IJ Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences
Volume 16 · Issue 4 · e115047

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Factors Affecting the Prevention of Violence Questionnaire in Female Students: Design and Psychometric Properties

Ali Ramezankhani, Yadollah Mehrabi, Fatemeh Alhani, AbouAli Vedadhir, Fatemeh Mohammadkhah

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
11 Apr 2021	14 Jun 2022	24 Sep 2022	10.5812/ijpbs-115047

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 **Original submission** Referee 1, Referee 2, Referee 3, Soheila Shahshahani, Referee 4, Associate Editor, E... 2

2 **Revision 1** Referee 5, Referee 4, Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 6

Authors' reply to reviewers 7

Reviewer and editor comments 15

3 **Revision 2** Editor-in-Chief 16

Authors' reply to reviewers 17

Reviewer and editor comments 28

4 **Revision 3** Referee 4, Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 29

Authors' reply to reviewers 30

Reviewer and editor comments 31

1 Original submission

7 comments · 25 Apr 2021 – 25 May 2021

Referee 1 Reviewer

MAJOR REVISION 25 Apr 2021

Thank you,
There are some points to address to improve the article:
The whole article needs language revision. Please pay attention to appropriate punctuation as well. Some sentences are unfinished.
Here are some examples, but not all of them:
Lines 45-48: “The financial burden of violence against oneself in Brazil in 2004 was 47 46 million dollars, and interpersonal violence was 427 million dollars, and in Thailand in 47 2005, violence against oneself was 553 million dollars, and interpersonal violence was 48 1.3 million dollars [7].” This sentence needs revision.
Separate each country and make the necessary corrections to the wording of the sentences.
Line 71: “…Following the searches, internal and external articles that…” Please use “Iranian and worldwide research articles” instead of internal and external articles, respectively.
Lines 71-73: “Following the searches, internal and external articles that designed the tools of the factors influencing violence prevention were not found, which is proof of the present plan's novelty.” Please elaborate. What exactly do you mean? This claim needs to be proved.
The article leaves some important points unclear, so please explain the method clearly and in detail: how you selected the schools; which types of schools; what the exact sampling method was; how you designed the interview and on which theoretical bases; who administered the in-depth interviews and how much experience they had; how you determined the sample size; and the like.
Line 141: varix rotation: to be corrected to: varimax rotation
Insomnia disorder to be corrected to sleep disorders or insomnia.
emotion-provoking emotions??
Add appropriate limitations to your article.

Referee 2 Reviewer

MAJOR REVISION 1 May 2021

hi dear editor

Please add the latest rate of violence among students and specify the problems in previous research to lead up to the study purpose.
Please use the same words throughout the text. For example, questionnaire or tool?
This research has limitations regarding the use of other types of validity (for example, convergent validity, etc.).
Report more details about the cluster sampling.
Discussion is inadequate. Please compare with further research and report future directions.
In the method section, the questionnaire used should be explained in detail.
The discussion should be restructured to focus on comparison with previous research and explanation of the results.

Referee 3 Reviewer — MAJOR REVISION — 7 May 2021

Valuable work has been done; however, extensive review is needed, especially in the results section.

Soheila Shahshahani Reviewer — MAJOR REVISION — 20 May 2021

It would be useful with some changes. Here are my comments:
In the abstract, the background is incomplete.
It isn't clear how many questions remained in the final version of the questionnaire.
In the keywords, Violence prevention is the most important keyword. Waltz method is not a good keyword.
In the introduction, some sentences need precise editing. Please describe the negative and positive points of these tools and the need for creating a new questionnaire.
In the results, some parts are related to the methods, not the results. Did you evaluate the version that includes 212 questions?
Please add more information about the characteristics of the students and clarify the ethical considerations.
Is insomnia related to student-specific experiences? Please clarify this.
In the conclusion, this tool cannot prevent violence in female students; it can predict the risk of violence against female adolescents.
At the end, the article needs precise English editing.

Referee 4 Reviewer — MAJOR REVISION — 22 May 2021

1. The title of the manuscript isn't appropriate. design and psychometrics of!!! Please download similar published articles in our journal and write the title and abstract section according to that format:
2. "…design and psychometrics"… "methodological research" " internal reliability ", "sand plot", "subsidiary subcategories "… do not conform to academic writing conventions.
3. Mention Iran in the abstract.
4. Internal reliability??? Or internal consistency?
5. Please list your keywords in alphabetical order and use MeSH terms.
6. Firstly, it seems that there are many short paragraphs in your article. Please merge those paragraphs that only have one or two sentences with adjacent paragraphs. These short paragraphs negatively affect the cohesion and coherence of your work.
7. Please download similar published articles in our journal and write the method section according to that format.
8. In the method section: study design? Cluster sampling? Or sampling?
9. In the result section, mention item analysis.
10. How much of the variance could these 6 factors explain, according to the analysis?

