IJ Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences
Volume 16 · Issue 2 · e121010

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Mental Health and Resilience in Emergency Medical Services Practitioners During the COVID-19 Pandemic

Abdul Jalil Karagholi, Fereshteh Araghian Mojarad, Abolfazl Hosseinnataj, Tahereh Yaghoubi, Leila Mahasti Jouybari

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
27 Nov 2021	26 Apr 2022	28 May 2022	10.5812/ijpbs-121010

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 **Original submission** Editor-in-Chief 2
2 **Revision 1** Editor-in-Chief 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 6
3 **Revision 2** Editor-in-Chief 7
Authors' reply to reviewers 8
Reviewer and editor comments 10

1 Original submission

1 comment · 12 Feb 2022

Editor-in-Chief

MAJOR REVISION 12 Feb 2022

Thank you for submitting your manuscript for consideration for publication in the Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences. Your paper has been evaluated by external peer reviewers. Please revise your manuscript according to the reviewers' comments. I would appreciate your completing the revisions as soon as possible.

After revision, authors are obliged to upload a Word file containing the reviewers' comments and their responses to all comments, one by one.

Besides, please pay attention to the word count limitation:
Word count limits are specified at this link: http://kowsarpub.com/en/index.php/services/for-authors/895-word-count

We look forward to receiving your revised manuscript. Thank you for sending your work to the Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences. The full manuscript file must be highlighted to indicate revised text.

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

:Reviewer 1
Introduction be reviewed -1

corrections were made

Report the details of fit and logistic regression indices in the abstract -2

Answer: Structural equation model fit indices were presented and also R2 (fit index was added for linear regression

.In the results section (Table 3), report more details of the fit indices -3
(Answer: Done)

The interpretations of Table 5 are not enough -4

Answer: Some points were added to the text, but in this table, only the variables of employment type and resilience score had a significant effect on resilience score, so the interpretation of these two factors was presented in the text and other variables did not have a significant effect on resilience score

.Report the discussion according to the available findings .5

(Answer: Done)

.Report the rate of participants and the amount of their drop -6

The submitted data was complete and everyone's information was used

Include the link of the online questionnaire in the method section -7

Questionnaire link was placed

Mention exactly that the questionnaires used in this study have already been -8
?standardized in which population groups in Iran

The validation of this questionnaire in Iran had been further accomplished in different groups .(20- 24)

How is the informed consent form obtained in the process of implementing -9
?the online questionnaire

At the beginning of Google Form, a full explanation was given about the research goals and .the optionality of the company in studying and maintaining the confidentiality of the data

Reviewer 2:

1- Thank you for the valuable title.

-It is recommended to change the title to" Mental Health and Resilience in emergency medical services practitioners during the COVID-19 Pandemic"

(Answer: Done)

2-please mention in "Abstract", mental health status and resilience based on the cut off, instead to scores, for example ...% of the practitioners had high/low resilience.....

(Answer: There is this cut point for the mental health questionnaire and it was added to the abstract, but in the resilience questionnaire, this category is not available and the average was reported)

3-please mention GHQ Cronbach's alpha coefficient in the present study:

(Answer: Done)

4- The critical professional editing was recommended; for example what means "Based on the severe depression subscale, 89.6% of the EMS practitioners were in mental health conditions, 9.6% of them had mild mental health status, and the rest were moderate."-in line 131, please mention the reference.

(Answer: Done)

5-please add and combine Table 2 to Table 4, and delete Table 2.

(Answer: Done)

2 Revision 1

1 comment · 23 Apr 2022

Editor-in-Chief

MINOR REVISION 23 Apr 2022

Thank you for your recent revisions.
Your paper has now been evaluated again. According to the reviewer's and associate editor’s comments, it needs some more revisions. The reviewer believes that the discussion section of the article is not relevant to your research findings. Please make your revisions according to these comments. The full manuscript file must be highlighted to indicate revised text.

3

Revision 2

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

:Reviewer 1
Introduction be reviewed -1

corrections were made

Report the details of fit and logistic regression indices in the abstract -2

Answer: Structural equation model fit indices were presented and also R2 (fit index was added for linear regression

.In the results section (Table 3), report more details of the fit indices -3
(Answer: Done)

The interpretations of Table 5 are not enough -4

Answer: Some points were added to the text, but in this table, only the variables of employment type and resilience score had a significant effect on resilience score, so the interpretation of these two factors was presented in the text and other variables did not have a significant effect on resilience score

.Report the discussion according to the available findings .5

(Answer: Done)

.Report the rate of participants and the amount of their drop -6

The submitted data was complete and everyone's information was used

Include the link of the online questionnaire in the method section -7

Questionnaire link was placed

Mention exactly that the questionnaires used in this study have already been -8
?standardized in which population groups in Iran

The validation of this questionnaire in Iran had been further accomplished in different groups .(20- 24)

How is the informed consent form obtained in the process of implementing -9
?the online questionnaire

At the beginning of Google Form, a full explanation was given about the research goals and .the optionality of the company in studying and maintaining the confidentiality of the data

Reviewer 2:
1- Thank you for the valuable title.
-It is recommended to change the title to" Mental Health and Resilience in emergency medical services practitioners during the COVID-19 Pandemic"

(Answer: Done)

2-please mention in "Abstract", mental health status and resilience based on the cut off, instead to scores, for example ...% of the practitioners had high/low resilience.....

(Answer: There is this cut point for the mental health questionnaire and it was added to the abstract, but in the resilience questionnaire, this category is not available and the average was reported)
3-please mention GHQ Cronbach's alpha coefficient in the present study:

(Answer: Done)

4- The critical professional editing was recommended; for example what means "Based on the severe depression subscale, 89.6% of the EMS practitioners were in mental health conditions, 9.6% of them had mild mental health status, and the rest were moderate."-in line 131, please mention the reference.
(Answer: Done)

5-please add and combine Table 2 to Table 4, and delete Table 2.

(Answer: Done)

3 Revision 2

1 comment · 28 May 2022

Editor-in-Chief

ACCEPT 28 May 2022

We have completed our review of your manuscript and are pleased to inform you that it is being considered for publication in the IJPBS. Note that the publication of your article will only be finalized upon your cooperation with the editorial process.
Thank you for your fine contribution. On behalf of the Editors of IJPBS, we look forward to your continued contributions to the Journal.