



Fever Without Focus (FWF) in Children: A Cross-sectional Study

Esmael Sadeghi ^{1, *}

¹ Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

*Corresponding Author: Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran. Email: arya.esm@gmail.com

Received: 27 September, 2025; Revised: 2 December, 2025; Accepted: 2 December, 2025

Abstract

Background: Fever without focus (FWF) is a frequent diagnostic challenge in pediatric practice, occurring when febrile children present without any identifiable localizing signs.

Objectives: Understanding its etiologies, clinical evolution, and optimal management is essential to avoid unnecessary testing and antibiotic use.

Methods: This study included consecutive children aged 0 - 15 years presenting with fever ≤ 10 days and no focal findings on examination, with normal urinalysis and negative urine culture, at a tertiary pediatric outpatient clinic from 2015 to 2023. Demographic, clinical, laboratory, seasonal, and follow-up outcome data were collected. All children underwent structured follow-up at 24 - 72 hours and again at 7 days. Descriptive statistics were applied.

Results: Of 16,325 children presenting with illness, 6,245 were febrile and 384 met criteria for FWF. Half were under 2 years old and 85% were younger than 5 years. Most cases occurred during warm seasons (63%). Final diagnoses were predominantly viral: Self-limited febrile illness (70%), roseola (9.3%), pharyngitis (4.1%), upper respiratory infections (4.1%), and viral gastroenteritis (4.1%). Urinary tract infection occurred in 2% and COVID-19 in 1.5% of cases. Approximately 75% of children became afebrile within 72 hours.

Conclusions: Most FWF cases are viral and self-limiting. A structured follow-up strategy and age-based risk assessment enable safe management while minimizing unnecessary antibiotic use. Findings apply to similar outpatient pediatric settings with comparable epidemiological patterns.

Keywords: Fever, FWLS, Infectious Disease, Pediatrics, Children, FWF

1. Background

Fever is one of the most common reasons for pediatric visits, representing a substantial proportion of outpatient and emergency encounters. In most children, the cause of fever can be identified clinically; however, approximately 5 - 7% of febrile children present without any focal signs at their initial assessment. These cases are classified as fever without focus (FWF). Fever without focus is clinically challenging because the spectrum of underlying causes ranges from benign viral infections to potentially serious bacterial infections (SBI). Missing early-stage bacterial illness is a concern, yet excessive testing and antibiotic use in self-limiting viral illnesses expose children to harm and contribute to

antimicrobial resistance (1). Only a limited number of studies have investigated FWF across a broad pediatric age range. Understanding patterns in etiology, diagnostic evolution, and outcomes is crucial to improving clinical management strategies (2).

2. Objectives

The aim of this study was: (1) To determine the causes and seasonal distribution of FWF in children, (2) to identify the diagnostic evolution and outcomes of these cases, and (3) to propose rational, age-stratified management recommendations based on observed patterns.

3. Methods

3.1. Study Design and Setting

This cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted at a tertiary pediatric outpatient and ambulatory clinic in Southern Iran between January 2015 and December 2023.

3.2. Eligibility Criteria

3.2.1. Inclusion Criteria

- Age 0 - 15 years.
- Fever ≤ 10 days (reported or measured).
- No focal findings on thorough clinical examination.
- Normal urinalysis and a negative urine culture.

3.2.2. Exclusion Criteria

- Known immunodeficiency.
- Chronic immunosuppressive illness.
- Recent major surgery.
- Clear infectious source at presentation.

3.3. Patient Selection and Sampling

All consecutive children who met the inclusion criteria were enrolled. Cases were identified using clinic electronic records and fever-related diagnostic codes. Because all eligible cases were included, no prior sample size calculation was required.

3.4. Data Collection

Data were collected using a standardized form and included:

- Demographic information.
- Duration of fever.
- Season of presentation.
- Complete blood count (CBC), C-reactive protein (CRP), procalcitonin (when available).
- Urinalysis and urine culture.
- Additional test results [e.g., throat culture, viral polymerase chain reaction (PCR) when available].
- Final diagnosis after follow-up.
- Time to defervescence.