Associate Editor — MAJOR REVISION — 22 May 2021

Thank you,
There are some points to address to improve the article:
Reviewer 1
The whole article needs language revision; please pay attention to appropriate punctuation as well. Some sentences are unfinished.

Here are some examples, but not all of them:
Lines 45- 48: “The financial burden of violence against oneself in Brazil in 2004 was 47 46 million dollars, and interpersonal violence was 427 million dollars, and in Thailand in 47 2005, violence against oneself was 553 million dollars, and interpersonal violence was 48 1.3 million dollars [7].” This sentence needs to be revised. Separate each country and make the necessary corrections to the wording of the sentences.
Line 71: “…Following the searches, internal and external articles that…” Please use “Iranian and worldwide research articles” instead of internal and external articles, respectively.
Lines 71-73: “Following the searches, internal and external articles that designed the tools of the factors influencing violence prevention were not found, which is proof of the present plan's novelty.” Please elaborate: what do you mean exactly? This claim needs to be proved.
The article leaves some important points unclear, so please explain the method clearly and in detail: how you selected the schools—which types of schools—the exact sampling method—how you designed the interview and on which theoretical bases—who administered the in-depth interviews and how much experience they had—how you determined the sample size—and the like.
Line 141: varix rotation: to be corrected to varimax rotation
Insomnia disorder is to be corrected to sleep disorders or insomnia.
emotion-provoking emotions??
Add appropriate limitations to your article.
Reviewer 2
Please add an updated rate of violence among students and specify the problems in previous research to lead up to the study purpose.
Please use the same words throughout the text. For example, questionnaire or tool?
This research has limitations regarding the use of other types of validity (for example, convergent validity).
Report more details about the cluster sampling.
The discussion is inadequate. Please compare the findings with those of further research and report future directions.
In the method section, the questionnaire used should be explained in detail.
The discussion should be restructured to focus on the comparison with previous research and the explanation of the results.
Reviewer 3
Valuable work has been done; however, the article needs extensive review, especially in the results section.
Reviewer 4
The review comments are in the uploaded file.
The article needs to be revised in terms of writing psychometric articles and academic writing.
Reviewer 5
It would be useful with some changes. Here are my comments:
In the abstract, the background is incomplete.
It isn’t clear how many questions remained in the final version of the questionnaire.
In the keywords, Violence prevention is the most important keyword. Waltz method is not a good keyword.
In the introduction, some sentences need precise editing. Please describe the negative and positive points of these tools and the need for creating a new questionnaire.
In the results, some parts are related to methods, not the results. Did you evaluate the version that includes 212 questions?
Please add more information about the characteristics of the students and clarify the ethical considerations.
Is insomnia related to student-specific experiences? Please clarify this.
In the conclusion, this tool cannot prevent violence in female students; it can predict the risk of violence

against female adolescents.
Finally, the article needs precise English editing.
Reviewer 6
1. The title of the manuscript isn't appropriate. design and psychometrics of!!! Please download similar published articles in our journal and write the title and abstract section according to that format:
2. "…design and psychometrics"… "methodological research" " internal reliability ", "sand plot", "subsidiary subcategories "… do not follow academic writing conventions.
3. Mention Iran in the abstract.
4. internal reliability??? Or internal consistency
5. Please write your keywords in alphabetical order and according to MeSH.
6. Firstly, it seems that there are many short paragraphs in your article. Please merge those paragraphs that have only one or two sentences with adjacent paragraphs. These short paragraphs negatively affect the cohesion and coherence of your work.
7. Please download similar published articles in our journal and write the method section according to that format.
8. In the method section: study design? Cluster sampling? Or sampling?
9. In the results section, mention item analysis.
10. The analysis indicated that these 6 factors could explain how much of the variance?

Editor-in-Chief MAJOR REVISION 25 May 2021

Your paper has been evaluated by external peer reviewers. Please make your revisions according to the reviewers' comments. I would appreciate it if you could make the revisions as soon as possible using the track changes mode in MS Word and colored text.

After revision, authors are obliged to upload a Word file containing the reviewers' comments and their point-by-point responses to all comments.

Besides, please pay attention to the word count limitation:
Word count limits are specified at this link: http://kowsarpub.com/en/index.php/services/for-authors/895-word-count

We look forward to receiving your revised manuscript. Thank you for sending your work to the Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences.

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

In the name of God

Reviewers' Comments:

Reviewer 1:

Q1: Valuable work has been done, however, especially in the section of results needs extensive review.

R1: Respectfully, corrections had been done, however, especially in the section of results.

