy

1. Background

Toxoplasmosis is a prevalent global ailment impacting roughly one-third of the world's population. This disease is triggered by the coccidian parasite *Toxoplasma gondii*, which relies on cats as its definitive host, whereas warm-blooded animals serve as intermediate hosts (1-3). *Toxoplasma gondii* can be transmitted through several routes. Key transmission pathways include ingesting oocysts from contaminated water, soil, or vegetables, as well as consuming undercooked or raw meat containing tissue cysts. Another major route is vertical transmission from

mother to fetus during pregnancy (4). While transmission of *T. gondii* during embryogenesis is infrequent, occurring in less than 6% of cases, it can have severe consequences for the fetus. In contrast, transmission rates during the third trimester are significantly higher, ranging from 60% to 81%. Despite this, newborns may not always show symptoms (5).

It is crucial to recognize that primary *T. gondii* infection during pregnancy can lead to severe consequences, including spontaneous abortion, neonatal death, and congenital disorders such as cerebral and visual abnormalities. In early life, the

infection profoundly affects neurological development, primarily impacting the central nervous system (CNS) (3, 6). Diagnosing *T. gondii* infection in humans is typically done through serological methods that detect anti-Toxoplasma IgG and IgM antibodies (7). The IgM antibodies can usually be identified about two weeks after infection and tend to decline to undetectable levels within a few months. In contrast, IgG antibodies become detectable approximately 14 days after the first positive IgM test and remain present indefinitely (8).

All pregnant women should undergo initial *T. gondii* screening early in pregnancy. For those with negative initial results, subsequent screenings are recommended monthly or at least once per trimester to enable early detection of infection and prompt treatment (9). The variation in prevalence from one region to another suggests that factors such as cultural practices, climate, and the presence or absence of cats play a significant role in influencing prevalence rates (4). A comprehensive understanding of prevailing and emergent *T. gondii* infection rates within a nation's human population is of paramount importance. This data serves as a cornerstone for conducting precise risk assessments, implementing effective public health education initiatives, and making informed policy determinations (10).

2. Objectives

The present study aimed to evaluate the seroprevalence of *T. gondii* and associated risk factors among pregnant women in the Beni Mellal region of Morocco.

3. Methods

3.1. Study Design and Study Area

The study was conducted from December 1, 2021, to March 18, 2024, in Beni Mellal province, located in central Morocco within the Beni Mellal-Khenifra region. This region is known for its continental climate, featuring extremely hot summers with temperatures surpassing 40°C and cold winters with temperatures dropping to 0°C. The research was an institution-based cross-sectional study conducted at health facilities providing attending antenatal care (ANC) services to pregnant women.

3.2. Population and Data Collection

The optimal sample size was determined using the following assumptions: $n = z^2 \times P(1 - P)/d^2$ where n is the sample size, $P = 0.5$ (no previous estimate of prevalence

of *T. gondii* in Beni Mellal province), d is the desired marginal error = 0.075, and z is the confidence level 95% = 1.96, resulting in a sample size of 171 participants. A structured questionnaire was developed to explore known risk factors for toxoplasmosis, including sociodemographic data such as age, educational level, residence, and number of children. Additionally, gynecological history and toxoplasmosis serological results were collected. Serological analyses were performed using immunoenzymatic tests, specifically enzyme linked fluorescent assay (ELFA) to detect IgG antibodies against *T. gondii* (VIDAS Toxo IgGII, bioMérieux, France). Testing was conducted following the manufacturer's guidelines, with IgG levels < 4 IU/mL considered negative and levels > 8 IU/mL deemed positive. The study also evaluated participants' knowledge of toxoplasmosis and collected information on behavioral and lifestyle factors, including contact with cats, consumption of raw or undercooked meat, intake of raw or unwashed fruits and vegetables, handwashing practices after handling raw meat, exposure to garden soil, and drinking untreated water.

