IJ Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences
Volume 16 · Issue 1 · e115767

OPEN PEER REVIEW

The Predictors of Mother Abuse in Male Students: Domestic Violence, Marital Conflict, Family Coherence, Parenting Styles, and Self-esteem

Zabihollah Abbaspour, Parisa Souri Laki, Gholamreza Rajabi

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
2 May 2021	19 Dec 2021	23 Dec 2021	10.5812/ijpbs.115767

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 **Original submission** Referee 1, Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 2
2 **Revision 1** Editor-in-Chief 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 11

1 Original submission

3 comments · 26 Nov 2021 – 26 Nov 2021

Referee 1 Reviewer

MINOR REVISION 26 Nov 2021

Please see my review in the attached file.

Associate Editor

MAJOR REVISION 26 Nov 2021

This is with further reference to your paper submitted for possible publication in IJPBS.
Your paper has been carefully reviewed, and it is suggested that the manuscript requires considerable revision and should be modified according to the reviewers' comments, which are appended below.

If you can satisfactorily reply to all the points raised by the reviewers, we would be pleased to consider a revised manuscript for further review. With your revised version, kindly upload an annotated list addressing each of the reviewers' comments and highlighting where changes have been made to the manuscript. This will facilitate and accelerate our assessment of the revised paper.

Editor-in-Chief

MAJOR REVISION 26 Nov 2021

Your paper has been evaluated by external peer reviewers. Please make your revisions according to the reviewers' comments.

After revision, authors are obliged to upload a Word file containing the reviewers' comments and their replies to all comments one by one.

Besides, please pay attention to the word count limitation:
Word count limits are declared in this link: http://kowsarpub.com/en/index.php/services/for-authors/895-word-count

We look forward to receiving your revised manuscript. Thank you for sending your work to the Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences. The full manuscript file must be highlighted to indicate revised text.

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

no	The reviewer	The reviewer suggestion	Page-paragraph-line	Actions
1	The reviewer 1	A structured abstr act is required including these headings: **Background Objectives Methods Results Conclusions**	abstract	The abstract is corrected (highlighted)
2	The reviewer 1	These sentences refers to the “Results”.	Abstract, results	At the suggestion of honorable reviewer, the corrections are made in abstract (results)
3	The reviewer 1	The conclusion is not related to the results of the current study	Abstract, conclusion	At the suggestion of honorable reviewer, the corrections are made in abstract (conclusion)
4	The reviewer 1	A list of three to five keywords should be included below the abstract in alphabetical order, and should be taken from those recommended by the US National Library of Medicine's Medical Subject Headings (MESH) browser list.	Abstract,keywo rds	At the suggestion of honorable reviewer, the corrections are made in keywords
5	The reviewer 1	For the original research, traditional sections are required including:	Page 1, background	These sections are considered

		Background **Objectives** **Methods** **Results** **Discussion** **Conclusion** **References** **Acknowledgments**		
6	The reviewer 1	Only terms appearing frequently (three or more times) within a paper may be abbreviated, but should be spelled out at first citation, with the abbreviation following in parentheses.	Page 1, background, line 5	abbreviated letters is removed
7	The reviewer 1	Only terms appearing frequently (three or more times) within a paper may be abbreviated, but should be spelled out at first citation, with the abbreviation following in parentheses.	Page 2, paragraph 2, line 4	abbreviated letters is removed
8	The reviewer 1	Citation needed.	Page 3, paragraph 3, line 1	It is referred to five studies
9	The reviewer 1	Since the study was conducted only on mothers, it is better to use the word “mother” instead of “parent” here.	Page 3, paragraph 4, line 3	The “parent abuse” was changed to “mother abuse”
10	The reviewer 1	It is necessary to briefly	Page 3, METHOD	The last 10 lines are added to

		explain the method of conducting the research. Where and when did the study take place? Was it in real space or cyberspace? Who distributed the questionnaires? Were the questionnaires named or anonymous? How were the questionnaires collected? Explain the ethical considerations too.		**METHOD, participants and procedure section**
11	The reviewer 1	When it comes to employment, use the term "home maker" instead of the word "housewife".	Page 4, paragraph 2, line 5	The “home maker” was changed to “housewives”
12	The reviewer 1	These figures are mistaken for reference numbers. Use quotation marks instead of parentheses.	Page 4, paragraph 4, line 7	At the suggestion of honorable reviewer, the corrections are made
13	The reviewer 1	These figures are mistaken for reference numbers. Use quotation marks instead of parentheses.	Page 5, paragraph 2, line 5	At the suggestion of honorable reviewer, the corrections are made
14	The reviewer 1	These figures are mistaken for reference numbers. Use quotation marks instead of	Page 5, paragraph 3, line 3	At the suggestion of honorable reviewer, the corrections are made