Reviewer 2:

hi dear editor

Q2. Please add new rate of violence in student and precise Previous research problems to lead up to the study purpose.

R2: Respectfully, corrections had been done, add new rate of violence in student and precise Previous research problems to lead up to the study purpose.

Q3. Please use the same words throughout the text. For example, questionnaire or tool?

R3: Respectfully, corrections had been done, use questionnaire throughout the text And other similar words were checked and standardized.

Q4. report More details about the cluster sampling .

R4: Respectfully, corrections had been done, report More details about the cluster sampling IN THE METHOD SECTION (For sampling in this study, cluster sampling method was used. At first, 8 schools whose lists were prepared through the Department of Education were selected. Then, as far as possible, all students from each school were selected as a statistical sample. sampling method in Rudsar City, Iran).

Q5. Discussion is inadequate. Please compare with Further research and report future direction.

R5: Respectfully, corrections had been done, In the Discussion section.

Q6. In the method section, the questionnaire used should be explained in detail.

R6. Respectfully, corrections had been done, In the method section, the questionnaire used had been explained in detail(a questionnaire to prevent factors of violent behavior of female students, including structural questions of safe society (6 questions), model peers (10 questions). Questions), safe family (13 questions), student specific experiences (10 questions), feelings stimulated affection (6 questions) and efficient educational organization (11 questions). The answers to the questionnaire questions were five-choice and based on the Likert scale, with a score of 1 to 5. In questions 10, 11, 21-28, 35, 37, 38, 39, 40, 43, the scores were reversed, so that the option "strongly agree" received 1 point and the option "strongly disagree" received 5 points. In the form of this 56-factor questionnaire based on the

Likert scale of five values, the respondents were asked to state how effective that factor is in preventing violence).

Q7. The discussion restructured to focus on the compare with previous research and explanation of result.

R7: Respectfully, corrections had been done, In the Discussion section.

Reviewer 3:

Thank you,

There some points to improve the article:

Q8: The whole article needs revise literarily, please pay attention to appropriate punctuations as well. Some sentences left unfinished.

Here are some examples but not all of them:

Lines 45- 48: "The financial burden of violence against oneself in Brazil in 2004 was 47 46 million dollars, and interpersonal violence was 427 million dollars, and in Thailand in 47 2005, violence against oneself was 553 million dollars, and interpersonal violence was 48 1.3 million dollars [7]." This sentence needs revise. Separate each country and make needed correction in articulating the sentences.

Line 71: "...Following the searches, internal and external articles that..." please apply "Iranian and worldwide research articles" instead of internal and external articles respectively.

Lines 71-73: "Following the searches, internal and external articles that designed the tools of the factors influencing violence prevention were not found, which is proof of the present plan's novelty." Please elaborate it, what do you mean exactly? This claim needs to be proved.

R8. Respectfully, the corrections were made in the whole text, especially in the lines mentioned above.

Q9. The article left some important points unclear; so please explain in detail and clearly about the method: how you selected the schools- which types of school- how was the exact sampling method- how you designed the interview and on which theoretical bases- who administered the in-depth interviews with how much experience- how you determined sample size- and the like

R9. Respectfully, the corrections were made in the method section, especially how you selected the schools- which types of school- how was the exact sampling method- how you designed the interview and on which theoretical bases- who administered the in-depth interviews with how much experience- how you determined sample size- and the like.

Q10. Line 141: varix rotation: to be corrected as: varimax rotation

R10. Respectfully, the corrections were made in the whole text and varix rotation had been corrected as: varimax rotation.

Q11. Insomnia disorder to be corrected as sleep disorders or insomnia.

R11. Respectfully, the corrections were made in the whole text and Insomnia disorder had been corrected as: insomnia.

Q12. emotion-provoking emotions??

R12. Respectfully, the corrections were made in the whole text and emotions had been corrected as: emotion-provoking.

Q13. Add appropriate limitations to your article

R13. Respectfully, We Add appropriate limitations to our article.

Reviewer 4:

It would be useful by some changes. Here is my comments:

Q14: In the abstract, the background is incomplete.

R14. Respectfully, In the abstract, the background had been complete.

Q15: It isn't clear that how many questions were remained in the final version of the questionnaire?

R15: Respectfully, In the Result, Added 48 questions were remained in the final version of the questionnaire.

Q16: In the keywords, Violence prevention is the most important key words. Waltz method is not a good keyword.

R16: Respectfully, In the Abstract, Added Violence prevention key words AND Delated Waltz method From keywords.

Q17: In the introduction part, some sentences need precise editing, please describe the negative and positive points of these tools and the need for creating a new questionnaire.

R17: Respectfully, the corrections were made in the introduction part.