3.3. Inclusion Criteria

Included pregnant women attending antenatal consultations who underwent routine toxoplasmosis serological testing and were residents in the Beni Mellal-Khenifra region. Participants were informed about the research objectives and provided informed consent to participate in the study.

3.4. Ethical Considerations

Permission was obtained from Morocco's Ministry of Health and Social Protection to collect the data (Ref. N°190/2020). The principle of anonymity was maintained throughout the entire process.

3.5. Statistical Analysis

Data collected through the questionnaire were entered into SPSS Statistics (version 21) for analysis. Descriptive statistics were utilized to summarize the data, with statistical significance set at a P-value of < 0.05. Initially, univariate logistic regression was conducted to identify significant variables for inclusion in the multivariate logistic regression analysis. Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were used to determine the strength of any observed associations.

4. Results

A total of 171 pregnant women, aged 18 to 44 years (mean age, 28.94 years), participated in the study. The

normality of the age data was assessed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, which indicated that the age distribution was normal ($P = 0.155$). Among the participants, 18% were illiterate, and 90% were housewives. Additionally, 95% of the participants were from urban areas. Half of the women (50.3%) had fewer than three children, and 35.7% were primigravida. Regarding gestational age, 33.9% were in the first trimester, 45.6% in the second trimester, and 20.5% in the third trimester. For 85.4% of the women, this was their first serological test for toxoplasmosis.

Among the 171 women surveyed, 39.2% (67/171) (95% CI: 31.6 - 46.2) tested positive for *T. gondii*-specific IgG antibodies, indicating a previous infection. The mean IgG concentration in those who tested positive was 76.03 IU/mL (range: 16.60 - 146.92). However, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test indicated that the distribution of IgG concentration data was not normal ($P = 0.000$). Merely 4.1% of the participants underwent repeat toxoplasmosis serology during their pregnancy. While the majority (92.4%) had received obstetrical ultrasound examinations, which were reported as normal.

Regarding obstetrical history, 13.5% had experienced a miscarriage, 3% had a fetal death in utero, 2% had given birth to a baby with a congenital malformation, and 1.8% had a neonatal death. The reported congenital malformations included congenital heart disease, hydrocephalus, and trisomy 21. Despite having previously undergone serological testing, only 21.1% of the women had heard of toxoplasmosis. Furthermore, all women identified contact with cats as a potential source of infection. Additionally, 11.7% reported the occurrence of cats in their environment. Within this group, 60% did not handle cat litter, 10% cleaned the litter without gloves, and 30% delegated this task to another family member.

Among these women, 9.4% consumed undercooked meat, 84.8% ate raw vegetables, and only 2.3% drank untreated water. The vast majority of respondents reported that they washed their hands prior to eating and after handling fresh fruit and vegetables. However, 13.5% admitted to not washing their hands after handling raw meat. Univariate analysis revealed significant associations between *Toxoplasma* seropositivity and hearing about the disease (reduced risk) and cat ownership (increased risk). Good hygiene practices, such as handwashing, showed a trend towards reduced risk, while age, dietary factors (undercooked meat, raw vegetables), and drinking untreated water were not significantly associated with infection, as shown in Table 1.

These four factors were included in a multivariate analysis, which showed that awareness of toxoplasmosis was significantly associated with a lower risk of infection, suggesting that public health campaigns may be effective in reducing infection rates. Conversely, cat ownership significantly increased the risk, aligning with the known association between cat feces and *Toxoplasma* transmission. Additionally, good hygiene practices, including handwashing after handling raw meat or contaminated produce, were associated with a lower risk, although this association was not always statistically significant in all models, as shown in Table 2.

