IJ Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences
Volume 15 · Issue 3 · e111264

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Impact of Life Skills Training on Adolescents' Inclination to Risky Behaviors: A Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial

Elham Fallah, Mehdi Birjandi, Kobra Rashidi, Mohammad Almasian

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
16 Nov 2020	8 Mar 2021	3 Apr 2021	10.5812/ijpbs.111264

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 **Original submission** atefeh soltanifar, Referee 1, Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 2
2 **Revision 1** Editor-in-Chief 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 5
3 **Revision 2** Editor-in-Chief 6
Authors' reply to reviewers 7
Reviewer and editor comments 8

1 Original submission

4 comments · 1 Dec 2020 – 7 Jan 2021

atefeh soltanifar Reviewer

MINOR REVISION 1 Dec 2020

Dear Author,
1- In the method and materials section, it would be better to specify which life skills were taught to students (list of titles and number of sessions).

2- In the control group, did you have any free discussion sessions without any specific education?

3- Please specify who taught the students (education, experience, …) and who supervised the sessions.

4- In the statistical analysis, it would be better to use ANCOVA instead of repeated-measures ANOVA.

5- It would be better to reduce the number of tables and summarize some of the data in the findings.

6- The article is well written and could be appropriate for publication with some revisions.

Referee 1 Reviewer

MINOR REVISION 7 Jan 2021

Please see my review in the attached file.

Associate Editor

MINOR REVISION 7 Jan 2021

Your paper has been evaluated by external peer reviewers. Please make your revisions according to the reviewers' comments.

Editor-in-Chief

MINOR REVISION 7 Jan 2021

Your paper has been evaluated by external peer reviewers. Please make your revisions according to the reviewers' comments. I would appreciate it if you could make the revisions as soon as possible using the track changes mode in MS Word and colored text.

After revision, authors are obliged to upload a Word file containing the reviewers' comments and their responses to all comments, one by one.

Besides, please pay attention to the word count limitation:
Word count limits are declared in this link: http://kowsarpub.com/en/index.php/services/for-authors/895-word-count

We look forward to receiving your revised manuscript. Thank you for sending your work to the Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences.

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

All comments of the honorable reviewers were applied. Of course, in the case of Table 1, several variables were removed from the table and were instead mentioned in the text. Summarizing the second table by removing parts of it may have affected the totality of the table negatively. However, if the esteemed reviewer is not pleased with the changes, we can remove some other parts as well.

In response to the honorable reviewer who said:

Although the ANCOVA test could have been used to analyze this part because of the similarities in baseline values of the groups, since the participants were randomly assigned to the target groups, and on the other hand, changes in the mean response of the groups were the target of analysis, there did not seem to be any particular limitations in choosing the method of analysis. Therefore, it was decided to apply repeated measures analysis of variance.

2 Revision 1

1 comment · 26 Feb 2021

Editor-in-Chief

MINOR REVISION 26 Feb 2021

Thank you for your recent revisions.
Your paper has now been evaluated again. Unfortunately, you have not made all of the requested revisions, nor have you responded to all of the reviewers' comments. Please make your revisions according to the appended comments. I would appreciate it if you could make the revisions as soon as possible using the track changes mode in MS Word and colored text.

3

Revision 2

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Hello

All comments of the honorable reviewers were applied.

3 Revision 2

1 comment · 3 Apr 2021

Editor-in-Chief

ACCEPT 3 Apr 2021

We have completed our review of your manuscript and are pleased to inform you that it is considered for publication in the IJPBS. Note that the publication of your article will only be finalized upon your cooperation in the editorial process.
Thank you for your fine contribution. On behalf of the Editors of IJPBS, we look forward to your continued contributions to the Journal.