Q18: In the results, some parts are related to methods not the results. Did you evaluate the version that includes 212 questions?

R18: WE evaluate the version that includes 212 questions. In quantitative content validity assessment, 4 questions were omitted and in qualitative content validity assessment, 50 questions were omitted. It is noteworthy that the General Directorate of Education of Gilan Province removed question 36 from the questionnaire (which is not one of the questions affecting violence) and did not allow us to ask this question to students in Rudsar. In the quantitative face validity assessment stage by the students, 27 items did not score the required points (question 26 is not one of the effective factors in violence) and were eliminated. According to the opinions of the research team, the written opinions of the experts and the oral and written opinions of the students participating in the study, the questionnaire questions are very numerous, time consuming and confusing. On the other hand, many questions of the questionnaire are similar and can be deleted and integrated with other items of the questionnaire, so the researcher decided to remove similar and integral questions in the questionnaire. Therefore, in the present study, for each item in the questionnaire (56 items), 10 students were selected by cluster sampling. On the other hand, since the violence in the student and those around him was measured with 4 questions, a total of 600 people completed

the questionnaire. Of these 600, the information of 400 participants was allocated to exploratory factor analysis and the information of the remaining 200 participants was allocated to confirmatory factor analysis. In order to separate these two groups of data from each other, the random selection section of the option to select a section of data with a specific criterion of the SPSS statistical software data menu was used to separate these two groups randomly.

Q19: Please add something more about the characteristic of the students and clarify the ethical consideration.

R19: Respectfully, the corrections were made in the method and result parts.

Q20: Is insomnia related to student-specific experiences? Please clarify this.

R20: yes. insomnia Is related to student-specific experiences. We Attentioned to insomnia in discussion section(Another factor influencing the violence of female students is their specific experiences. Interviewed students stated that abnormal physical changes (such as physical and mental changes in puberty and physical changes due to physical and mental illness), unhealthy lifestyles (such as hunger and insomnia), unpleasant life events, and academic problems lead to specific experiences are effective in the violence of female students.).

Q21: In the conclusion, this tool cannot prevent violence in female student; it can predict the risk of violence against female adolescents.

R21: Respectfully, the corrections were made in the conclusion, and (this tool cannot prevent violence in female student) had been replace with(it can predict the risk of violence against female adolescents.)

Q22: At the end, the article needs precise English editing.

R22: The whole article has been edited.

Reviewer 5:

Comments of the review present in the uploaded file .

Q23: The article needs to be revised in terms of writing psychometric articles and academic writing .

R23: The whole article has been revised in terms of writing psychometric articles and academic writing.

Reviewer 6:

Q24. Title of manuscript isn't appropriate. design and psychometrics of!!! Please download similar published articles in our journal and write the title and abstract section according to that format:

R24: we downloaded similar published articles in your journal and writed the title and abstract section according to that format.

Q25: "...design and psychometrics"... "methodological research" " internal reliability ", "sand plot", "subsidiary subcategories "... isn't follow academic writing, it seems English translation have done by a person that is not master in this field.

R25: The whole article has been edited.

Q26: Mention Iran in abstract

R26: Respectfully, Mention Iran in abstract.

Q27. internal reliability??? Or internal consistency.

R27: Respectfully, Internal Consistency Reliability has been replace with internal reliability.

Q28: Please write your keywords in an alphabetic turn and MeSH

R28: Respectfully, we write our keywords in an alphabetic turn and MeSH.

Q29: Firstly it seems that there are many short paragraphs in your article. Please merge those paragraphs that only have one or two sentences to their near paragraphs. It has bad effects on your

R29: Respectfully, The whole article has been correct and coherence in our work and merge those paragraphs that only have one or two sentences to their near paragraphs.

Q30: Please download similar published articles in our journal and write the method section according to that format

R30: Respectfully, we download similar published articles in your journal and write the method section according to that format.

Q31: In the method section: study design? Cluster sampling? Or sampling?

R31: Respectfully, the corrections were made in the method section.

Q32: In the result section mention item analysis?

R32: Respectfully, the corrections were made in the results section and mention item analysis.

Q33: analysis indicated these 6 factors could explain how many of the variance?

R33: Respectfully, the corrections were made in the results section and indicated these 6 factors could explain 38.17% of the variance.

Associate Editor's Comments:

EIC Decision:

Q34: Your paper has been evaluated by external peer reviewers. Please do your revisions according to the reviewers' comments. I appreciate you to do

the revisions as soon as possible by using the track changes mode in MS Word and using colored text.

After revision authors are obliged to upload reviewers' comments word file in which they have replied to all comments one by one.

Besides, please pay attention to the word count limitation:

Word count limits are declared in this link: http://kowsarpub.com/en/index.php/services/for-authors/895-word-count

We look forward to receiving your revised manuscript. Thank you for sending your work to the Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences.