5. Discussion

The study found an overall seroprevalence of *T. gondii* infection to be 39.2% (95% CI: 31.6 - 46.2), consistent with the 39.7% seroprevalence reported in eastern Morocco (11). However, this prevalence is higher than the 26.28% reported in a recent study conducted in Marrakech (12), yet lower than the 43% observed in Rabat (13). In contrast, significantly higher seroprevalence rates have been reported in several African countries, with 85.3% in Cameroon (14) and 92.5% in Ghana (15). Conversely, lower prevalences have been documented in Vietnam (5.8%) (16), the United Kingdom (9.1%) (17), and Japan (10.3%) (18). The variation in *T. gondii* prevalence across different countries, and even within the same country, can be attributed to factors that influence oocyst sporulation and survival in the environment. Environmental and geographical characteristics play a crucial role in oocyst persistence. Infections tend to be more common in hot climates and low-lying areas compared to cold climates and mountainous regions, as well as in humid environments versus dry ones (13, 19).

Primary prevention of congenital toxoplasmosis focuses on preventing maternal infection through counseling and education for women before and during early pregnancy to minimize their exposure risk. Secondary prevention strategies involve maternal serological screening, fetal diagnosis, and potential interventions such as in-utero treatment or, in severe cases, consideration of pregnancy termination (20). The initial diagnostic approach usually involves serologic testing to detect IgG and IgM antibodies. However, distinguishing between primary and chronic infections can be challenging, as interpreting IgG and IgM results often proves complex (21). To improve the accuracy of interpreting results, it is recommended to collect two serological samples spaced three weeks apart, with an IgM test performed on the initial sample. Analyzing antibody dynamics across these successive samples

Table 1. Univariate Logistic Regression Analysis of the Variables Associated with the Seroprevalence of Toxoplasmosis Among the Sample (n = 171) ^a

Variables	Positive Serology		Total	P-Value	OR	CI (95%)
	Yes; 67 (39.2)	No; 104 (60.8)				
Age range						
< 25	14 (28)	36 (72)	50 (29.2)	0.596	1.286	0.508 - 3.252
25 - 34	41 (48.2)	44 (51.8)	85 (49.7)	0.133	0.537	0.216 - 1.413
≥ 35	12 (33.3)	24 (66.7)	36 (21.1)	0.051	1.00	Ref
Hearing for toxoplasmosis				0.001 ^b		
Yes	23 (63.9)	13 (36.1)	36 (21.1)		1.00	Ref
No	44 (32.6)	91 (67.4)	135 (78.9)		3.659	1.695 - 7.898
Owner of a cat				0.001 ^b		
Yes	15 (75)	5 (25)	20 (11.7)		0.175	0.060 - 0.509
No	52 (34.4)	99 (65.6)	151 (88.3)		1.00	Ref
Consumption of undercooked meat				0.052		
Yes	10 (62.5)	6 (37.5)	16 (9.4)		0.342	0.120 - 1.011
No	57 (36.8)	98 (63.2)	155 (90.6)		1.00	Ref
Handwashing after contact with raw meat				0.002 ^b		
Yes	51 (34.5)	97 (65.6)	148 (86.5)		1.00	Ref
No	16 (69.6)	7 (30.4)	23 (13.5)		0.230	0.089 - 0.595
Consumption of raw vegetables				0.935		
Yes	57 (39.3)	88 (60.7)	145 (84.8)		0.965	0.409 - 2.275
No	10 (38.5)	16 (61.5)	26 (15.2)		1.00	Ref
Drinking untreated water				0.999		
Yes	4 (100)	0 (0)	4 (2.3)		0.000	0.000
No	63 (37.7)	104 (62.3)	167 (97.7)		1.00	Ref
Proper hand washing after contact with soil-soiled vegetables and fruits				0.029 ^b		
Yes	51 (34.5)	97 (65.5)	148 (86.5)		1.00	Ref
No	16 (69.6)	7 (30.4)	23 (13.5)		0.219	0.056 - 0.858

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; Ref, reference.

^a Values are expressed as No. (%).^b A P-value ≤ 0.05 is considered statistically significant.

should be done in the same laboratory, using consistent techniques and within the same series of tests (22). The IgM antibodies appear earlier after infection than IgG and usually disappear faster. However, both can persist beyond the acute phase. To distinguish recent infections, avidity tests are crucial. Avidity measures the strength of IgG antibody binding to the parasite, helping differentiate recent infections with lower avidity from past infections with higher avidity (23).

