**OPEN PEER REVIEW**

## Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

## What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

## Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:

Peer Review

Journal of Comprehensive Pediatrics
Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.5812/compreped.107529
Published in: Journal of Comprehensive Pediatrics: 12(1) ; e107529

# Peer Review Report for "Assessment of Parents' Awareness of Urinary Tract Infections (UTIs) in Infants and Children and Related Demographic Factors: A Cross-sectional Study"

**Author(s):** Abolhassan Seyedzadeh, Mohamad Reza Tohidi, Mehdi Sameni, Mohammad Saleh Seyedzadeh, Sara Hookari

**Review Timeline:**

| | |
|---|---|
| Submit Date: | 18 Jul 2020 |
| Revised Date: | 3 Oct 2020 |
| Accepted Date: | 22 Nov 2020 |

**Revision (0)**

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

**REFEREE** : Reviewer

19 Sep 2020

Dear AE,
Regarding the paper entitled `` An assessment of parents' awareness of urinary tract infections (UTI) in infants and children and related demographic factors: A cross-sectional study `` which was sent me for review, I should mention that:
- The manuscript needs English language editing. For correcting for example what is in lines:
49: undergo recurrent symptoms or line 97: was comprised, line: line urine culture with 100,000 single microorganisms is considered as UTI

- Line 142: varies  varied
- In line 35: 75% rises to 10%?
- In line 49: undergo recurrent symptoms, should be changed to have recurrent symptoms
- In line 58: On the other hand, as mentioned in the preceding paragraphs should be changed
- In line 133- 138: References should be corrected: This questionnaire presents 35 items including symptoms (9-11-12-13-14-16-17)to (9,11-16,17), complications 133 (18-19-20-22-23-24-25), treatment (10 -15-30-31-32-33-34), prevention and epidemiology (1-2134 7-5-6-3-8), and diagnosis (4-21-26-27-28-29-35). Answer to each item is in the form of true/false, 135 and each true answer has a positive score and each false answer has a zero score. 136 Quality items were divided into three categories including easy (1-5-6-9-16-21-27-35), medium 137 (2-3-4-7-10-11-12-13-14-15-18- 19-20-23-24-25-26-29-30-31-33-34????) and difficult (8-17-22-28138 3.....These should be changed to an acceptable format.
- References to books should be corrected: first the name of the authors of the chapter, the name of the chapter, then authors of the book, the Name of the book....
- Reference 3 has a new edition of 2016 it is better to change this to the newer edition of this book:  (349 3. Ellis D. Avner, William E. Harmon, Patrick Niaudet, Norishige Yoshikawa. Pediatric 350 Nephrology. 6th ed. USA: Springer; September 29, 2009)

Thanks.

**REFEREE** : Reviewer

25 Sep 2020

Dear AE,
this topic about the awareness of parents and UTI in their kids is an important point regarding early detection of UTI followed by early treatment and prevention of complications. the paper is well organized but some points need to be considered such as too long introduction and more expert English writing.
hope you success

**REFEREE** : Associate Editor

25 Sep 2020

Dear EIC
The reviewer(s) have recommended publication, but also suggest some minor revisions to the manuscript. Therefore, I invite the author to respond to the reviewer(s)' comments and revise his manuscript.
Kind regards,

**REFEREE** : EIC

28 Sep 2020

Dear Author,
The reviewers' comments are mentioned. Please correct the details as mentioned

above carefully according to reviewers' request.
Thanks.

**OPEN PEER REVIEW**

**Revision (1)**

## Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Greetings and Regards.

REVIEWER1:

According to the first honorable referee, the introduction was as short as possible.

REVIEWER2:

Lines 35 and 110 were corrected. The paragraph on line 53 has been removed for summary purposes.

The word ( varies) is correct in the text.

The book reference became the desired form.

In lines 133 and 138, the numbers are related to the number of questions.

The table of questions related to the questions became more acceptable.

thanks

## OPEN PEER REVIEW

### Revision (1)

**REFEREE** : Reviewer — 3 Nov 2020

Dear AE,
The author has revised the manuscript and in my opinion, it can be published in the journal.
Thanks.

**REFEREE** : Associate Editor — 22 Nov 2020

Dear EIC
Based on the authors' answers to the reviewers' comments, the reviewer(s) have recommended publication.
Kind regards.

**REFEREE** : EIC — 22 Nov 2020

Dear Author,
The document is reviewed carefully by the reviewers. According to their comments and your revisions as requested, this manuscript is accepted.
Thanks.