3.5. Follow-up Protocol

A structured follow-up was implemented:

- 24 - 72-hour clinic revisit or telephone follow-up.
- Day 7 evaluation.
- Immediate return if symptoms worsened.
- Infants < 28 days or clinically unwell children were hospitalized until cultures finalized.

3.6. Age-Stratified Management

3.6.1. < 28 Days (Neonates)

- Full sepsis work-up [blood, urine, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF)].
- Hospital admission.
- Empirical intravenous (IV) antibiotics.

3.6.2. 28 - 90 Days

- Low-risk infants: Outpatient management + close monitoring.
- High-risk infants: Lumbar puncture, cultures, empiric ceftriaxone, or admission.

3.6.3. > 90 Days

- Well-appearing, immunized children: Observation with safety-net advice.
- Concerning symptoms / abnormal laboratory results: Targeted testing.

3.7. Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics were performed. Proportions were compared using chi-square or Fisher's exact tests. Continuous variables were analyzed with *t*-tests or Mann-Whitney U tests as appropriate. A two-sided *P*-value < 0.05 was considered significant.

3.8. Ethics

Ethical approval was granted (IR.SUMS.REC.1401.311). Written informed consent was obtained from parents or legal guardians.

4. Results

4.1. Demographic Characteristics

A total of 384 children met criteria for FWF (Table 1). Of these, 49.4% were male and 50.5% female. Half of all

children were under 2 years old, and 85% were below 5 years (Table 2).

Table 1. Overview of Febrile and Fever Without Focus Cases (2015 - 2023)

Categories	No. (%)
Total children referred	23,775
Illness visits	16,325 (68.6)
Febrile children	6,245 (38.2)
FWF cases	384 (6.1)

Abbreviation: FWF, fever without focus.

Table 2. Sex and Age Distribution of Fever Without Focus Cases

Variables	No. (%)
Gender	
Male	190 (49.4)
Female	194 (50.5)
Age	
< 6 (mo)	43 (11.1)
6 - 12 (mo)	54 (14)
12 - 24 (mo)	96 (25)
< 2 (y) total	193 (50.2)
2 - 5 (y)	135 (35.1)
5 - 15 (y)	56 (14.5)

4.2. Seasonal Distribution

Fever without focus cases demonstrated clear seasonality, with 63% occurring in warm seasons (spring and summer). Detailed seasonal breakdown is shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Seasonal Distribution of Fever Without Focus Cases

Seasons	No. (%)
Spring	100 (26)
Summer	142 (36.9)
Fall	86 (22.3)
Winter	56 (14.5)
Warm seasons (spring summer)	242 (63)

4.3. Final Diagnoses

Final diagnoses are summarized in Table 4. Most cases (70%) were due to self-limited viral syndromes, followed by roseola (9.3%), pharyngitis (4.1%), viral upper respiratory tract infection (URTI, 4.1%), and viral gastroenteritis (4.1%). Less common etiologies included urinary tract infections (2%) and COVID-19 (1.5%). Our data (Tables 1 - 4) show that most children with FWF have

viral and self-limiting illnesses, consistent with global literature.

Table 4. Final Diagnoses of Fever Without Focus Cases

Diagnosis	No. (%)
SLFI/SLVI	269 (70)
Roseola	36 (9.3)
Pharyngitis	16 (4.1)
Viral URTI	16 (4.1)
Viral gastroenteritis	16 (4.1)
UTI	8 (2)
COVID-19	6 (1.5)
Rare causes	24 (6.5)

4.4. Time to Defervescence

Approximately 75% of children became afebrile within 72 hours.

5. Discussion

This study provides a comprehensive analysis of FWF in children and demonstrates that most cases are viral and self-limiting, consistent with global findings. Only a small proportion of children had bacterial disease warranting antibiotic treatment.

5.1. Definition and Importance of Age

Our definition aligns with accepted criteria: Fever \leq 10 days, no focal findings, and normal urine studies. Age stratification is essential because the risk of serious bacterial infection (SBI) is highest in infants < 90 days.