In our current study, we did not identify a significant association between age and *T. gondii* infection. These findings align with similar results from Serbia (24) and Italy (25). However, a cross-sectional study in Norway reported a higher prevalence of toxoplasmosis among women aged 40 and above (OR: 2.65, 95% CI: 1.30 - 5.42) (26). It's also important to note that the risk of fetal transmission increases with the age of the pregnancy, as the placenta becomes more permeable over time (27,

28). Additionally, in our study, about 45.6% of the pregnant women were in their second trimester. We did not find any association between *T. gondii* infection and adverse outcomes of previous pregnancies, such as miscarriage, fetal death in utero, congenital abnormalities, or stillbirth. This result is consistent with findings from a study conducted in Belgrade (24). However, our findings differ from other research that has identified an association between *T. gondii* infection and pregnancy outcomes (29). A meta-analysis on the risk of vertical transmission of *T. gondii* and adverse pregnancy outcomes concluded that *T. gondii* infection can indeed lead to pregnancy complications (30).

Furthermore, our study did not identify a connection between the consumption of undercooked meat and *T. gondii* infection, which is consistent with findings from a study in Ghana (15). On the other hand, multiple studies have shown an association between the

Table 2. Multivariate Logistic Regression Analysis of the Variables Associated with the Seroprevalence of Toxoplasmosis Among Pregnant Women (n = 171)

Variables	Adjusted		P-Value
	OR	95% CI	
Hearing for Toxoplasmosis			0.001
Yes	1	Ref	
No	4.110	1.806 - 9.351	
Owner of a cat			0.001
No	0.141	0.046 - 0.430	
Yes	1	Ref	
Handwashing after contact with raw meat			0.068
Yes	1	Ref	
No	0.318	0.093 - 1.086	
Proper hand washing after contact with soil, soiled vegetables and fruits			0.333
Yes	1	Ref	
No	0.422	0.073 - 2.419	

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; Ref, reference.

consumption of various meats and recent *T. gondii* infection (31-33). Additionally, handling meat has been linked to *T. gondii* infection in other research (34, 35). While our study did not find an association between fresh vegetable consumption and toxoplasmosis infection, numerous studies worldwide have documented the contamination of fresh vegetables with *T. gondii* oocysts (36-39).

Our research further supports the notion of a link between close contact with cats and susceptibility to toxoplasmosis. This aligns with findings from a study in Brazil involving 492 pregnant women, where having a cat in the home was associated with a higher likelihood of *T. gondii* infection (40). Cats are the primary source of oocysts that can contaminate soil, and even ingesting a single bradyzoite can lead to the shedding of millions of oocysts (41). Remarkably, a large-scale study in China revealed that *T. gondii* DNA is prevalent in soil samples from schools, parks, farms, and coastal beaches (42). Our univariate analysis identified inadequate handwashing after soil contact as a risk factor for toxoplasmosis, which is consistent with findings from a similar study in Egypt (43). Additionally, awareness about toxoplasmosis was found to be a protective factor against *T. gondii* infection. Conversely, a study of blood donors in Egypt highlighted that insufficient knowledge about the disease was a risk factor for toxoplasmosis (43). This suggests that awareness campaigns about the disease may be effective in reducing infection rates.

Moreover, in our study, the majority of participants consumed well-treated water, which prevented us from establishing a link between water consumption and the risk of contracting toxoplasmosis. However, several

other studies have found an association between the consumption of untreated water and the development of toxoplasmosis in both humans and animals (32, 44, 45). Lastly, our findings identified contact with cats and lack of awareness about toxoplasmosis as significant risk factors for infection. In contrast, a Brazilian study on the Island of Fernando de Noronha found that consuming well or rainwater and consuming game meat were associated with increased infection risk (46). These contrasting findings likely reflect differences in cultural practices and environmental factors influencing exposure pathways in these distinct geographical locations.

