OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Iranian Journal of Radiology
Article DOI: 10.5812/iranjradiol.107097
Published in: Iranian Journal of Radiology: 18(1); e107097

Peer Review Report for "History of Mammography in Iran"

Author(s): Fariba Zarei, Mahdi Saeedi-Moghadam, Banafsheh Zeinali-Rafsanjani, Hannaneh Vahab Aghaie

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 6 Jul 2020
Revised Date: 19 Jan 2021
Accepted Date: 15 Mar 2021

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | Revision (0) — 21 Sep 2020

Dear AE,
The article needs Minor Revision by the authors:
1) Please write the article according to IJR format.
2) There is no need to talk much about world history, Please concise these parts in two or three paragraphs and apply more about the history in Iran (according to the title).
Best Regards

Madjid Shakiba: Reviewer | Revision (0) — 26 Sep 2020

Dear AE,
Comments for the author:
1- ghaom is wrong. It is ghaem
2- Total number of mammographies done annually today in Iran [and similar data and information regarding the present situation of the field in the country; for example, number of digital radiography centers] would be a good adjunct for the document.
3- The total number of published papers in the field from Iran is good data in this regard.
Thanks.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) 1 Nov 2020

Dear AE,
My suggestion about the paper entitled" History of mammography in Iran " are as below and the submission needs minor revision:
- In the title, we could mention "letter to the editor" at the end of this section.
- Overall, some irrelevant subjects and paragraphs were used in this letter,which could be omitted and it is better to introduce to the point history of mammography beside just a few data about history of this imaging modalities in the world.
- Also,if it is possible,my suggestion is to add some data about current status of this field in Iran.
- In fact,this interesting subject, would be better presented with omitting some paragraphs, and complete with more related data and better conclusion at the end of the paper.
- As you submit your work as a letter to the editor,it should be summarized more than current status.
Sincerely

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) 4 Nov 2020

Dear AE,
The letter is very well written with tremendous details that I'm sure authors spent lots of their valuable time to gather all these.
- The English writing is extremely perfect.
- Although i regret to say i have doubt that all these information provided in this letter would be interesting to readers in this advanced world of radiology that everything is moving so fast toward advanced imaging techniques and Artificial Intelligence.
Thanks.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) 16 Nov 2020

Dear EIC,
The manuscript needs minor revision.
Thanks.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0) 16 Nov 2020

Dear authors,
Your article needs Revision.
Regards

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Reviewer 1:
Dear associate editor,
The article needs Minor Revision.

1) Please write the article according to IJR format.
Reply: We used the template of the letter that was available on the journal site.

2) There is no need to talk much about world history, Please consize this parts in two or three paragraphs and apply more about the history in Iran (according to the title).
Reply: This section was shorten to two paragraph.

Reviewer 2:
1-ghaom is wrong. It is ghaem
Reply: Sure, it was written according to the author's name of his article. Anyway, it was corrected.

2-total number of mammographies done annually today in Iran [and similar data and information regarding present situation of the field in the country; for example, number of digital radiography centers] would be a good adjunct for the document.

Reply: The authors appreciate the reviewer for useful suggestions. More information in this regard added to the last five paragraph of letter.

3-total number of published papers in the field from Iran is a good data in this regard.

Reply: The authors appreciate the reviewer for useful suggestions. More information in this regard added to the last five paragraph of letter.

Reviewer 3:

Dear Authors

My suggestion about the paper entitled" History of mammography in Iran " are as below and the submission needs minor revision:

Overall, some irrelevant subjects and paragraphs were used in this letter,which could be omitted and it is better to introduce to the point history of mammography beside just a few data about history of this imaging modalities in the world.

Reply: most of the excessive text was removed to shorten the letter.

Also,if it is possible,my suggestion is to add some data about current status of this field in Iran.

Reply: Some information about the current status of mammography was added to the last five paragraph of letter.

In fact,this interesting subject, would be better presented with omitting some paragraphs, and complete with more related data and better conclusion at the end of the paper.

Reply: Thank you for your guidance. According to the suggestion of reviewers, the article was changed.

As you submit your work as a letter to the editor,it should be summarized more than current status.

Reply: most of the excessive text was removed to shorten the letter.

Sincerely

Reviewer 4:
Dear authors,
The letter is very well written with tremendous details that i'm sure authors spent lots of their valuable time to gather all these.
The English writing is extremely perfect.
Although i regret to say i have doubt that all these information provided in this letter would be interesting to readers in this advanced world of radiology that everything is moving so fast toward advanced imaging techniques and Artificial Intelligence.

Reply: Thank you so much. In this way, we hope we could express our gratitude to the first people who introduced mammography to Iran.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (1) — 30 Jan 2021

Dear AE,
The revised could be acceptable for publication in IJR.
Best Regards

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (1) — 1 Feb 2021

Dear AE,
After current revision, the manuscript is aaceptable in my opinion.
Best Regards

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (1) — 7 Feb 2021

Dear EIC,
The manuscript could be accepted for the final process.
Thanks.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (1) — 15 Mar 2021

Dear EIC
Based on the authors' answers to the reviewers' comments, the reviewer(s) have recommended publication.
Kind regards.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (1) — 15 Mar 2021

Dear authors,
Your article is acceptable for the publication in IJR.
Regards