IJ Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences
Volume 16 · Issue 3 · e121885

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Moral Distress in Iranian Psychiatric Nurses: A Content Analysis

nahid tavakol, Zahra molazem, Mahnaz Rakhshan, Omid Asemani

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
19 Dec 2021	13 May 2022	28 Jul 2022	10.5812/ijpbs-121885

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 **Original submission** Editor-in-Chief 2
2 **Revision 1** Zinat Mohebbi, Editor-in-Chief 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 5

1 Original submission

1 comment · 28 Apr 2022

Editor-in-Chief

MINOR REVISION 28 Apr 2022

Thank you for submitting your manuscript for consideration for publication in the Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences. Your paper has been evaluated by external peer reviewers. Please make revisions according to the reviewers' comments.

After revision, authors are obliged to upload a Word file containing the reviewers' comments and their responses to all comments, one by one.

Besides, please pay attention to the word count limitation:
Word count limits are declared in this link: http://kowsarpub.com/en/index.php/services/for-authors/895-word-count

We look forward to receiving your revised manuscript. Thank you for sending your work to the Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences. Revised text must be highlighted in the full manuscript file.

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

In the name of the Most High

With greetings and respect to the respected reviewers

I am grateful for your valuable comments.

The following items were corrected according to your valuable comments:

1- The keywords were changed according to MeSH.
2- Paragraphing was done.
3- The references were updated and renewed.
4- The study gap and the need to conduct a qualitative study were expanded.
5- Purposive sampling, the place, time and year of the study, and the inclusion criteria were entered.
6- How the interviews were recorded and how they were analyzed was explained.
7- The data analysis method based on the Graneheim and Lundman method was added in detail and according to 5 stages.
8- Explanations related to reflexivity were added.
9- The standard deviation was added.
10- The years of conducting the study were added
11- The discussion section about the last category had been stated, which was highlighted.
12- Further merging of the categories was not possible due to loss or neglect of information.

With thanks

2 Revision 1

2 comments · 18 Jun 2022 – 28 Jul 2022

Zinat Mohebbi Reviewer

ACCEPT 18 Jun 2022

The comments have been fully addressed.

Editor-in-Chief

ACCEPT 28 Jul 2022

We have completed our review of your manuscript and are pleased to inform you that it is being considered for publication in the IJPBS. Note that publication of your article will be finalized only with your cooperation in the editorial process.
Thank you for your fine contribution. On behalf of the Editors of IJPBS, we look forward to your continued contributions to the Journal.