Uploaded Files by Reviewers/Associate Editor/EIC:

Below you can find list of files attached by reviewers or associate editor or EIC during the review process (if any).

#	Uploaded By	Upload Date	Download
1	Reviewer	2021-04-24 03:42:40	Link
2	Reviewer	2021-05-07 15:52:54	Link
3	Reviewer	2021-05-20 17:43:16	Link
4	Reviewer	2021-05-22 12:41:22	Link

R34: Respectfully, all the comments suggested by the judges in the above files have been modified in the text of the article. We are very grateful for the valuable comments of all the judges and the respected editor of the Journal. Thank you very much.

2 Revision 1

4 comments · 18 Aug 2021 – 15 Jan 2022

Referee 5 Reviewer

MINOR REVISION 18 Aug 2021

There are repetitive sentences in the background and methods sections, such as line 115. Please rewrite the text again.

The text still has editing and grammatical problems, for example, "purposive samplind." Please correct them.

Referee 4 Reviewer

MINOR REVISION 31 Dec 2021

INTRODUCTION is too long; please summarize it.
Some comments have been missed; please consider all of the peer review comments.
The manuscript should be edited by a native English speaker.

Associate Editor

MINOR REVISION 31 Dec 2021

dear authors
1- There are repetitive sentences in the background and methods sections, such as line 115. Please rewrite the text again.
2- The text still has editing and grammatical problems, for example, purposive sampling. Please correct them.
3- INTRODUCTION is too long; please summarize it.
4- Some comments have been missed; please consider all of the peer review comments.
5- The manuscript should be edited by a native English speaker.

Editor-in-Chief

MINOR REVISION 15 Jan 2022

Thank you for your recent revisions.
Your paper has now been evaluated again. According to the reviewers' and associate editor's comments, it needs some more revisions. Please make your revisions according to these comments. I would appreciate it if you could make the revisions as soon as possible. The full manuscript file must be highlighted to indicate revised text.

3

Revision 2

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

IN THE NAME OF GOD

Iran J Psychiatry Behav Sci, manuscript ID: 115047, rev [1], needs Minor Revision

2022-01-15 06:06:29

- **Journal:** Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences
- **Manuscript ID:** 115047
- **Manuscript Title:** Design and Psychometric Properties of Factors Affecting the Prevention of Violence Among Female Students Questionnaire.
- **Revision:** [1]

Dear Author,

Thank you for submitting your valuable manuscript to the journal. We would like to inform you that the peer review of your manuscript is now completed and despite several useful points, it needs "**Minor Revision**". Below you may find the peer review comments. Please reply to them one-by-one and re-submit it again.

Reviewers' Comments:

Reviewer1

Q1:INTRODUCTION is too long, please summarize that.

R1: Respectfully, corrections had been done(lines:37-115).

Q2:some comments have been missed, please consider all of peer review comments

R2: Respectfully, corrections had been done:

Reviewers' Comments:

Reviewer 1:

Q1: Valuable work has been done, however, especially in the section of results needs extensive review.

R1: *Respectfully, corrections had been done, however, especially in the section of results(lines:239-315).*

Reviewer 2:

hi dear editor

Q2. Please add new rate of violence in student and precise Previous research problems to lead up to the study purpose.

R2: Respectfully, corrections had been done, add new rate of violence in student and precise Previous research problems to lead up to the study purpose (lines:66-80,87-111).

Q3. Please use the same words throughout the text. For example, questionnaire or tool?

R3: Respectfully, corrections had been done, use questionnaire throughout the text And other similar words were checked and standardized(lines:1-24-28-31-34-81-82-84-93-109-114-119-121-124-140-143-146-150-184-218-234-276-300-313-317-440)

Q4. report More details about the cluster sampling .

R4: Respectfully, corrections had been done, report More details about the cluster sampling IN THE METHOD SECTION (. The cluster sampling method was used in the second step of the study. At first, 8 schools (all governmental and non-governmental schools in Rudsar) whose lists were prepared through the Department of Education were selected.*). (lines:129-131).*

Q5. Discussion is inadequate. Please compare with Further research and report future direction.

R5: Respectfully, corrections had been done, In the Discussion section (lines:326-346,376-415,418-423,425-427,430-434)

.

Q6. In the method section, the questionnaire used should be explained in detail.

