OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences
Article DOI: 10.5812/ijpbs.105347
Published in: Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences: 14(3); e105347

Peer Review Report for "A Commentary on the Drug Addiction Treatment Market in Iran"

Author(s): Saeed Nateghi, Hosein Effatpanah

Review Timeline:

Submit Date:	20 May 2020
Revised Date:	10 Jun 2020
Accepted Date:	11 Jul 2020

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 2 Jun 2020

Dear AE,
Thanks for sending this interesting letter to me.
My comments on this letter are as follows:
- It is a well-written manuscript but I think as a letter a professional editor should edit it.
- The word count of the letter is really sufficient but references should be double-checked.
- Please also arrange your keywords alphabetically.
- It is good to define the word drug addiction market in Iran a little more for the readers. Maybe, the readers of your article want to know more about this phrase clearly.
Kind regards
Reviewer

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 2 Jun 2020

Dear AE,
I am an active researcher in the field of drug addiction and I am very excited to see that the authors have written something new and important about drug addiction in Iran.
I think they should edit the letter although it is well-written but the comments of an editor are needed anyway.

Make their recommendations for future studies a little more representative and obvious.
Thank you

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) 3 Jun 2020

Dear AE,
The letter has addressed something important in Iran.
I suggest the authors to edit the letter.
- Use Pub Med keywords and mesh terms.
- Add more recommendations for future research.
Thank you

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) 3 Jun 2020

Dear EIC,
3 professional reviewers in the field of drug treatment have put comments on the letter.
- Please implement them in yellow highlight in the text and re-submit to the journal.
- Please reduce similarities between your letters.
Kind regards

Mehran Zarghami: EIC | **Revision** (0) 6 Jun 2020

Dear Author,
Your paper has been evaluated by external peer reviewers. Please do your revisions according to the reviewers' comments. I appreciate you doing the revisions as soon as possible by using the track changes mode in MS Word and using colored text.
After revision authors are obliged to upload reviewers' comments word file in which they have replied to all comments one by one.
Word count limits are declared in this link: http://kowsarpub.com/en/index.php/services/for-authors/895-word-count
We look forward to receiving your revised manuscript. Thank you for sending your work to the Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences.
Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Manuscript ID:	105347
Title:	A commentary on drug addiction treatment market in Iran
Revision:	[0]

Dear Dr. Hosein Effatpanah,

Thank you for submitting your valuable manuscript to the journal. We would like to inform you that the peer review of your manuscript is now completed and despite several useful points, it needs "**Minor Revision**". Below you may find the peer review comments. Please reply to them one-by-one and re-submit it again.

Reviewers' Comments:

Reviewer 1:
Dear Sir

Thank you for sending this interesting letter to me.

My comments on this letter are as follows:

It is a well-written manuscript but I think as a letter a professional editor should edit it.
The word count of the letter is really sufficient but references should be double-checked. It was checked. Thank you for this comment.
Please also arrange your keywords alphabetically. It was done.
It is good to define the word drug addiction market in Iran a little more for the readers. Maybe, the readers of your article want to know more about this phrase clearly. It was done.

Kind regards
Reviewer

Reviewer 2:
Dear correspondence author

I am an active researchers in the field of drug addiction and I am very excited to see that you have written something new and important about drug addiction in Iran. I think you should edit the letter although it is well-written but the comments of an editor is needed anyway. It was done.

Make your recommendations for future studies a little more representative and obvious. It was done.

Thanks you
Reviewer

Reviewer 3:
Dear author

The letter has addressed something important in Iran.
I suggest you to edit the letter. It was done.
Use Pub Med keywords and mesh terms. It was done.
Add more recommendations for future research. It was done.

Thank you
Reviewer

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (1) 20 Jun 2020

Dear EIC,
Thanks for sending the revisions to our journal.
I reviewed the implemented revisions and it is clear all of them have been done.
The paper is acceptable on my side.
Best regards

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (1) 11 Jul 2020

Dear Author,
We have completed our review of your manuscript and are pleased to inform you that it is considered for publication in the IJPBS. Note that the publication of your article will only be finalized upon your cooperation with the editorial processing.
Thank you for your fine contribution. On behalf of the Editors of IJPBS, we look forward to your continued contributions to the Journal.
Thanks.