5.2. Diagnostic Evolution

Conditions such as otitis media, group A streptococcal pharyngitis, and pneumonia may not be detectable initially but become apparent within 24 - 48 hours. Our structured follow-up minimized missed diagnoses while preventing unnecessary empirical treatment.

5.3. Comparison with Previous Research

- Viral etiologies consistently account for 70 - 80% of FWF cases.
- Urinary tract infection (UTI) incidence (2%) aligns with published data.
- Roseola accounted for approximately 10% of infant cases, similar to global figures.

5.4. Implications for Management (3-7)

This study supports:

- Limiting antibiotic use to confirmed or strongly suspected bacterial infections.
- Routine urine testing in infant girls and uncircumcised boys.
- Adopting age-based risk assessment.
- Structured follow-up to ensure diagnostic accuracy.

5.5. Strengths

- Large sample.
- Multiyear dataset.
- Standardized follow-up.

5.6. Limitations

- Single-center study.
- Variation in laboratory availability.
- Retrospective elements.

5.7. Conclusions

Most children presenting with FWF have viral, self-limiting illnesses. Only a small proportion have bacterial causes. Age-based evaluation combined with structured follow-up enables safe, efficient, and evidence-supported management while avoiding unnecessary antibiotic use. Future multicenter prospective studies are encouraged to validate these findings and refine management pathways.

Footnotes

AI Use Disclosure: The authors declare that no generative AI tools were used in the creation of this article.

Authors' Contribution: Study concept and design: Esmaeel Sadeghi; Acquisition of data: Esmaeel Sadeghi; Analysis and interpretation of data: Esmaeel Sadeghi; Drafting of the manuscript: Esmaeel Sadeghi.

Conflict of Interests Statement: The authors do not declare any conflicts of interests for this study.

Data Availability: The dataset presented in the study is available on request from the corresponding author during submission or after publication.

Ethical Approval: "This study is approved under the ethical approval code of [IR.SUMS.REC.1401311](https://doi.org/10.5812/ir.sums.rec.1401311) .

Funding/Support: This study is a Pure clinical study of our own patients in a private office. No support or grants were obtained for this study.

Informed Consent: Verbal informed consent was obtained from all the patients involved in the study.

References

1. Sadeghi E. Spectrum of paediatric diseases in south Islamic Republic of Iran. *Eastern Mediterranean*. 1995.
2. Hamilton JL, Evans SG, Bakshi M. Management of Fever in Infants and Young Children. *Am Fam Physician*. 2020;**101**(12):721-9. [PubMed ID: [32538597](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32538597/)].
3. Mahajan P, Grzybowski M, Chen X, Kannikeswaran N, Stanley R, Singal B, et al. Procalcitonin as a marker of serious bacterial infections in febrile children younger than 3 years old. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2014;**21**(2):171-9. [PubMed ID: [24673673](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24673673/)]. <https://doi.org/10.1111/acem.12316>.
4. Gomez B, Mintegi S, Bressan S, Da Dalt L, Gervais A, Lacroix L, et al. Validation of the "Step-by-Step" Approach in the Management of Young Febrile Infants. *Pediatrics*. 2016;**138**(2). [PubMed ID: [27382134](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27382134/)]. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2015-4381>.
5. Van den Bruel A, Thompson MJ, Haj-Hassan T, Stevens R, Moll H, Lakhanpaul M, et al. Diagnostic value of laboratory tests in identifying serious infections in febrile children: systematic review. *BMJ*. 2011;**342**:d3082. [PubMed ID: [21653621](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21653621/)]. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.d3082>.
6. Tariverdi M, Bolouki SS, Tamaddondar M, Satarzadeh M, Rahmati MB, Mohammadian M. Mothers' Health-Seeking Behaviors and Ignorance of Children's Fever: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Arch Pediatr*. 2024;**12**(3). e142885. <https://doi.org/10.5812/apid-142885>.
7. Khiabani MS, Alimadadi H, Abdolsalehi MR, Anvari MS. Clinical Guideline and Diagnostic Algorithm of Acute Hepatitis with Unknown Origin in Children: Tehran Children's Medical Center Protocol. *Iranian Journal of Pediatrics*. 2023;**33**(2). <https://doi.org/10.5812/ijp-134101>.