OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:

Peer Review

Journal of Comprehensive Pediatrics
Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.5812/compreped.109237
Published in: Journal of Comprehensive Pediatrics: 12(1) ; e109237

Peer Review Report for "An Unusual Case of Nasal Septal Hematoma Caused by Local Dental Anesthesia in a 15-Year-Old Girl"

Author(s): Narges Alizadeh, Sahar Paryab

Review Timeline:

Submit Date:	10 Oct 2020
Revised Date:	21 Dec 2020
Accepted Date:	23 Dec 2020

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

Mousa Ghelichi-Ghojogh : Reviewer — 27 Oct 2020

Dear Editor
this article appropriate for publish after correct revisions following:
1. Correct English writing
2. Use the new references(2018-2020).
Best regard
Dr. Ghelichi

Nima Mehdizadegan : Reviewer — 21 Nov 2020

Hello dear colleague
It is my honor to do review on this article and was accepted to publish

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

1- ویرایش نگارشی کل متن انجام شد
2- رفرنس ها به روز رسانی شد.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)