		parentheses.		
15	The reviewer 1	These figures are mistaken for reference numbers. Use quotation marks instead of parentheses.	Page 5, paragraph 4, line 3	At the suggestion of honorable reviewer, the corrections are made
16	The reviewer 1	Since the study was conducted only on mothers, it is better to use the word “mother” instead of “parent” here.	Page 6, paragraph 2, line 3	The “parent abuse” was changed to “mother abuse”
17	The reviewer 1	“table 2” instead of “table above”.	Page 6, paragraph 3, line 1	At the suggestion of honorable reviewer, the corrections are made
18	The reviewer 1	Since the study was conducted only on mothers, it is better to use the word “mother” instead of “parent” here.	Page 7, paragraph 1, line 1	The “parent abuse” was changed to “mother abuse”
19	The reviewer 1	Since the study was conducted only on mothers, it is better to use the word “mother” instead of “parent” here.	Page 7, paragraph 2, line 5	The “parent abuse” was changed to “mother abuse”
20	The reviewer 1	Since the study was conducted only on mothers, it is better to use the word “mother” instead of “parent” here.	Page 7, paragraph 2, line 8	The “parent abuse” was changed to “mother abuse”
21		Citation needed.	Page 7, paragraph 2, last line	It is referred
22	The reviewer 1	Citation needed.	First paragraph	It is referred

23	The reviewer 1	Please revise the references according to the guidelines of the journal. Please use abbreviated name of the journals according to MEDLINE database. You also may find abbreviations on the Wikipedia website. You should mention the language of non-English (e.g. Persian) references in parenthesis too. Add the DOI of all the articles at the end of them. At the end of the references that are books (such as reference No.21), in addition to the name of the publisher, write the city of the place of publication.	Page 9, REFERENCES	At the suggestion of the honorable reviewers, - The references are updated. -- Abbreviated name of the journals are corrected -Doi of the references are corrected -the end of Persian articles is corrected “ (in Persian)”
24	The reviewer 2	The results and discussion in the abstract should be revised.	In title	At the suggestion of honorable reviewer, the corrections are made in abstract (results and conclusion)
25	The reviewer 2	It should be mentioned in the abstract that	In title	It is mentioned (abstract-methods) that

		the study was conducted only on mothers.		the instruments completed by the students and their mothers
26	The reviewer 2	The study variables should be mentioned in the title.	In title	At the suggestion of honorable reviewer, the corrections were made in the title
27	The reviewer 2	Keywords should be written.	In title	At the suggestion of honorable reviewer, the corrections were made in the title
28	The reviewer 2	In the Introduction, the predictor variables should be written about and the problem statement should be completed.	In title	Three lines were added to the second page (highlighted)
29	The reviewer 2	The sample size formula should be mentioned in the Methods.	In title	It is mentioned that students were considered as the research sample using the Krejcie and Morgan's (1970) table in the METHODS, LINE 4
30	The reviewer 2	The discussion is very weak and should be rewritten and explained.	In title	More than 20 lines lines were added to the discussion
31	The reviewer 2	The findings of the study should be compared with similar studies, and consistent and inconsistent results should	In title	At the suggestion of honorable reviewer, the corrections were made

		be mentioned.		
32	The reviewer 2	Limitations and suggestions should be added.	In title	At the suggestion of honorable reviewer, the corrections were made
33	The reviewer 2	The conclusion should be presented in a separate paragraph.	In title	10 lines were added as CONCLUSION

[Translated from Persian by the Brieflands editorial office.]

2 Revision 1

1 comment · 23 Dec 2021

Editor-in-Chief

ACCEPT 23 Dec 2021

We have completed our review of your manuscript and are pleased to inform you that it is being considered for publication in the IJPBS. Note that the publication of your article will only be finalized with your cooperation in the editorial process.
Thank you for your fine contribution. On behalf of the Editors of IJPBS, we look forward to your continued contributions to the Journal.