IJ Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences
Volume 15 · Issue 4 · e114080

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Occupational Violence and Its Association with the Quality of Working Life of Nurses in Intensive Care Units of Educational-Medical Centers in 2019

Fahimeh Ghasemi, Ravanbakhsh Esmaeili, Seyed-Nouraddin Mousavi Nasab, Hedayat Jafari

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
24 Feb 2021	4 Jul 2021	2 Sep 2021	10.5812/ijpbs.114080

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 **Original submission** Referee 1, Editor-in-Chief 2
2 **Revision 1** Editor-in-Chief 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 7

1 Original submission

2 comments · 27 May 2021 – 5 Jun 2021

Referee 1 Reviewer

REJECT 27 May 2021

1. Your manuscript needs to be revised to ensure fluent English. Throughout your manuscript, the language follows Persian sentence structure. All the text needs editing for proper English grammar and correction by a native English speaker.
2. In the abstract, the conclusion is too long; please summarize it. Rewrite the abstract based on the same articles.
3. What is the novelty of your study?
4. The introduction must include acceptable reasons that satisfy the academic reader; currently, the introduction contains only some information related to the variables.
5. In the methods, please explain how the study size was determined (based on a formula).
6. In the methods, please describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias.
7. The inclusion and exclusion criteria were not mentioned; please revise the methods based on sample articles.
8. In the discussion, please discuss the limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Also, discuss both the direction and magnitude of any potential bias.
9. In the references, please use the abbreviated name of every journal. You can use Wikipedia OR Index Medicus.

Editor-in-Chief

MINOR REVISION 5 Jun 2021

Your paper has been evaluated by external peer reviewers. Please make your revisions according to the reviewers' comments. I would appreciate it if you could make the revisions as soon as possible using the track changes mode in MS Word and colored text.

After revision, authors are obliged to upload a Word file containing the reviewers' comments and their replies to all comments, one by one.

Besides, please pay attention to the word count limitation:
Word count limits are declared in this link: http://kowsarpub.com/en/index.php/services/for-authors/895-word-count

We look forward to receiving your revised manuscript. Thank you for sending your work to the Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences.

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

In the name of God

With thanks for the valuable comments and suggestions of the respected reviewers

comments	answers
Reviewer 1 1. You should state the Research gaps in your studies and the need to conduct your study, for which you should refer to similar Review studies and mention their gaps	With regards, revises do in 2 and 3 pages.
2. You are allowed to use the old reference when you don't have newer references so I think it's better to revise your old references.	References updated
3- Is Richard Walton Quality of Work Life questionnaire has been validated in Iran?	Yes. It dose. Article add in forth page.
Reviewer 2: *1.* Your manuscript needs to revised based on fluent writing English. In all your manuscript, the language has Persian structure. All the text needs editing for proper English grammar and native correction.	With regards, edit did again and changes highlight with track change.
2. In abstract, conclusion is too long, please summarized it. Rewrite the abstract based on the same articles.	With regards, this part revised.
3. What is your novelty in conduct this study?	With respect, violence in clinical settings is an important phenomenon that has a negative effect on nurses' quality of work life. Using the findings of this research, the factors affecting occupational violence and quality of work life can be controlled, and the promotion of nurses' physical and mental health, and consequently improvement of the quality of nursing services, can be achieved. This has also .been mentioned in the text Given the results and novelty of the study, nursing managers will

	also adopt effective strategies to create favorable working conditions under which nurses' quality of work life is also improved, so that, by resolving their problems, the incidence of violent behaviors among them is reduced. In addition, no study has been conducted in Mazandaran in recent years to examine the relationship between quality of work life and occupational violence in intensive care units; therefore, the researchers decided to conduct this study.
4. Introduction must include acceptable reasons which satisfied academic reader, in introduction there is only some information related to variables.	Whit regards, content added in second and third page.
5. In methods, please explain how the study size was arrived at (based on formula)?	Considering the minimum correlation between the variables (r=0.20), a sample size of 195 was estimated using the formula below, and to increase precision, 220 samples will be collected. $\beta=0.20 \propto =0.05$ and $n=\left(\frac{Z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}+Z_{1-\beta}}{0.5*\ln\left(\frac{1+r}{1-r}\right)}\right)^2+3$
6. In methods, please describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias.	After the sample individuals under study have been identified, by giving explanations about the objectives of the project and obtaining consent from the nurses, [the researcher] will distribute the questionnaire

	individually among the participants and, after allotting sufficient time for .responding, will collect them
7. Inclusion and exclusion were not mentioned, please revise method based on sample articles.	With regards, this part was written also has now been edited.
8. In discussion, please discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Also, discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias.	Whit regards, potential bias is not in this study also the limitations of the study were expressed after the conclusion in limitation's part.
9. In references, please use the abbreviated name of every journal. You can use the Wikipedia OR Index medicos.	With regards, references 7, 11, 30, 43 were revised.

2 Revision 1

1 comment · 2 Sep 2021

Editor-in-Chief

ACCEPT 2 Sep 2021

We have completed our review of your manuscript and are pleased to inform you that it is being considered for publication in the IJPBS. Note that the publication of your article will only be finalized upon your cooperation in the editorial process.
Thank you for your fine contribution. On behalf of the Editors of IJPBS, we look forward to your continued contributions to the Journal.