5.1. Conclusions

The present study found that 60.02% of pregnant women in the area were at risk of severe *T. gondii* infection. Presently, there are no legal requirements in our country for public education on reducing *T. gondii* exposure, revealing a significant gap in preventive measures. It is crucial for health care providers to address this issue. We recommend that pregnant women rigorously adhere to thorough hygiene practices to prevent *T. gondii* infection. This includes meticulous handwashing with soap and water after handling raw meat, gardening, changing cat litter, and any contact with soil. Safe food handling practices are paramount, with an emphasis on proper cooking of meat. The study also recommends that future research investigate the potential contamination of water, vegetables, and fruits by *T. gondii* and explore how these factors could be incorporated into prevention strategies.

5.2. Limitations of the Study

This study has several limitations. Firstly, the cross-sectional design precludes the establishment of causal relationships between *Toxoplasma* infection and the identified risk factors. Secondly, the study population comprised pregnant women ANC, which may not fully reflect the characteristics of the general pregnant population in the country. Thirdly, a follow-up serological study to confirm seroconversion in IgG-negative participants was not conducted, and IgG avidity tests were not performed in these groups, which could have provided more precise information on the timing of infection.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: I. A. contributed in planning and organizing the study, collecting and analyzing the data, drafting the manuscript. O. T. and S. B. reviewed and approved the final manuscript for publication.

Conflict of Interests Statement: The authors declare no conflict of interests.

Data Availability: The datasets analyzed during the present study is available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethical Approval: Permission was obtained from Ministry of Health and Social Protection N° 190/2020, before data collection. Verbal consent was sought from each eligible woman. The objective and benefits of the study were explained in a language they can understand. Furthermore, items seeking personal information (like name, address) were kept confidential.

Funding/Support: The present study received no funding/support.

Informed Consent: Participants were informed about the research objectives and provided informed consent to participate in the study.

