OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://brieflands.com/briefland/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Journal of Comprehensive Pediatrics
Article DOI: 10.5812/compreped.103780
Published in: Journal of Comprehensive Pediatrics: 11(3); e103780

Peer Review Report for "A Review of COVID-19 in Children"

Author(s): Parisa Khoshnevisasl, Mansour Sadeghzadeh, Sara Sadeghzadeh

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 15 Apr 2020
Revised Date: 3 May 2020
Accepted Date: 10 May 2020

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 21 Apr 2020

Dear AE
I received the paper entitled "A review of COVID-19 in children" as a reviewer. Overall, it seems valuable, but contrary to what is expected from the title, it is mostly focused on SARS-Cov-2 general information, and not on affected children. I suggest the authors provide the required information on its national and international documented prevalence in introduction part. thereafter, beside a brief on general information, points directly to possible specific aspects of children population. I suggest them to re-check the available literature in this regard, like those appear via the link below:
https://scholar.google.com/scholar?as_ylo=2020&q=%22COVID-19%22+AND+%22Children%22&hl=en&as_sdt=0,5
Kind regard

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 22 Apr 2020

Dear AE,
The study is reviewed carefully. According to comments and revisions by the author, this manuscript is accepted.
Thanks

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) 24 Apr 2020

Dear EIC
The reviewer(s) have recommended publication, but also suggest some minor revisions to the manuscript. Therefore, I invite the author to respond to the reviewer(s)' comments and revise his manuscript.
Kind regards,

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0) 24 Apr 2020

Dear Authors,
Please revise the manuscript based on reviewers' comments.
Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Dear editor in chief of "Journal of Comprehensive Pediatrics"

Thank you for your attention in my manuscript and for your helpful comments. Please accept our compliments for your review on our manuscript. It should be noted that this manuscript has been revised and the necessary changes have been implicated in the body of the article with different colour. We hope that this paper would be accepted and published in your journal. We are eagerly looking forward to hearing from you and receiving the decision on this manuscript.

The changes in the manuscript suggested by your reviewer are as follows:

1- we mentioned the useful information on national and international documented prevalence of COVID -19 in introduction part.

2- According the comments of dear reviewer, specific aspects of COVID -19 in children were added in Epidemiology, children's vulnerability, Transmission, Clinical manifestations, Imaging studies.

With best regards

Mansour Sadeghzadeh MD

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (1) 28 Apr 2020

Dear AE,
Thanks for conducting revisions, but still more needs to do. I highly recommend you to check the paper in the link below and also its references to make your paper more useful. For example, the authors can find the rate of disease prevalence in the pediatric population and so much other important information presented by CDC COVID-19 Response Team.
https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/69/wr/mm6914e4.htm
All in all, the final decision will be made by the EIC, but I think this article should be reinforced with more information that will come in handy for the readers.
Kind Regard

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (1) 29 Apr 2020

Dear EIC
The reviewer(s) have recommended publication, but also suggest some minor revisions to the manuscript. Therefore, I invite the author to respond to the reviewer(s)' comments and revise his manuscript.
Kind regards,

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (1) 29 Apr 2020

Dear Author,
Your article is reviewed by the reviewers carefully. According to their comments, this document needs minor revision. Please follow their comments as requested.
Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (2)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Dear editor in chief of “Journal of Comprehensive Pediatrics”

Thank you for your attention in my manuscript and for your helpful comments. It should be noted that this manuscript has been revised and the necessary changes have been implicated in the body of the article with different colour.

The changes in the manuscript suggested by your reviewer are as follows:

1- The paper recommended by dear reviewer and some of its references has been added to the article (ref:2,20,73).The most important information presented by CDC COVID-19 Response Team have been used in different part of article.

2- Considering that our knowledge about COVID 19 disease is constantly changing, no article can cover all aspects of the disease at a specific time .Delay in publishing the article may alter its current value

With best regards

Mansour Sadeghzadeh MD

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (2)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (2) — 5 May 2020

Dear AE,
It seems Ok, so I vote for accepting, But the final decision is still on EIC.
Kind Regard

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (2) — 10 May 2020

Dear EIC
Based on the authors' answers to the reviewers' comments, the reviewer(s) have recommended publication.
Kind regards.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (2) — 10 May 2020

Dear Author,
The document is reviewed carefully by the reviewers. According to their comments and your revisions as requested, this manuscript is accepted.
Thanks.