*R6. Respectfully, corrections had been done, In the method section, the questionnaire used had been explained in detail(*In this section, data were collected using the questionnaire designed by self-reporting method, the questionnaire of factors preventing violent behavior of female students, including the demographic section (21 questions), structural questions of insecure society (18 questions), structural questions of dimensions of violence (5 questions), structural questions of model peers (25 questions), structural questions of the insecure family (68 questions), structural questions of student-specific experiences (31 questions), structural questions of emotion-provoking (12 questions), and structural questions of the efficient educational organization (59 questions). For this purpose, the data were first identified as suitable for heuristic factor analysis*). (lines203-211, 272-287).*

Q7. The discussion restructured to focus on the compare with previous research and explanation of result.

R7: Respectfully, corrections had been done, In the Discussion section. (lines: lines:326-346,376-415,418-423,425-427,430-43

Reviewer 3:

Thank you,

There some points to improve the article:

Q8: The whole article needs revise literarily, please pay attention to appropriate punctuations as well. Some sentences left unfinished.

Here are some examples but not all of them:

Lines 45- 48: "The financial burden of violence against oneself in Brazil in 2004 was 47 46 million dollars, and interpersonal violence was 427 million dollars, and in Thailand in 47 2005, violence against oneself was 553 million dollars, and interpersonal violence was 48 1.3 million dollars [7]." This sentence needs revise. Separate each country and make needed correction in articulating the sentences.

R: Respectfully,THIS SECTION WAS REMOVED.

Line 71: "…Following the searches, internal and external articles that…" please apply "Iranian and worldwide research articles" instead of internal and external articles respectively.

Lines 71-73: "Following the searches, internal and external articles that designed the tools of the factors influencing violence prevention were not found, which is proof of the present plan's novelty." Please elaborate it, what do you mean exactly? This claim needs to be proved.

R8. Respectfully, the corrections were made in the whole text, especially in the lines mentioned above.(lines:109-111)

Q9. The article left some important points unclear; so please explain in detail and clearly about the method: how you selected the schools- which types of school- how was the exact sampling method- how you designed the interview and on which theoretical bases- who administered the in-depth interviews with how much experience- how you determined sample size- and the like

R9. Respectfully, the corrections were made in the method section, especially how you selected the schools- which types of school- how was the exact sampling method- how you designed the interview and on which theoretical bases- who administered the in-depth interviews with how much experience- how you determined sample size- and the like(lines:122-138, 153-213).

Q10. Line 141: varix rotation: to be corrected as: varimax rotation

R10. Respectfully, the corrections were made in the whole text and varix rotation had been corrected as: varimax rotation. (lines:291-292)

Q11. Insomnia disorder to be corrected as sleep disorders or insomnia.

R11. Respectfully, corrections had been done(lines:359-372)

Q12. emotion-provoking emotions??

R12. Respectfully, the corrections were made in the whole text and emotions had been corrected as: emotion-provoking(lines:209-372-580-586-590)

.

Q13. Add appropriate limitations to your article

R13. Respectfully, We Add appropriate limitations to our article. (lines:430-434)

Reviewer 4:

It would be useful by some changes. Here is my comments:

Q14: In the abstract, the background is incomplete.

R14. Respectfully, In the abstract, the background had been complete(lines:8-12)

.

Q15: It isn't clear that how many questions were remained in the final version of the questionnaire?

R15: Respectfully, In the Result, Added 48 questions were remained in the final version of the questionnaire(lines:309).

Q16: In the keywords, Violence prevention is the most important key words. Waltz method is not a good keyword.

R16: Respectfully, In the Abstract, Added Violence prevention key words AND Delated Waltz method From keywords (lines:34-35)

Q17: In the introduction part, some sentences need precise editing, please describe the negative and positive points of these tools and the need for creating a new questionnaire.

R17: Respectfully, the corrections were made in the introduction part. (lines:87-93, 104-108, 109-111)

Q18: In the results, some parts are related to methods not the results. Did you evaluate the version that includes 212 questions?

R18: WE evaluate the version that includes 212 questions. In quantitative content validity assessment, 4 questions were omitted and in qualitative content validity assessment, 50 questions were omitted. It is noteworthy that the General Directorate of Education of Gilan Province removed question 36 from the questionnaire (which is not one of the questions affecting violence) and did not allow us to ask this question to students in Rudsar. In the quantitative face validity assessment stage by the students, 27 items did not score the required points (question 26 is not one of the effective factors in violence) and were eliminated. According to the opinions of the research team, the written opinions of the experts and the oral and written opinions of the students participating in the study, the questionnaire questions are very numerous, time consuming and confusing. On the other hand, many questions of the questionnaire are similar and can be deleted and integrated with other items of the questionnaire, so the researcher decided to remove similar and integral questions in the questionnaire. Therefore, in the present study, for each item in the questionnaire (56 items), 10 students were selected by cluster sampling. On the other hand, since the violence in the student and those around him was measured with 4 questions, a total of 600 people completed the questionnaire. Of these 600, the information of 400 participants was allocated to exploratory factor analysis and the information of the remaining 200 participants was allocated to confirmatory factor analysis. In order to separate these two groups of data from each other, the random selection section of the option to select a section of data with a specific criterion of the SPSS statistical software data menu was used to separate these two groups randomly(lines:247-270)

.