References

- Hill DE, Chirukandoth S, Dubey JP. Biology and epidemiology of *Toxoplasma gondii* in man and animals. *Anim Health Res Rev*. 2005;**6**(1):41-61. [PubMed ID: 16164008]. <https://doi.org/10.1079/ahr2005100>.
- Dubey JP. Outbreaks of clinical toxoplasmosis in humans: five decades of personal experience, perspectives and lessons learned. *Parasit Vectors*. 2021;**14**(1):263. [PubMed ID: 34011387]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8136135]. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-021-04769-4>.
- El-Sayed SH, Al-Shewy KAH, Abdin EM, Hasan HM. Seroprevalence of toxoplasmosis among children with autism. *Egypt J Neurol Psychiat Neurosurg*. 2024;**60**(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41983-024-00816-w>.
- Halonen SK, Weiss LM. Toxoplasmosis. *Handb Clin Neurol*. 2013;**114**:125-45. [PubMed ID: 23829904]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4157368]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-53490-3.00008-X>.
- Chaudhry SA, Gad N, Koren G. Toxoplasmosis and pregnancy. *Can Fam Physician*. 2014;**60**(4):334-6.
- Ma Z, Yan K, Jiang R, Guan J, Yang L, Huang Y, et al. A Novel wx2 Gene of *Toxoplasma gondii* Inhibits the Parasitic Invasion and Proliferation in vitro and Attenuates Virulence in vivo via Immune Response Modulation. *Front Microbiol*. 2020;**11**:399. [PubMed ID: 32318029]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7154108]. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.00399>.
- Bashour N, Aminpour A, Vazifehkhah S, Jafari R. Seromolecular study on the prevalence and risk factors of *Toxoplasma gondii* infection in pregnant women referred to a gynecology hospital in Urmia, northwest part of Iran in 2022. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2024;**24**(1):410. [PubMed ID: 38632544]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC11022385]. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-024-09265-5>.
- Gras L, Gilbert RE, Wallon M, Peyron F, Cortina-Borja M. Duration of the IgM response in women acquiring *Toxoplasma gondii* during pregnancy: implications for clinical practice and cross-sectional incidence studies. *Epidemiol Infect*. 2004;**132**(3):541-8. [PubMed ID: 15188723]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC2870133]. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268803001948>.
- Montoya JG, Remington JS. Management of *Toxoplasma gondii* infection during pregnancy. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2008;**47**(4):554-66. [PubMed ID: 18624630]. <https://doi.org/10.1086/590149>.
- Seeber F. Past and present seroprevalence and disease burden estimates of *Toxoplasma gondii* infections in Germany: An appreciation of the role of serodiagnostics. *Int J Med Microbiol*. 2023;**313**(6):151592. [PubMed ID: 38056090]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmm.2023.151592>.
- Tlamanca Z, Yahyaoui G, Mahmoud M. Prevalence of immunity to toxoplasmosis among pregnant women in University Hospital center Hassan II of FEZ city (Morocco). *Acta Medica International*. 2017;**4**(1). <https://doi.org/10.5530/ami.2017.4.8>.
- Hoummadi L, Berrouch S, Amraouza Y, Adel A, Mriouch M, Soraa N, et al. Seroprevalence of toxoplasmosis in pregnant women of the Marrakech-Safi region, Morocco. *Afr Health Sci*. 2020;**20**(1):59-63. [PubMed ID: 33402893]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7750049]. <https://doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v20i1.10>.
- Aguirre AA, Longcore T, Barbieri M, Dabritz H, Hill D, Klein PN, et al. The One Health Approach to Toxoplasmosis: Epidemiology, Control, and Prevention Strategies. *Ecohealth*. 2019;**16**(2):378-90. [PubMed ID: 30945159]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6682582]. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10393-019-01405-7>.
- Abamecha F, Awel H. Seroprevalence and risk factors of *Toxoplasma gondii* infection in pregnant women following antenatal care at Mizan Aman General Hospital, Bench Maji Zone (BMZ), Ethiopia. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2016;**16**(1):460. [PubMed ID: 27585863]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5007994]. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-016-1806-6>.
- Ayi I, Edu SA, Apea-Kubi KA, Boamah D, Bosompem KM, Edoh D. Sero-epidemiology of toxoplasmosis amongst pregnant women in the greater accra region of ghana. *Ghana Med J*. 2009;**43**(3):107-14. [PubMed ID: 20126322]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC2810244]. <https://doi.org/10.4314/gmj.v43i3.55325>.
- Smit GSA, Vu BTL, Do DT, Do QH, Pham HQ, Speybroeck N, et al. Sero-epidemiological status and risk factors of toxoplasmosis in pregnant women in Northern Vietnam. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2019;**19**(1):329. [PubMed ID: 30999869]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6471880]. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-019-3885-7>.

