IJ Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences
Volume 17 · Issue 1 · e123720

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Examination of the Relationship Between Severity of Addiction and Personality: The Mediating Effect of Social Alienation and Emotional, Cognitive Regulation

Mahdi Ghavidast, Saba Hassanvandi

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
28 Feb 2022	26 Dec 2022	4 Feb 2023	10.5812/ijpbs-123720

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 **Original submission** Editor-in-Chief 2
2 **Revision 1** Referee 1, Referee 2, Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 5
3 **Revision 2** Referee 2, Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 7
Authors' reply to reviewers 8
Reviewer and editor comments 9
4 **Revision 3** Referee 2, Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 10
Authors' reply to reviewers 11
Reviewer and editor comments 12

1 Original submission

1 comment · 21 May 2022

Editor-in-Chief

MAJOR REVISION 21 May 2022

Thank you for submitting your manuscript for consideration for publication in the Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences. Your paper has been evaluated by external peer reviewers. Please revise your manuscript according to the reviewers' comments. I would appreciate it if you could make the revisions as soon as possible.

After revision, authors are obliged to upload a Word file containing the reviewers' comments and their responses to all comments, one by one.

Besides, please pay attention to the word count limitation:
Word count limits are specified at this link: http://kowsarpub.com/en/index.php/services/for-authors/895-word-count

We look forward to receiving your revised manuscript. Thank you for sending your work to the Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences. The full manuscript file must be highlighted to indicate revised text.

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

The authors did not provide a point-by-point response to the reviewers' comments in this round.

2 Revision 1

4 comments · 9 Oct 2022 – 20 Oct 2022

Referee 1 Reviewer

MINOR REVISION 9 Oct 2022

1. In the introduction, state specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses.
2. In the methods, present key elements of the study design early in the article.
3. In the methods, give the eligibility criteria and the sources and methods of selection of participants briefly and precisely.
4. In the methods, describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias.
5. In the methods, explain how the study size was arrived at.
6. In the methods, describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding.
7. In the results, report the numbers of individuals at each stage of the study, for example, the numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, and confirmed eligible.
8. In the descriptive data, give characteristics of study participants (for example, demographic information) and information on exposures and potential confounders.
9. In the discussion, give a cautious overall interpretation of the results, considering the objectives and limitations.
10. Also, discuss the generalizability (external validity) of the study results.

Referee 2 Reviewer

MINOR REVISION 9 Oct 2022

Thanks.
Please reply to the comments one by one.

Associate Editor

MINOR REVISION 9 Oct 2022

Thanks.
Please reply to the comments one by one.
In addition, the comments below should also be addressed.

1. In the introduction, state specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses.
2. In the methods, present key elements of the study design early in the article.
3. In the methods, give the eligibility criteria and the sources and methods of selection of participants briefly and precisely.
4. In the methods, describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias.
5. In the methods, explain how the study size was arrived at.
6. In the methods, describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding.
7. In the results, report the numbers of individuals at each stage of the study, for example, the numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, and confirmed eligible.
8. In the descriptive data, give the characteristics of study participants (for example, demographic information) and information on exposures and potential confounders.
9. In the discussion, give a cautious overall interpretation of the results considering the objectives and limitations.
10. Also, discuss the generalizability (external validity) of the study results.

Editor-in-Chief 20 Oct 2022

No public comment.

3

Revision 2

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Dear Editors and Reviewers:

Thank you for your letter and the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "**Examination the relationship between severity of addiction and personality: The mediating effect of social alienation and emotion cognitive regulation**". Those comments are valuable and helpful for revising and improving our manuscript. We have studied comments carefully and have made a correction, which we hope to meet with approval.

We tried our best to improve the manuscript and made some changes in the manuscript and highlighted. We appreciate Editors/Reviewers' warm work earnestly and hope that the correction will meet with approval. Once again, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.

Thank you for receiving our manuscript and considering it for review.

We appreciate your time and look forward to your response.

Reply to reviewer

1. In introduction, specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses were stated.
2. In methods, key elements of study design early in the article were presented.
3. In methods, the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants abbreviately and exactly were presented.
4. In methods, any efforts to address potential sources of bias was presented.
5. In methods, the study size was explained.
6. In methods all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding were explained.
7. In results, numbers of individuals at each stage of study for example numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible were reported.
8. In descriptive data, characteristics of study participants (for example demographic information) was presented.
9. In discussion, a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives and limitations was presented.

3 Revision 2

3 comments · 23 Dec 2022 – 23 Dec 2022

Referee 2 Reviewer

MINOR REVISION 23 Dec 2022

Please format the references according to IJPBS format throughout the manuscript.
….Modarresi Yazdi (2015)…. Iran by Besharat (2011, 2009) and Hassani
(2010). In the study of Besharat (2011), Garnowski, Kraj and
Spinhaun (2001)…..
Your conclusion isn't appropriate… Expand on your findings in a way that challenges the reader to consider the implications of your research. Try to show the bigger picture, so the reader can see why your research matters in the real world.
This is the time to give it meaning and significance in the conclusion.
……." The severity of addiction in addicts is influenced by many cognitive and physical factors."
Add Emotion regulation to the keywords.

Associate Editor

MINOR REVISION 23 Dec 2022

Please write references according to the IJPBS format throughout the manuscript.
….Modarresi Yazdi (2015)…. Iran by Besharat (2011, 2009) and Hassani
(2010). In the study of Besharat (2011), Garnowski, Kraj and
Spinhaun (2001)…..
Your conclusion isn't appropriate… Expand on your findings in a way that challenges the reader to consider the implications of your research. Try to show the bigger picture, so the reader can see why your research matters in the real world.
Use the conclusion to give your research meaning and significance.
……." The severity of addiction in addicts is influenced by many cognitive and physical factors."
Add Emotion regulation to the keywords.

Editor-in-Chief

MINOR REVISION 23 Dec 2022

Thank you for your recent revisions.
Your paper has now been evaluated again. According to the reviewer's and associate editor's comments, it needs some more revisions. Please revise your paper according to these comments. The full manuscript file must be highlighted to indicate the revised text.

4

Revision 3

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Reply to reviewers

Thank you for your letter and the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "**Examination the relationship between severity of addiction and personality: The mediating effect of social alienation and emotion cognitive regulation**". Those comments are valuable and helpful for revising and improving our manuscript. We have studied comments carefully and have made a correction, which we hope to meet with approval.

We tried our best to improve the manuscript and made some changes in the manuscript and highlighted. We appreciate Editors/Reviewers' warm work earnestly and hope that the correction will meet with approval. Once again, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.

Thank you for receiving our manuscript and considering it for review.

We appreciate your time and look forward to your response.

Saba Hassanvandi

1. Emotion cognitive regulation was added in keywords.
2. All references were changed according to IJPBS format in all of manuscript.
3. The conclusion was assessed again.

4 Revision 3

3 comments · 30 Jan 2023 – 4 Feb 2023

Referee 2 Reviewer — ACCEPT 30 Jan 2023

accept

Associate Editor — ACCEPT 30 Jan 2023

Thanks.

Editor-in-Chief — ACCEPT 4 Feb 2023

We have completed our review of your manuscript and are pleased to inform you that it is being considered for publication in the IJPBS. Note that the publication of your article will only be finalized upon your cooperation in editorial processing.
Thank you for your fine contribution. On behalf of the Editors of IJPBS, we look forward to your continued contributions to the Journal.