Q19: Please add something more about the characteristic of the students and clarify the ethical consideration.

R19: Respectfully, the corrections were made in the method and result parts(lines: 120-122,153-167,239-245, 565-574).

Q20: Is insomnia related to student-specific experiences? Please clarify this.

R20: yes. insomnia Is related to student-specific experiences. We Attentioned to insomnia in discussion section(Another factor influencing the violence of female students is their specific experiences. Interviewed students stated that abnormal physical changes (such as physical and mental changes in puberty and physical changes due to physical and mental illness), unhealthy lifestyles (such as hunger and insomnia), unpleasant life events, and academic problems lead to specific experiences are effective in the violence of female students.).(lines:359-372)

Q21: In the conclusion, this tool cannot prevent violence in female student; it can predict the risk of violence against female adolescents.

R21: Respectfully, the corrections were made in the conclusion, and (this tool cannot prevent violence in female student) had been replace with(it can predict the risk of violence against female adolescents.)(liens:31-32, 440-443)

Q22: At the end, the article needs precise English editing.

R22: The whole article has been edited.

Reviewer 5:

Comments of the review present in the uploaded file .

Q23: The article needs to be revised in terms of writing psychometric articles and academic writing .

R23: The whole article has been revised in terms of writing psychometric articles and academic writing.

Reviewer 6:

Q24. Title of manuscript isn't appropriate. design and psychometrics of!!! Please download similar published articles in our journal and write the title and abstract section according to that format:

R24: we downloaded similar published articles in your journal and writed the title and abstract section according to that format(lines:1-2,7-35).

Q25: "…design and psychometrics"… "methodological research" " internal reliability ", "sand plot", "subsidiary subcategories "… isn't follow academic writing, it seems English translation have done by a person that is not master in this field.

R25: The whole article has been edited.

Q26: Mention Iran in abstract

R26: Respectfully, Mention Iran in abstract(line:16).

Q27. internal reliability??? Or internal consistency.

R27: Respectfully, Internal Consistency Reliability has been replace with internal reliability(line:314).

Q28: Please write your keywords in an alphabetic turn and MeSH

R28: Respectfully, we write our keywords in an alphabetic turn and MeSH(lines:34-35).

Q29: Firstly it seems that there are many short paragraphs in your article. Please merge those paragraphs that only have one or two sentences to their near paragraphs. It has bad effects on your

R29: Respectfully, The whole article has been correct and coherence in our work and merge those paragraphs that only have one or two sentences to their near paragraphs.

Q30: Please download similar published articles in our journal and write the method section according to that format

R30: Respectfully, we download similar published articles in your journal and write the method section according to that format.

Q31: In the method section: study design? Cluster sampling? Or sampling?

R31: Respectfully, the corrections were made in the method section. But in this study the sampling method, was cluster sampling. (line:127-213).

Q32: In the result section mention item analysis?

R32: Respectfully, the corrections were made in the results section and mention item analysis(lines: 214-218, 264-286).

Q33: analysis indicated these 6 factors could explain how many of the variance?

R33: Respectfully, the corrections were made in the results section and indicated these 6 factors could explain 38.17% of the variance(lines:310).

Associate Editor's Comments:

EIC Decision:

Q34: Your paper has been evaluated by external peer reviewers. Please do your revisions according to the reviewers' comments. I appreciate you to do the revisions as soon as possible by using the track changes mode in MS Word and using colored text.

R34: I do my revisions according to the reviewers' comments. I do the revisions by using the track changes mode in MS Word and using colored text.

After revision authors are obliged to upload reviewers' comments word file in which they have replied to all comments one by one.

Besides, please pay attention to the word count limitation:

Word count limits are declared in this link: http://kowsarpub.com/en/index.php/services/for-authors/895-word-count

We look forward to receiving your revised manuscript. Thank you for sending your work to the Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences.

Uploaded Files by Reviewers/Associate Editor/EIC:

Below you can find list of files attached by reviewers or associate editor or EIC during the review process (if any).

#	Uploaded By	Upload Date	Download
1	Reviewer	2021-04-24 03:42:40	Link
2	Reviewer	2021-05-07 15:52:54	Link
3	Reviewer	2021-05-20 17:43:16	Link
4	Reviewer	2021-05-22 12:41:22	Link

R34: Respectfully, all the comments suggested by the judges in the above files have been modified in the text of the article. We are very grateful for the valuable comments of all the judges and the respected editor of the Journal. Thank you very much.