17. Nash JQ, Chissel S, Jones J, Warburton F, Verlander NQ. Risk factors for toxoplasmosis in pregnant women in Kent, United Kingdom. *Epidemiol Infect.* 2005;**133**(3):475-83. [PubMed ID: 15962554]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC2870271]. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268804003620>.
18. Sakikawa M, Noda S, Hanaoka M, Nakayama H, Hojo S, Kakinoki S, et al. Anti-Toxoplasma antibody prevalence, primary infection rate, and risk factors in a study of toxoplasmosis in 4,466 pregnant women in Japan. *Clin Vaccine Immunol.* 2012;**19**(3):365-7. [PubMed ID: 22205659]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3294603]. <https://doi.org/10.1128/CVI.05486-11>.
19. Dubey JP. *Toxoplasmosis of animals and humans*. Florida, USA: CRC Press; 2010.
20. Pinard JA, Leslie NS, Irvine PJ. Maternal serologic screening for toxoplasmosis. *J Midwifery Womens Health.* 2003;**48**(5):308-16. quiz 386. [PubMed ID: 14526343]. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1526-9523\(03\)00279-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1526-9523(03)00279-4).
21. Paquet C, Yudin MH. No. 285-Toxoplasmosis in Pregnancy: Prevention, Screening, and Treatment. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can.* 2018;**40**(8):e687-93. [PubMed ID: 30103893]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jogc.2018.05.036>.
22. Zakariya I, Aboulmakarim S, Tligui H, Agoumi A. [Serodiagnosis of toxoplasmosis: Role of Ig G avidity?]. *J Maroc des Sci Medicales.* 2010;**17**(2):10-3. FR.
23. Kodym P, Kurzova Z, Berenova D, Maly M. Detection of persistent low IgG avidity-an interpretative problem in the diagnosis of acute toxoplasmosis. *PLoS One.* 2023;**18**(4). e0284499. [PubMed ID: 37053239]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC10101438]. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0284499>.
24. Markovic-Denic L, Stopic M, Bobic B, Nikolic V, Djilas I, Szrentic SJ, et al. Factors Associated with Toxoplasma gondii Seroprevalence in Pregnant Women: A Cross-Sectional Study in Belgrade, Serbia. *Pathogens.* 2023;**12**(10). [PubMed ID: 37887756]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC10610184]. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens12101240>.
25. Piffer S, Lauriola AL, Pradal U, Collini L, Dell'Anna L, Pavanello L. Toxoplasma gondii infection during pregnancy: A ten-year observation in the province of Trento, Italy. *Infez Med.* 2020;**28**:603-10.
26. Findal G, Barlinn R, Sandven I, Stray-Pedersen B, Nordbo SA, Samdal HH, et al. Toxoplasma prevalence among pregnant women in Norway: a cross-sectional study. *APMIS.* 2015;**123**(4):321-5. [PubMed ID: 25628065]. <https://doi.org/10.1111/apm.12354>.
27. Berthelemy S. [Toxoplasmosis and pregnancy]. *Actual Pharm.* 2014;**53**(541):43-5. FR. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpha.2014.10.009>.
28. Deganich M, Boudreaux C, Benmerzouga I. Toxoplasmosis Infection during Pregnancy. *Trop Med Infect Dis.* 2022;**8**(1). [PubMed ID: 36668910]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC9862191]. <https://doi.org/10.3390/tropicalmed8010003>.
29. Malarvizhi A. Seroprevalence of Toxoplasma gondii in pregnant women. *J Public Health Epidemiol.* 2012;**4**(6):170-7. <https://doi.org/10.5897/jphe12.018>.
30. Li XL, Wei HX, Zhang H, Peng HJ, Lindsay DS. A meta analysis on risks of adverse pregnancy outcomes in Toxoplasma gondii infection. *PLoS One.* 2014;**9**(5). e97775. [PubMed ID: 24830795]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4022675]. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0097775>.
31. Onyinye NP, Ikechukwu M, Chinedu N, Chukwuanugo NO, Nnamdi CO, Nne AC. Recent and past toxoplasmosis infections, associated factors, and awareness among pregnant women in Nigeria. *SAGE Open Med.* 2023;**11**:0-7. [PubMed ID: 37915843]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC10617264]. <https://doi.org/10.1177/20503121231202230>.
32. Jones JL, Dargelas V, Roberts J, Press C, Remington JS, Montoya JG. Risk factors for Toxoplasma gondii infection in the United States. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2009;**49**(6):878-84. [PubMed ID: 19663709]. <https://doi.org/10.1086/605433>.
33. Friesema IHM, Hofhuis A, Hoek-van Deursen D, Jansz AR, Ott A, van Hellemond JJ, et al. Risk factors for acute toxoplasmosis in the Netherlands. *Epidemiol Infect.* 2023;**151**. e95. [PubMed ID: 3722136]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC10311679]. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268823000808>.
34. Acke S, Couvreur S, Bramer WM, Schmickler MN, De Schryver A, Haagsma JA. Global infectious disease risks associated with occupational exposure among non-healthcare workers: a systematic review of the literature. *Occup Environ Med.* 2022;**79**(1):63-71. [PubMed ID: 34035182]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8685622]. <https://doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2020-107164>.
35. Hejazi SH, Kalantari R, Jafari R, Ghayour Z, Nokhodian Z, Esmaeilifallah M. Seroprevalence of Toxoplasma infection in individuals occupationally exposed to livestock and raw meat: A case-control study. *Vet Med Sci.* 2023;**9**(6):2642-7. [PubMed ID: 37656467]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC10650349]. <https://doi.org/10.1002/vms3.1255>.
36. Marques CS, Sousa S, Castro A, da Costa JMC. Detection of Toxoplasma gondii oocysts in fresh vegetables and berry fruits. *Parasit Vectors.* 2020;**13**(1):180. [PubMed ID: 32268915]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7140358]. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-020-04040-2>.
37. Lalonde LF, Gajadhar AA. Detection of Cyclospora cayetanensis, Cryptosporidium spp., and Toxoplasma gondii on imported leafy green vegetables in Canadian survey. *Food Waterb Parasit.* 2016;**2**:8-14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fawpar.2016.01.001>.
38. Berrouch S, Escotte-Binet S, Amraouza Y, Flori P, Aubert D, Villena I, et al. Cryptosporidium spp., Giardia duodenalis and Toxoplasma gondii detection in fresh vegetables consumed in Marrakech, Morocco. *Afr Health Sci.* 2020;**20**(4):1669-78. [PubMed ID: 34394227]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8351826]. <https://doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v20i4.19>.
39. Al-Megrin WA. Prevalence Intestinal Parasites in Leafy Vegetables in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. *Int J Trop Med.* 2010;**5**(2):20-3. <https://doi.org/10.3923/ijtm.2010.20.23>.
40. Lopes FM, Mitsuka-Bregano R, Goncalves DD, Freire RL, Karigyo CJ, Wedy GF, et al. Factors associated with seropositivity for anti-Toxoplasma gondii antibodies in pregnant women of Londrina, Parana, Brazil. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz.* 2009;**104**(2):378-82. [PubMed ID: 19430668]. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0074-02762009000200036>.
41. Dubey JP. History of the discovery of the life cycle of Toxoplasma gondii. *Int J Parasitol.* 2009;**39**(8):877-82. [PubMed ID: 19630138]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2009.01.005>.
42. Cong W, Zhang NZ, Hu RS, Zou FC, Zou Y, Zhong WY, et al. Prevalence, risk factors and genotype distribution of Toxoplasma gondii DNA in soil in China. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf.* 2020;**189**:1-24. [PubMed ID: 31812824]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2019.109999>.
43. Ibrahim RAL, Abdelaty NB, Barakat AM, Abdelwanees SA. Seroprevalence and Risk factors of toxoplasma gondii among blood donors in Menoufia Governorate, Egypt. *Egypt J Community Med.* 2024;**42**(2):131-8.
44. Krueger WS, Hilborn ED, Converse RR, Wade TJ. Drinking water source and human Toxoplasma gondii infection in the United States: a cross-sectional analysis of NHANES data. *BMC Public Health.* 2014;**14**:711. [PubMed ID: 25012250]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4105121]. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-14-711>.
45. Minuzzi CE, Fernandes FD, Portella LP, Braunig P, Sturza DAF, Giacomini L, et al. Contaminated water confirmed as source of infection by bioassay in an outbreak of toxoplasmosis in South Brazil. *Transbound Emerg Dis.* 2021;**68**(2):767-72. [PubMed ID: 32682332]. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.13741>.
46. Carvalho MDC, Ribeiro-Andrade M, Melo RPB, Guedes DM, Pinheiro Junior JW, Cavalcanti E, et al. Cross-sectional survey for Toxoplasma gondii infection in humans in Fernando de Noronha island, Brazil. *Rev Bras Parasitol Vet.* 2021;**30**(3). e005121. [PubMed ID: 34259739]. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1984-29612021062>.