Q3:The manuscript should be edited by an English-speaking native.

R3: Respectfully, corrections had been done.

Reviewer 2:

Q4:There are repetitive sentences in the background and methods section, such as line 115. Please rewrite the text again.

R3: Respectfully, corrections had been done

Q5:The text still has editing and grammatical problems, for example purposive samplind.please correct them.

R5: Respectfully, corrections had been done(Lines:18,122)

Associate Editor's Comments:

Associate Editor 1:
dear authors
Q6- There are repetitive sentences in the background and methods section, such as line 115. Please rewrite the text again.

R6: Respectfully, corrections had been done.

Q7- The text still has editing and grammatical problems, for example, purposive sampling. please correct them.

R7: Respectfully, corrections had been done(Lines: 18,122*)*

Q8- INTRODUCTION is too long, please summorize that.

R8: Respectfully, corrections had been done.

Q9: some comments have been missed, please consider all of peer review comments

R9: Respectfully, corrections had been done.

Q10: The manuscript should be edited by an English-speaking native

R10:Respectfully, corrections had been done.

EIC Decision:

Thank you for your recent revisions.
Your paper has now been evaluated again. According to the reviewers' and associate editor's comments it needs some more revisions. Please do your revisions according to these comments. I appreciate you to do the revisions as soon as possible. The full manuscript file must be highlighted to indicate revised texts.

Uploaded Files by Reviewers/Associate Editor/EIC

Below you can find the list of files attached by reviewers or associate editor or EIC during the review process (if any).

No file

How to Revise?

Please sign in to the journal with your account and follow the instruction to resubmit your revised paper. To resubmit, we need to receive:

- 1) A word file including replies to all reviewers' comments (one-by-one)
- 2) A highlighted manuscript word file (highlight all newly added sentences in your word file)

With respect to time limits, we are looking forward to hearing from you soon within the mentioned time frame.

Kind Support **Regards,**
Author Center,
Briefland

Have questions or need assistance?

- Please do not reply to this automated message.
- For further assistance, please contact our support: https://brief.land/support/
- You can also talk to our Author Support Center by Online chat on our journal's websites.
- your address book or safe senders list.

> Please consider the environment before printing this email.

Help to Author:

**** How to Submit Revision:** https://brief.land/ijpbs/en/knowledgebase/display/resubmit-manuscript.html

Copyright © 2022, Briefland. | All Rights Reserved. | CC BY-NC 4.0

3 Revision 2

1 comment · 21 May 2022

Editor-in-Chief

MINOR REVISION 21 May 2022

Thank you for your recent revisions.
Your paper has now been evaluated again. According to the reviewers' and associate editor's comments, it needs some more revisions. Please make your revisions according to these comments. I would appreciate it if you could make the revisions as soon as possible using the track changes mode in MS Word and colored text.

4

Revision 3

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Control of Word Counts: FAILED

Your manuscript contains word counts more than the maximum counts of the journal standards. To find out word count standards click here and modify your article.

- The maximum allowed word count for your article is: **3500**
- The word count of your article is: **7017**

Author: yes. Thanks.

Word Count Policy in Briefland Journals:

We strongly recommend our authors consider the below standards when submitting their manuscripts.

Category	Super Short Articles	Short Articles	Normal Articles	Long Articles
Maximum Word Count (including tables and references)	**500**	**1500**	**3500**	**5000**
Which types?	- Corrections - Retraction - Partial Retraction - Obituary - News - Reply	- Meeting Report - Introduction - In Brief - Letter - Brief Report - Article Commentary - Rapid Communication - Discussion - Abstract - Announcement - Calendar	- Research Article - Case Report - Methods Article - Book Review	- Review Article - Systematic Review - Meta-Analysis

If you had any questions, please contact us.

An overview of all accepted article types could

Control of Revised Files: FAILED

1) Please. do not use the **Commenting and Track Change** tools of Microsoft Office Word

Author: yes. Thanks. We do not use the Commenting and Track Change tools of Microsoft Office Word [and delated commenting and track chang tools of Microsoft Office Word].

4 Revision 3

3 comments · 31 Aug 2022 – 24 Sep 2022

Referee 4 Reviewer

ACCEPT 31 Aug 2022

Dear author.
Thanks for editing the manuscript.

Associate Editor

ACCEPT 31 Aug 2022

Dear author.
Thanks for editing the manuscript.

Editor-in-Chief

ACCEPT 24 Sep 2022

We have completed our review of your manuscript and are pleased to inform you that it is being considered for publication in the IJPBS. Note that the publication of your article will only be finalized upon your cooperation in the editorial process.
Thank you for your fine contribution. On behalf of the Editors of IJPBS, we look forward to your continued contributions to the Journal.